

federal register

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 2, 1976



highlights

FEDERAL LABOR-MANAGEMENT RELATIONS	
Executive Order excluding Tennessee Valley Authority from provisions of EO 11491.....	4807
LOST AND STOLEN SECURITIES	
SEC proposes requirements for reporting and inquiry; comments by 4-1-76.....	4834
MARKETABLE AND OTHER INVESTMENT SECURITIES	
SEC proposes to amend disclosure requirements; comments by 3-31-76.....	4833
INCOME TAX	
Treasury/IRS proposes regulations on interest upon obligations of a State, territory, etc.; comments and hearing requests by 3-18-76.....	4829
INDUSTRIAL RADIO SERVICES	
FCC allocates frequency for oil spill cleanup operations; effective 3-4-76.....	4827
FEDERAL ELECTIONS	
FEC issues supplement to advisory opinion request on establishment by corporation of voluntary employee political donation program.....	4873
HONEY	
USDA/CCC proposes determinations on 1976 crop purchase program; comments by 3-3-76.....	4832
APPLES	
USDA/AMS proposes amending grade standards; comments by 3-1-76.....	4832
MEETINGS—	
Commerce: Travel Advisory Board, 2-17-76	4844
DOT/FAA: U.S. Terminal Procedures Advisory Committee, 3-3 and 3-4-76.....	4846
FCC: Radio Technical Commission for Marine Services; various dates in February and March.....	4856
Federal Advisory Council on Regional Economic Development: Ozarks development plan, 2-18-76.....	4854
FPC: National Gas Survey Conservation-Technical Advisory Committee, 2-25-76.....	4862
National Gas Survey Supply-Technical Advisory Committee, 2-18-76.....	4862
HEW/ADAMHA: Minority Advisory Committee, 2-18 thru 2-20-76.....	4844
National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities: Architectural and Environmental Arts Advisory Panel, 2-19 and 2-20-76.....	4863
NRC: Reactor Safeguards Advisory Committee (3 documents), 2-18 and 2-19-76.....	4863-4865
Office of Telecommunications Policy: Frequency Management Advisory Council, 2-20-76.....	4868
OMB: Business Advisory Council on Federal Reports, 2-26-76	4867
Treasury/IRS: Small Business Advisory Committee, 2-24 and 2-25-76.....	4837

reminders

(The items in this list were editorially compiled as an aid to FEDERAL REGISTER users. Inclusion or exclusion from this list has no legal significance. Since this list is intended as a reminder, it does not include effective dates that occur within 14 days of publication.)

Rules Going Into Effect Today

COMMERCE/Patent and Trademark Office—Trademark cases; revision of administrative fees..... 57358; 12-9-75
DOT/CG—Drawbridge operation regulations; Atlantic Intercoastal Waterway, Hallandale, Fla..... 754; 1-5-76
FCC—FM broadcast stations; table of assignments; Georgia..... 59596; 12-29-75
Television broadcast stations; table of assignments; Fla., Okla., Tex. 59597; 12-29-75
FMC—Filing of tariffs by common carriers by water in the foreign carriers by water in the foreign commerce of the United States and by conferences of such carriers 763; 1-5-76
FTC—Mail order merchandise..... 49492; 10-22-75

Daily List of Public Laws

H.R. 8069..... Pub. Law 94-206
Departments of Labor and Health, Education, and Welfare Appropriation Act, 1976
(Passed over Presidential veto Jan. 28, 1976; 90 Stat. 3)

ATTENTION: Questions, corrections, or requests for information regarding the contents of this issue only may be made by dialing 202-523-5286. For information on obtaining extra copies, please call 202-523-5240. To obtain advance information from recorded highlights of selected documents to appear in the next issue, dial 202-523-5022.

federal register

Phone 523-5240

Area Code 202



Published daily, Monday through Friday (no publication on Saturdays, Sundays, or on official Federal holidays), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408, under the Federal Register Act (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The FEDERAL REGISTER provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive orders and Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by Act of Congress and other Federal agency documents of public interest.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$5.00 per month or \$50 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies is 75 cents for each issue, or 75 cents for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 41, NO. 22—MONDAY, FEBRUARY 2, 1976

contents

THE PRESIDENT

Executive Orders

Labor - management relations; Federal; exclusion of Tennessee Valley Authority from provisions of EO 11491..... 4807

EXECUTIVE AGENCIES

AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE

Proposed Rules

Apples; grade standards..... 4832

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

See Agricultural Marketing Service; Commodity Credit Corporation; Federal Crop Insurance Corporation; Forest Service; Soil Conservation Service.

ALCOHOL, DRUG ABUSE, AND MENTAL HEALTH ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Meetings:

Advisory Committee..... 4844

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

Notices

Hearings, etc.:

Additional Dallas/Ft. Worth-Kansas City nonstop service..... 4846

Meetings:

Commonwealth of Puerto Rico; postponement..... 4847

COAST GUARD

Rules

Structural fire protection requirements; correction..... 4826

COMMERCE DEPARTMENT

Maritime Administration; National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; Travel Service.

COMMISSION ON FEDERAL PAPERWORK

Notices

Public hearing..... 4847

COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION

Proposed Rules

Loan and purchase programs: Honey..... 4832

COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT, OFFICE OF ASSISTANT SECRETARY

Rules

Community development block grants; applications for entitlement grants; correction..... 4818

COST ACCOUNTING STANDARDS BOARD

Rules

Contract coverage; additional exemption..... 4809

ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Environmental statements:

FY 1977 Underground Nuclear Testing Program at the Nevada Test Site..... 4847

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Proposed Rules

Pesticide chemicals on or on raw agricultural commodities; tolerances and exemptions, etc.:

Oxytetracycline hydrochloride..... 4832

Notices

Environmental statements; availability of agency comments..... 4847

Pesticide chemicals etc.: petitions:

Elanco Products Co..... 4852

Pesticide registration:

Applications..... 4852

Pesticides, specific exemptions and experimental use permits:

American Cyanamid Co..... 4853

Chevron Chemical Co..... 4853

Ciba-Geigy Corp..... 4853

Forest Service..... 4853

Mitchell Manufacturing Corp..... 4854

FEDERAL ADVISORY COUNCIL ON REGIONAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Notices

Meeting..... 4854

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

Rules

Control zones..... 4812

Jet routes..... 4814

Reporting points..... 4813

Transition areas..... 4814

Notices

Meetings:

Terminal Instrument Procedures (TERPs)..... 4846

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Rules

Cable television relay services..... 4826

Industrial radio services..... 4827

Proposed Rules

Assignment of frequencies to serve other than air carrier operations..... 4833

Notices

Hearings, etc.:

Holiday Broadcasting Corp. (WAIR)..... 4855

Receiver Standards for the Maritime Mobile Service..... 4856

Upper Peninsula Telephone Co., et al..... 4854

Petitions for reconsideration of actions in rulemaking proceedings filed; date expirations..... 4857

Relocation; Los Angeles Field Office..... 4856

FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE CORPORATION

Rules

Crop insurance; designated counties in certain States:

Sunflower..... 4812

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

Notices

Political donation program; establishment by corporation..... 4873

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Petroleum imports, study to evaluate the feasibility of incentives for reducing price..... 4857

Removal of the supplemental oil import license fee; hearing cancelled..... 4857

FEDERAL INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION

Rules

National Flood Insurance Program:

Areas eligible for sale of insurance (3 documents)..... 4819-4820

Special hazard areas, map corrections (7 documents)..... 4825, 4826

National Flood Insurance Program; flood elevation determinations, etc.:

Louisiana..... 4823

Massachusetts..... 4823

Texas (3 documents)..... 4824

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Notices

Freight forwarder licenses:

Landair Corp..... 4858

Water pollution; certificates of financial responsibility (2 documents)..... 4858, 4859

Agreements filed, etc.:

Lykes Bros. Steamship Company, Inc. and Unicorn Lines (Pty) Ltd..... 4857

Lykes Brothers Steamship Company, Inc. et al..... 4858

Lykes Brothers Steamship Company, Inc. and Green R (Pty) Ltd..... 4858

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

Notices

Hearings, etc.:

Loan Star Gas Co..... 4861

Tharpe, Jerome K., et al..... 4861

Transwestern Pipeline Co..... 4861

Meetings:

National Gas Survey (2 documents)..... 4862

FEDERAL REGISTER OFFICE

Rules

CFR checklist; 1975 issuances..... 4809

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Notices

Applications, etc.:

Starbuck Bancshares, Inc..... 4862

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Rules

Flammable Fabrics Act:

Miscellaneous amendments (2 documents)..... 4814

Preservation of consumers' claims and Defenses; correction..... 4817

Prohibited trade practices:

Dillido Shops, Inc., et al..... 4815

Hercules Inc..... 4815

Disclosure of written consumer product warranty terms; correction..... 4814

CONTENTS

Proposed Rules		Notices		Proposed Rules	
Consumers' claims and defenses, preservation; correction.....	4833	Meetings:		Securities Exchange Act:	
Notices		Small Business Advisory Committee	4837	Lost and stolen securities; reporting and inquiry requirements	4834
Organizations and functions.....	4862	INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION		Marketable and other investment securities.....	4833
FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE		Notices		Notices	
Rules		Hearing assignments.....	4871	Committees; establishment, renewals, etc.:	
Fishing:		INTERSTATE LAND SALES REGISTRATION OFFICE		Corporate Disclosure, Advisory Committee on.....	4871
Union Slough National Wildlife Refuge, Iowa.....	4828	Notices		Stock exchange plans:	
Notices		Land developers; investigatory hearings, orders of suspension, etc.:		PBW Stock Exchange, Inc. (2 documents)	4870
Endangered species permits; applications	4840	Mill Quarter Plantation.....	4845	Hearings, etc.:	
FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION		Roadrunner Campgrounds, Inc.....	4845	Canadian Javelin, Ltd.....	4868
Rules		Tahoe Keys.....	4845	Continental Vending Machine Corp.	4868
Animal drugs, feeds, and related products:		Wolf River Ranch Subdivision.....	4846	General Public Utilities Corp.....	4868
Dinoprost Tromethamine sterile solution	4818	MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET OFFICE		Middle South Utilities, Inc., et al	4869
FOREST SERVICE		Notices		SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION	
Notices		Clearance of reports; list of requests	4867	Notices	
Committees; establishment, renewals, etc.:		Meetings:		Disaster areas:	
Ottawa National Forest Multiple Use Advisory Committee.....	4842	Business Advisory Council on Federal Reports.....	4867	Oregon	4871
Environmental statements; availability, etc.:		MARITIME ADMINISTRATION		SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE	
Red River Planning Unit.....	4842	Notices		Notices	
Timber Management Program.....	4842	Applications, etc.:		Environmental statements, availability, etc.:	
GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE		Pacific Far East Line, Inc.....	4843	Little Sioux Flood Prevention Project, Iowa.....	4842
Notices		NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES		TELECOMMUNICATIONS POLICY OFFICE	
Regulatory reports review; proposals, approvals, etc.....	4863	Notices		Notices	
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY		Meetings:		Meetings:	
Notices		Architecture and Environmental Arts Advisory Panel.....	4863	Frequency Management Advisory Council.....	4868
Geothermal resource areas, operations, etc.:		NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION		TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT	
Central and Western Regions; correction	4842	Notices		See also Federal Aviation Administration.	
HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT		Marine mammal permit applications, etc.:		Notices	
See Alcohol, Drug Abuse and Mental Health Administration; Food and Drug Administration.		Alaska, Department of Fish and Game	4843	Construction contracts; sanction:	
HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT		Alaska, United Fishermen.....	4844	Steiny and Co., Inc., and J. O. Steiny	4846
See Community Planning and Development, Office of Assistant Secretary; Federal Insurance Administration; Interstate Land Sales Registration Office.		El Paso Zoological Park.....	4843	TRAVEL SERVICE	
INTERIOR DEPARTMENT		Ocean World, Inc.....	4844	Notices	
See Fish and Wildlife Service; Geological Survey.		NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION		Meetings:	
Proposed Rules		Notices		Travel Advisory Board.....	4844
Coal leases; diligent development and continuous operations; extension of comment period.....	4831	Reactor Safeguards Advisory Committee (3 documents) ..	4863-4865	TREASURY DEPARTMENT	
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE		Applications, etc.:		See also Internal Revenue Service.	
Proposed Rules		Commonwealth Edison Co.....	4866	Notices	
Income taxes:		Consumers Power Co.....	4866	Notes, Treasury:	
Interest upon obligations of a State, territory, etc.....	4829	Detroit Edison Co.....	4866	Series A-1976.....	4839
		Georgia Power Co.....	4866	Series A-1983 (2 documents) ..	4838, 4840
		Public Service Company of Colorado	4867	Series H-1979.....	4837
		Toledo Edison Co., et al.....	4867	UNITED STATES RAILWAY ASSOCIATION	
		POSTAL RATE COMMISSION		Notices	
		Notices		Abandonment and discontinuance of service:	
		Mail classification schedule, 1973; receipt of stipulation and agreement	4868	Penn Central Transportation Co	4860
		SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION			
		Rules			
		Interpretative releases:			
		Accounting series releases.....	4817		

list of cfr parts affected

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, follows beginning with the second issue of the month.
A Cumulative List of CFR Sections Affected is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since the revision date of each title.

1 CFR		16 CFR		26 CFR	
Ch. I	4809	1	4814	PROPOSED RULES:	
		4	4814	1	4829
3 CFR		13 (2 documents)	4815		
EXECUTIVE ORDERS:		433	4817	40 CFR	
11491 (Amended by EO 11901)	4807	701	4814	PROPOSED RULES:	
11616 (See EO 11901)	4807	702	4814	180	4832
11636 (See EO 11901)	4807	PROPOSED RULES:			
11838 (See EO 11901)	4807	433	4833	43 CFR	
11901	4807			PROPOSED RULES:	
		17 CFR		3500	4831
4 CFR		211	4817	3520	4831
331	4809	PROPOSED RULES:			
		210	4833	46 CFR	
7 CFR		240	4834	Ch. I	4826
401	4812	249	4834		
PROPOSED RULES:		21 CFR		47 CFR	
51	4832	522	4818	78	4826
1434	4832			91	4827
		24 CFR		PROPOSED RULES:	
14 CFR		570	4818	87	4833
71 (3 documents)	4812-4814	1914 (3 documents)	4819-4820	50 CFR	
73	4812	1916 (5 documents)	4823, 4824	33	4828
75	4814	1920 (7 documents)	4825, 4826		

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES—FEBRUARY

Pages	Date
4807-4875	2

Table of Effective Dates and Time Periods—February 1976

This table is for use in computing dates certain in connection with documents which are published in the FEDERAL REGISTER subject to advance notice requirements or which impose time limits on public response.

Federal Agencies using this table in calculating time requirements for submissions must allow sufficient extra time for FEDERAL REGISTER scheduling procedures.

In computing dates certain, the day after publication counts as one. All succeeding days are counted except that when a date certain falls on a weekend or holiday, it is moved forward to the next Federal business day. (See 1 CFR 18.17)

A new table will be published monthly in the first issue of each month.

Dates of FR publication	15 days after publication	30 days after publication	45 days after publication	60 days after publication	90 days after publication
February 2	February 17	March 3	March 18	April 2	May 3
February 3	February 18	March 4	March 19	April 5	May 3
February 4	February 19	March 5	March 22	April 5	May 4
February 5	February 20	March 8	March 22	April 5	May 5
February 6	February 23	March 8	March 22	April 6	May 6
February 9	February 24	March 10	March 25	April 9	May 10
February 10	February 25	March 11	March 26	April 12	May 10
February 11	February 26	March 12	March 29	April 12	May 11
February 12	February 27	March 15	March 29	April 12	May 12
February 13	March 1	March 15	March 29	April 13	May 13
February 17	March 3	March 18	April 2	April 19	May 17
February 18	March 4	March 19	April 5	April 19	May 18
February 19	March 5	March 22	April 5	April 19	May 19
February 20	March 8	March 22	April 5	April 20	May 20
February 23	March 9	March 24	April 8	April 23	May 24
February 24	March 10	March 25	April 9	April 26	May 24
February 25	March 11	March 26	April 12	April 26	May 25
February 26	March 12	March 29	April 12	April 26	May 26
February 27	March 15	March 29	April 12	April 27	May 27

presidential documents

Title 3—The President

Executive Order 11901

January 30, 1976

Amending Executive Order No. 11491,¹ as Amended by Executive Orders 11616,² 11636,³ and 11838,⁴ Relating to Labor-Management Relations in the Federal Service

By virtue of the authority vested in me by the Constitution and statutes of the United States, including Sections 3301 and 7301 of Title 5 of the United States Code, and as President of the United States, Section 3(b) of Executive Order No. 11491 of October 29, 1969, as amended by Executive Orders 11616, 11636, and 11838, relating to labor-management relations in the Federal service, is further amended by adding thereto:

“(6) The Tennessee Valley Authority.”.



THE WHITE HOUSE,
January 30, 1976.

[FR Doc.76-3263 Filed 1-30-76;10:16 am]

¹ 34 FR 17605; 3 CFR, 1966-1970 Comp., p. 861.

² 36 FR 17319; 3 CFR, 1971 Comp., p. 202.

³ 36 FR 24901; 3 CFR, 1971 Comp., p. 232.

⁴ 40 FR 5743, 7391.

rules and regulations

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

Title 1—General Provisions

CHAPTER I—ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE FEDERAL REGISTER

CFR CHECKLIST

1975 Issuances

This checklist, prepared by the Office of the Federal Register, is published in the first issue of each month. It is arranged in the order of CFR titles, and shows the revision date and price of the volumes of the Code of Federal Regulations issued for 1975. New units issued during the month are announced on the back cover of the daily FEDERAL REGISTER as they become available.

The rate for subscription service to all revised volumes issued for 1976 will be \$350 domestic, \$75 additional for foreign mailing.

Order from Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

CFR Unit (Rev. as of Jan. 1, 1975):

Title	Price
1	\$1.45
2	.70
3A 1974 Compilation	2.75
4	2.70
5	4.35
6 [Reserved]	
7 Parts:	
0-45	6.15
46-51	4.10
52	6.15
53-209	6.10
210-699	5.65
700-749	4.25
750-899	2.95
900-944	4.50
945-980	2.30
981-999	2.55
1000-1059	4.35
1060-1119	4.80
1120-1199	3.75
1200-1499	4.05
1500-end	6.30
8	2.45
9	6.25
10 Parts:	
0-199	4.90
200-end	3.00
11	1.35
12 Parts:	
1-299	6.35
300-end	6.40
13	3.60
14 Parts:	
1-59	5.85
60-199	6.10
200-end	7.15
15	4.50
16 Parts:	
0-149	6.05
150-end	5.50
Finding Aids	4.05

Title	Price
CFR Unit (Rev. as of April 1, 1975):	\$6.30
17	
18 Parts:	
1-149	4.65
150-end	4.65
19	5.40
20 Parts:	
1-399	2.45
400-end	9.70
21 Parts:	
1-9	2.10
10-199	6.75
200-299	1.60
300-499	5.80
500-599	3.60
600-1299	2.95
1300-end	1.90
22	4.75
23	3.55
24 Parts:	
0-499	5.80
500-end	5.45
25	4.40
26 Parts:	
1 (\$§ 1.0-1-1.169)	5.90
1 (\$§ 1.170-1.300)	3.65
1 (\$§ 1.301-1.400)	2.90
1 (\$§ 1.401-1.500)	3.45
1 (\$§ 1.501-1.640)	4.00
1 (\$§ 1.641-1.850)	4.40
1 (\$§ 1.851-1.1200)	5.80
1 (\$ 1.1201-end)	6.90
2-29	3.40
30-39	3.40
40-299	5.25
300-499	3.55
500-599 (Retain CFR Vol. Rev. 4-1-74)	3.15
600-end	1.70
27 (Rev. May 1, 1975)	7.70
CFR Unit (Rev. as of July 1, 1975):	
28	\$2.70
29 Parts:	
0-499	5.90
500-1899	6.85
1900-1919	7.35
1920-end	3.50
30	5.80
31	4.90
32 Parts:	
40-399	5.99
400-589	4.90
590-699	2.35
700-799	7.55
800-999	5.35
1000-1399	2.05
1400-1599	3.65
1600-end	1.80
32A	2.85
33 Parts:	
1-199	5.95
200-end	4.60
34	1.70
35	3.90
36	3.55
37	2.10
38	7.10
39	3.10

40 Parts:

Title	Price
0-49	\$2.90
50-69	6.90
70-99	4.15
100-end	8.35
41 Chapters:	
1-2	6.75
3-6	6.05
7	1.80
8	1.80
10-17	3.85
18 (Retain CFR Vol. Rev. 7-1-74)	7.60
19-100	3.25
101-end	6.20
CFR Unit (Rev. as of Oct. 1, 1975):	
42	\$5.15
43 Parts:	
1-999	\$2.90
1000-end	7.10
44 [Reserved]	
45 Parts:	
1-99	3.25
100-199	6.80
200-499	3.55
46 Parts:	
1-29	2.20
30-40	2.15
70-89	2.05
90-109	1.95
110-139	1.90
140-149	7.50
150-165	3.75
166-199	2.60
200-end	6.50
47 Parts:	
0-19	4.25
20-69	5.25
70-79	4.60
49 Parts:	
1-99	1.85
1000-1199	3.55
1200-1299	7.65
1300-end	2.95
50	4.15

Title 4—Accounts

CHAPTER III—COST ACCOUNTING STANDARDS BOARD

SUBCHAPTER C—PROCUREMENT PRACTICES

PART 331—CONTRACT COVERAGE

Additional Exemption

● Purpose. The purpose of this publication by the Cost Accounting Standards Board is to adopt a modification to Part 331, Contract Coverage, of its rules and regulations. This modification will provide a conditional exemption for contracts and subcontracts made with United Kingdom firms for performance substantially in the United Kingdom. ●

The Cost Accounting Standards Board is authorized by Pub. L. 91-379 to pre-

scribe rules and regulations exempting from its requirements such classes or categories of defense contractors or subcontractors under contracts negotiated in connection with national defense procurements as it determines, on the basis of the size of the contracts involved or otherwise, are appropriate and consistent with the purposes sought to be achieved by the Act. Pursuant to this authorization the Board has issued a regulation, § 331.30, *Applicability, exemption and waiver*, of Title 4, Code of Federal Regulations, which, among other things, establishes a procedure by which procuring agencies may request a waiver of the Board's requirements for a particular contract or subcontract.

The Board from 1972 to date has granted 45 waivers requested by procuring departments and agencies. Of that number, 23 were for contracts or subcontracts to be performed by United Kingdom firms each of which is a defense supplier to the U.K. Government and also is essentially a sole source supplier for the particular item being purchased by the U.S. Department of Defense. The waivers granted to U.K. firms have been based in general on the urgency and essentiality of the procurements, which were reported to preclude any alternative to making the proposed awards. However, the U.K. firms were reported as having objections to complying with the Board's rules and regulations, on the grounds that their accounting practices have been approved by the U.K. Government, their major customer, and may not thereafter be changed without further approval. They were reported as stating that they cannot assume an obligation to comply with Cost Accounting Standards which could be in conflict with U.K. Government Accounting Conventions and the governmentally approved accounting practices for the individual firms.

In view of the recurrence of this position and the high proportion of waiver requests involving U.K. firms, the Board undertook discussions with the U.K. Ministry of Defence concerning the application of Cost Accounting Standards and the Board's rules and regulations to firms which are U.K. defense contractors. As a result of these discussions it has been determined that U.K. defense contractors do disclose their accounting practices to the Ministry of Defence and that the Ministry of Defence approves companies' practices which then cannot be changed without further approval. It has further been determined that a Review Board for Government Contracts, whose chairman and members are nominated by the Government and industry and appointed by the Treasury, but which is established as an independent organization, among other duties periodically reviews and makes recommendations for changes in U.K. Government Accounting Conventions. The Review Board has also issued or sponsored certain cost accounting standards for use by U.K. firms in contracting with the Ministry of Defence.

On November 17, 1975, the Board pub-

lished for public comment in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* (40 FR 53271) a proposal for a conditional exemption for U.K. firms performing substantially in the U.K. Nine responses were received to that publication. Responses were received from government departments, defense contractors, an industry association and two individuals. All of these comments have been considered by the Board, and the Board takes this opportunity to express its appreciation for the helpful suggestions which have been furnished.

The comments below summarize the major issues discussed by respondents to the initial publication and explain the Board's disposition of these issues.

U.K. Government Accounting Conventions. Two United States Government departments were concerned that the reference in the proposed conditional exemption to the obligation of U.K. firms to disclose cost accounting practices which would be in accord with U.K. Government Accounting Conventions implied or could be understood to require that when matters mandated by the Conventions were in conflict with certain requirements of the Armed Services Procurement Regulation and Energy Research and Development Administration procurement regulations, the policies of the Conventions would prevail.

One of the departments pointed out that the Conventions permit reimbursement of four kinds of costs which are either by U.S. law or by U.S. procurement policy not allowable costs in U.S. contracts. These are entertainment expenses, product advertising, certain donations and certain non-incurred capital costs. The Board recognizes that the Conventions deal broadly with matters which can be regarded as relating to both allocability and allowability of costs. They do indicate that in certain circumstances, the indicated costs are allowable costs under U.K. contracts. However, cost accounting practices covered by Disclosure Statements do not deal with the allowability of costs, only with their measurement and allocation. Where appropriate, a disclosed practice must result in measurement and allocation of a cost in accord with the Conventions; whether that cost is or is not allowed as a cost under U.S. contracts is a matter for agreement by the parties to the contract and is not affected by the requirement that disclosed cost accounting practices be in accord with the Conventions.

Secondly, the department points out that the profit formula used by the U.K. Government is different from the profit formula used in U.S. negotiated procurements. The U.K. profit formula, however, is not a part of the U.K. Government Accounting Conventions governing cost accounting practices, nor does the Disclosure Statement deal with policy on which profits are determined. Consequently, a requirement that disclosed cost accounting practices be in accord with the Conventions does not impinge on the authority of U.S. officials to prescribe policy for the determination of profits under U.S. prime or subcontracts.

Thirdly, the department notes that there are differences between the U.K. Government Accounting Conventions concerning independent research and development and the provisions in ASPR Section XV which are used for compliance with Pub. L. 91-441. Pub. L. 91-441 makes Department of Defense appropriations unavailable for payment of a contractor's independent research and development or bid and proposal costs, unless the work which is paid for has a potential relationship to a military function or operation and unless other conditions are met. The most important of the other conditions is that there be an advance agreement with the contractor. What has been said above about the allowability of costs is applicable to this point also. Furthermore, nothing in the Board's conditional exemption in any way controls the terms and conditions upon which the Department of Defense may agree in advance with a U.K. firm for the reimbursement to it of independent research and development and bid and proposal costs.

Additionally, the department notes potential differences in the treatment of depreciation costs under the Conventions and under the applicable ASPR requirements, unmodified by the Board's Cost Accounting Standards. The comment does not specify, nor does the Board find, any significant differences at present. The Board does recognize that both the U.S. and U.K. Governments may modify their tax laws and their procurement regulations with an objective to encourage capital investment, and that differences could some day arise. In such case, the Conventions permit sufficient flexibility in individual cases to allow U.S. agencies to reach agreement with U.K. firms on appropriate annual depreciation costs.

Finally, this department has consistently requested unqualified waivers from the Board for use in its prime and subcontracts with U.K. firms. Such firms have in fact been required to follow U.K. Government Accounting Conventions on their work for the U.K. Government, and the department has been able to negotiate mutually agreeable prices for contracts with them despite this circumstance. Under the Board's conditional waiver, the department will have the advantage of a Disclosure Statement from such firms, which could not have been available when an unconditional waiver was sought and which should be of material assistance in the negotiation and audit of new contracts.

The Board is glad that these questions were raised but does not believe it is necessary to modify its proposed conditional exemption to resolve them.

It is appropriate to note here that the Board has not specifically required access to records of U.K. firms by appropriate U.S. officials, as it might have done. Such a requirement appears unnecessary in view of the standard provisions for access to records contained in U.S. defense contracts and subcontracts for performance in the U.K. Access to records through such standard provisions in

those contracts will be adequate to assure contractor compliance with the consistency requirement of the conditional exemption.

Another commentator opposed the proposal largely on the basis of his belief that the proposal would require adoption by U.S. price negotiators and auditors of the pricing practices followed by the U.K. Ministry of Defence. This belief appears to have been based on the reference in the proposal to U.K. Government Accounting Conventions. The Board sees nothing in the conditional exemption which would require U.S. negotiators to accept pricing practices contrary to U.S. procurement regulations and the agreements which U.S. negotiators reach with U.K. firms in the pricing of prime or subcontracts.

This commentator also indicated that not all U.K. firms which are U.S. prime or subcontractors are also suppliers to the U.K. Government. The Board agrees that this could be the case and believes that if so, it is not appropriate for the Board to require that all U.K. firms necessarily adopt the U.K. Government Accounting Conventions. It has consequently modified its proposal to provide that disclosed practices must be in accord with the Conventions only when the disclosing contractor is already required to follow the Conventions. Thus, certain U.K. firms may be subject to neither Cost Accounting Standards nor U.K. Government Accounting Conventions. In such cases, U.S. negotiators must use that firm's Disclosure Statement in arriving at agreement on the cost accounting practices to be followed in contracts subject to the conditional exemption.

Retention of disclosure statements. A commentator pointed out that while the Board had proposed that Disclosure Statements submitted by U.K. firms be filed with the U.K. Ministry of Defence, the Board had not specified that the Statements would be retained in the Ministry. Since that was in fact the Board's intention, the Board has adopted a modification to its proposal in order to make that intention clear.

Prime contractor-subcontractor relationships. Two matters relating to prime contractor-subcontractor relationships were raised. A commentator pointed out that a U.K. subcontract might be subject to price adjustment if the subcontractor changed its disclosed cost accounting practices during contract performance. In such a case, the Government's action would presumably be to require a corresponding change in the cost or price of the prime contract. The Board agrees that this is so, and prime contractors may wish in the future, as some have done in the past, to obtain agreement with U.K. subcontractors for appropriate indemnification in the event the subcontractor's change in practices causes a modification in the cost or price of the prime contract. The Board previously discussed this situation in its original publication of 4 CFR 331.50 and does not consider that specific language addressed to this matter is required to be included in the conditional exemption.

Another commentator stated that it was confident that the Board did not intend that the conditional exemption apply to U.S. subcontractors under prime contracts with U.K. firms and urged the Board to address this matter specifically. The Board's proposal does not require any flow-down of the clause, "Consistency in Cost Accounting Practices", from U.K. prime contractors to first tier or lower tier subcontractors. The Board may, after experience in use of that clause is gained, reconsider this matter. In that case, the Board would then have to consider whether it would be appropriate for the Board to require that a U.K. prime contractor be required to pass down to any subcontractor, whether or not a U.S. subcontractor, a more extensive contractual obligation than is imposed on the prime contractor. For the time being, the Board notes the likelihood that U.S. subcontractors under U.K. prime contracts will already be subject to Cost Accounting Standards by reason of other covered prime or subcontracts which that firm has entered into. If this prior coverage has not taken place, the Board believes that the value of achieving coverage through a flow-down provision in a U.K. prime contract is too insignificant to justify the administrative complexities of such a provision.

Further exemptions for foreign suppliers. A commentator, not wishing to comment on the present proposal, nevertheless recommended that the Board exempt all foreign suppliers, on the ground that problems in the administration of the CAS clause are matters of contention and, in the opinion of the commentator, pose relatively greater difficulties in the administration of foreign contracts.

The Board has announced the establishment of projects to investigate the administrative concerns of this commentator and others, and if those concerns prove to be substantial, the Board will take appropriate action. In the more than four years during which the CAS clause has been required to be included in all appropriate foreign contracts and subcontracts, absent a waiver, the Board has heard of no problem in the administration of the clause which has posed any problem in foreign contracts.

Whenever the Board believes a waiver of the CAS clause for foreign firms has been persuasively proposed by a contracting agency, it will grant such a waiver, but the Board's experience to date does not indicate to it any reason to consider a blanket waiver for all foreign prime contracts and subcontracts.

Miscellaneous comments. One comment, from a major defense contractor, deserves note by the Board because of what the Board perceives to be major misconceptions and erroneous assumptions underlying the comment.

The comment opposed the proposal for a conditional exemption and favors an unqualified exemption. One reason given, to quote from this comment, is:

By requiring a contract clause which will provide for a penalty to be paid by the U.S. prime contractor in the event that a U.K. subcontractor fails to consistently follow disclosed cost accounting practices where such failure results in increased costs paid by the U.S. Government, is to impose on the U.S. primes an obligation so vague and impracticable as literally to be unique in the history of bilateral contracting.

The Board believes this comment is wholly inaccurate. First, the obligation to consistently follow disclosed or established cost accounting practices is not imposed by the Board's current proposal—it has been present in every U.K. prime contract or subcontract subject to the CAS clause. Secondly, exactly the same obligation of a prime contractor has existed for years with respect to every subcontract it makes which includes the CAS clause. The Board does not believe that the obligation arising under the conditional exemption is either vague or impracticable, and it knows it is not unique.

Additionally, this commentator with respect to the same obligation stated:

For the U.S. Government to impose such alien rules on the defense contracting community in the United Kingdom . . . where neither the Government of the United Kingdom nor the contractors have determined for themselves that there are benefits to the imposition of such punitive rules regarding accounting practices seems patently absurd. Further, to impose on the procurement process such a nebulous and one-sided contractual requirement by the use of the regulatory procedures which will render the clause "mandatory and non-negotiable" is to express an unwarranted contempt by the United States for the standards and practices of business accounting and contracting procedures of the United Kingdom.

Apart from the commentator's several adverse characterizations of the Board's requirements, which are discussed generally below, this portion of its comment does not appear to recognize that the Board's proposal was discussed with the U.K. Government and with representatives of the British defense industries. Through meetings in both Washington and London and through continuing, close consultations, the Board has confidence that its proposal has been carefully reviewed and discussed within the United Kingdom and that its adoption will be welcomed by the firms and governmental agencies affected by it. This careful consultation, and the Board's subsequent proposal for a conditional exemption, arose out of the Board's respect for, not its contempt of, the standards and practices of cost accounting in the United Kingdom.

Finally, this commentator expressed its view that there have been no discernible benefits whatever from the Board's regulations and its further view that the Board has abundant evidence that its regulations requiring consistency in following disclosed cost accounting practices have resulted in "substantial impairment of the economy, efficiency, and effectiveness of procurement . . .". The commentator concluded this point by stating that since it regards the Board's

consistency requirement to be "unfair, unworkable and doubtfully enforceable", it would use the proposed conditional exemption for U.K. firms only "with shame and reluctance."

The Board has received reports from procurement agencies of major benefits stemming from use of its consistency requirements, and the Board believes that they have unquestionably improved the economy, efficiency and effectiveness of procurement. The Board believes that those requirements are fair, workable and enforceable.

As noted above, the Board is currently investigating suggestions made by some U.S. defense contractors, including this commentator, to determine whether there are substantial problems in the administration of its requirement to follow disclosed accounting practices consistently. The commentator offers no information concerning any such problem, only its conclusion that the Board has acted wholly improperly in proposing the U.K. conditional exemption. The Board does not agree.

Costs and benefits. The Board discerns no significant cost or inflationary impact of the conditional exemption.

The benefits include a substantial reduction in the number of waiver requests for United Kingdom firms, while establishing a consistency requirement for all U.K. contractors which is necessarily lost when all Board requirements are waived.

A United Kingdom firm could find that its obligations to follow U.K. Government Accounting Conventions might require the firm to change a disclosed cost accounting practice. In such an event, the Board hopes that the cost impact on U.S. contracts or subcontracts of any such change would be negotiated in advance of the effective date of a change to the Convention, so as to avoid the imposition of any interest charges on increased cost paid by the United States. The negotiation relating to a change in disclosed practices would be patterned on the similar negotiation required under Section (a) (4) (B) of the Cost Accounting Standards Clause.

In view of the foregoing, the following change to Part 331 of the Board's regulations is being made effective February 2, 1976:

Section 331.30, Applicability, Exemption, and Waiver, is modified by adding subparagraph (9) to paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 331.30 Applicability, exemption, and waiver.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(9) Any contract or subcontract made with a United Kingdom contractor for performance substantially in the United Kingdom: *Provided*, That the contractor has filed with the U.K. Ministry of Defence, for retention by the Ministry, a completed Disclosure Statement (Form CASB-DS-1) which shall adequately describe its cost accounting practices. Whenever that contractor is already required to follow U.K. Govern-

ment Accounting Conventions, the disclosed practices shall be in accord with the requirements of those Conventions. Such contract or subcontract shall also contain the following provision:

CONSISTENCY IN COST ACCOUNTING PRACTICES

The contractor agrees that it will consistently follow the cost accounting practices disclosed on Form CASB-DS-1 in estimating, accumulating and reporting costs under this contract. In the event the contractor fails to follow such practices, it agrees that the contract price shall be adjusted, together with payment of interest, if such failure results in increased costs paid by the U.S. Government. Interest shall be determined in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Cost Accounting Standards Board. The contractor agrees that the Disclosure Statement filed with the U.K. Ministry of Defence shall be available for inspection and use by representatives of the contracting agency, the Cost Accounting Standards Board, and the Comptroller General of the United States.

(Sec. 103, 84 Stat. 796 (50 U.S.C. App. 2168))

ARTHUR SCHOENHAUT,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-3075 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

Title 7—Agriculture

CHAPTER IV—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE CORPORATION, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

PART 401—FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE

Appendix; Regulations for the 1969 and Succeeding Crop Years

Pursuant to the authority contained in § 401.101 of the above identified regulations, the following counties have been designated for sunflower crop insurance for the 1976 crop year.

Grant	MINNESOTA
Norman	Wilkin
Cass	NORTH DAKOTA
Foster	Grand Forks

(Secs. 506, 516, 52 Stat. 73, as amended, 77, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1506, 1516)

[SEAL] M. R. PETERSON,
Manager, Federal Crop
Insurance Corporation.

[FR Doc.76-3029 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

Title 14—Aeronautics and Space

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

[Airspace Docket No. 75-SO-129]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

PART 73—SPECIAL USE AIRSPACE

Designation of Temporary Restricted Areas

On December 24, 1975, a notice of proposed rule making (NPRM) was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (40 FR 59448) stating that the Federal Aviation

Administration (FAA) is considering amendments to Parts 71 and 73 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would designate several temporary restricted areas over portions of Ga., and N.C., to contain a joint military training exercise Solid Shield 76. The designations would extend from May 12, 1976, through May 25, 1976. Those areas encompassing airspace at or above 14,500 feet MSL would also be included in the continental control area for the duration of their time of designation.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rule making through the submission of comments. No comments were received.

Subsequent to publication of the NPRM, it was determined that one of the geographical coordinates used to describe the boundaries of Restricted Area R-5309D should be altered approximately one-half nautical mile to correct an overlap of that restricted area with R-5309E. It was also noted that one additional coordinate was needed in the description of R-5307J to make a similar size correction in the western boundary of that restricted area and make it coincide with the eastern boundaries of R-5307I and the underlying R-5307C, D and E.

Since these are minor corrections which will not appreciably alter the extent of restriction, it has been concluded that they are minor matters upon which the public would have no desire to comment and which may be effected herein without recourse to further public notice.

In consideration of the foregoing, Parts 71 and 73 of the Federal Aviation Regulations are amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., March 25, 1976, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 71.151 (41 FR 345) the following temporary restricted areas are included for the duration of their time of designation from 0001 e.d.t., May 12, 1976, through 2359 e.d.t., May 25, 1976.

R-5309B SOLID SHIELD 76, R-5309H SOLID SHIELD 76, R-5309I SOLID SHIELD 76, R-5309J SOLID SHIELD 76, R-5309K SOLID 76, and R-5309L SOLID SHIELD 76.

In § 73.53 (41 FR 688) the following temporary restricted areas are added:

R-5309A SOLID SHIELD 76

Boundaries. Beginning at Lat. 35°05'00" N., Long. 79°35'00" W.; to Lat. 35°07'05" N., Long., 79°22'50" W.; thence south and east along R-5311A to Lat. 35°02'45" N., Long. 79°17'00" W.; to Lat. 35°00'00" N., Long. 79°17'00" W.; to Lat. 34°57'00" N., Long. 79°36'00" W.; to point of beginning.

Designated altitudes. Surface to 12,000 feet MSL.

Time of designation. Continuous—May 12, 1976, through May 25, 1976.

Controlling agency. Federal Aviation Administration, Washington ARTC Center.

Using agency. United States Atlantic Command, Norfolk, Va.

R-5309B SOLID SHIELD 76

Boundaries. Beginning at Lat. 35°16'00" N., Long. 79°14'00" W.; to Lat. 35°16'00" N., Long. 79°02'30" W.; to Lat. 35°11'00" N., Long. 79°02'30" W.; thence west along

R-5311A to Lat. 35°12'00" N., Long. 79°14'00" W.; to point of beginning.

Designated altitudes. 1,200 feet MSL to but not including FL 180.

Time of designation. Continuous—May 12, 1976, through May 25, 1976.

Controlling agency. Federal Aviation Administration, Washington ARTC Center.

Using agency. United States Atlantic Command, Norfolk, Va.

R-5309C SOLID SHIELD 76

Boundaries. Beginning at Lat. 35°16'00" N., Long. 78°32'00" W.; to Lat. 35°16'00" N., Long. 78°03'00" W.; to Lat. 35°10'00" N., Long. 77°59'00" W.; to Lat. 34°58'00" N., Long. 78°03'00" W.; to Lat. 35°00'00" N., Long. 78°24'00" W.; to point of beginning. Designated altitudes. 1,200 feet MSL to 10,000 feet MSL.

Time of designation. Continuous—May 12, 1976, through May 25, 1976.

Controlling agency. Federal Aviation Administration, Washington ARTC Center.

Using agency. United States Atlantic Command, Norfolk, Va.

R-5309D SOLID SHIELD 76

Boundaries. Beginning at Lat. 35°00'00" N., Long. 78°24'00" W.; to Lat. 34°58'00" N., Long. 78°03'00" W.; to Lat. 34°49'20" N., Long. 78°07'30" W.; to Lat. 34°51'57" N., Long. 78°27'45" W.; to point of beginning. Designated altitudes. 7,000 feet MSL to 10,000 feet MSL.

Time of designation. Continuous—May 12, 1976, through May 25, 1976.

Controlling agency. Federal Aviation Administration, Washington ARTC Center.

Using agency. United States Atlantic Command, Norfolk, Va.

R-5309E SOLID SHIELD 76

Boundaries. Beginning at Lat. 34°53'45" N., Long. 78°42'00" W.; to Lat. 34°49'20" N., Long. 78°07'30" W.; to Lat. 34°17'00" N., Long. 78°30'00" W.; to Lat. 34°25'00" N., Long. 78°43'00" W.; to Lat. 34°50'00" N., Long. 78°46'00" W.; to point of beginning. Designated altitudes. Surface to 10,000 feet MSL north of latitude 34°30'00" N., and 1,200 feet MSL to 10,000 feet MSL south of 34°30'00" N.

Time of designation. Continuous—May 12, 1976, through May 25, 1976.

Controlling agency. Federal Aviation Administration, Washington ARTC Center.

Using agency. United States Atlantic Command, Norfolk, Va.

R-5309F SOLID SHIELD 76

Boundaries. Beginning at Lat. 35°15'00" N., Long. 77°30'00" W.; to Lat. 34°57'30" N., Long. 77°02'00" W.; thence south and east along Restricted Areas R-5306B, C, D and E to Lat. 34°30'20" N., Long. 77°15'50" W.; thence 3-nautical miles from and parallel to the shoreline to Lat. 34°18'00" N., Long. 77°37'30" W.; to Lat. 34°28'00" N., Long. 77°38'00" W.; to Lat. 34°33'30" N., Long. 77°49'00" W.; to Lat. 34°51'30" N., Long. 77°52'00" W.; to Lat. 35°03'00" N., Long. 77°43'00" W.; to Lat. 35°11'00" N., Long. 77°36'00" W.; to point of beginning, excluding that airspace from the surface to 3,000 feet MSL within a 5-statute mile radius circle centered on the Albert Ellis Airport (Lat. 34°49'49" N., Long. 77°36'42" W.) and extending 4 nautical miles each side of the final approach courses for the Albert Ellis ILS RWY-5 (051° R) and NDB-5 (051° M) approaches.

Designated altitudes. Surface to 10,000 feet MSL.

Time of designation. Continuous—May 12, 1976, through May 25, 1976.

Controlling agency. Federal Aviation Administration, Washington ARTC Center.

Using agency. United States Atlantic Command, Norfolk, Va.

R-5309G SOLID SHIELD 76

Boundaries. Beginning at Lat. 34°57'30" N., Long. 77°02'00" W.; to Lat. 34°43'15" N., Long. 76°47'30" W.; to Lat. 34°42'00" N., Long. 76°54'45" W.; to Lat. 34°50'30" N., Long. 77°05'00" W.; to Lat. 34°49'30" N., Long. 77°10'00" W.; to point of beginning. Designated altitudes. Surface to 3,000 feet MSL.

Time of designation. Continuous—May 12, 1976, through May 25, 1976.

Controlling agency. Federal Aviation Administration, Washington ARTC Center.

Using agency. United States Atlantic Command, Norfolk, Va.

R-5309H SOLID SHIELD 76

Boundaries. Beginning at Lat. 34°43'15" N., Long. 76°47'30" W.; to Lat. 34°38'30" N., Long. 76°43'00" W.; thence west along a line 3 nautical miles from and parallel to the shoreline to Lat. 34°37'30" N., Long. 76°56'20" W.; thence north and east along Restricted Areas R-5306C and R-5306B to point of beginning.

Designated altitudes. 1,200 feet MSL to FL 180.

Time of designation. Continuous—May 12, 1976, through May 25, 1976.

Controlling agency. Federal Aviation Administration, Washington ARTC Center.

Using agency. United States Atlantic Command, Norfolk, Va.

R-5309I SOLID SHIELD 76

Boundaries. Beginning at Lat. 35°16'00" N., Long. 78°02'30" W.; to Lat. 35°16'00" N., Long. 78°08'00" W.; to Lat. 35°10'00" N., Long. 77°59'00" W.; to Lat. 34°58'00" N., Long. 78°03'00" W.; to Lat. 34°49'20" N., Long. 78°07'30" W.; to Lat. 34°17'00" N., Long. 78°30'00" W.; to Lat. 34°25'00" N., Long. 78°43'00" W.; to Lat. 34°50'00" N., Long. 78°46'00" W.; to Lat. 34°52'00" N., Long. 78°57'45" W.; to Lat. 35°02'55" N., Long. 79°05'40" W.; thence north along the boundary of Restricted Area R-5311A to Lat. 35°11'00" N., Long. 79°02'30" W.; to point of beginning.

Designated altitudes. 10,000 feet MSL to FL 180.

Time of designation. Continuous—May 12, 1976, through May 25, 1976.

Controlling agency. Federal Aviation Administration, Washington ARTC Center.

Using agency. United States Atlantic Command, Norfolk, Va.

R-5309J SOLID SHIELD 76

Boundaries. Beginning at Lat. 35°10'00" N., Long. 77°59'00" W.; to Lat. 35°11'00" N., Long. 77°36'00" W.; to Lat. 34°51'30" N., Long. 77°52'00" W.; to Lat. 34°17'20" N., Long. 77°46'15" W.; to Lat. 34°17'00" N., Long. 78°30'00" W.; to Lat. 34°48'20" N., Long. 78°07'30" W.; to Lat. 34°58'00" N., Long. 78°03'00" W.; to point of beginning.

Designated altitudes. 10,000 feet MSL to FL 180.

Time of designation. Continuous—May 12, 1976, through May 25, 1976.

Controlling agency. Federal Aviation Administration, Washington ARTC Center.

Using agency. United States Atlantic Command, Norfolk, Va.

R-5309K SOLID SHIELD 76

Boundaries. Beginning at Lat. 35°26'00" N., Long. 77°07'00" W.; to Lat. 35°23'00" N., Long. 76°34'30" W.; thence south and east along Restricted Areas R-5306A, B, C, D and

E to Lat. 34°30'20" N., Long. 77°15'50" W.; thence 3 nautical miles from and parallel to the shoreline to Lat. 34°18'00" N., Long. 77°37'30" W.; to Lat. 34°17'20" N., Long. 77°46'15" W.; to Lat. 34°51'30" N., Long. 77°52'00" W.; to Lat. 35°11'00" N., Long. 77°36'00" W.; to Lat. 35°24'00" N., Long. 77°17'00" W.; to point of beginning.

Designated altitudes. 10,000 feet MSL to FL 180.

Time of designation. Continuous—May 12, 1976, through May 25, 1976.

Controlling agency. Federal Aviation Administration, Washington ARTC Center.

Using agency. United States Atlantic Command, Norfolk, Va.

In § 73.30 (41 FR 663) the following temporary restricted area is added:

R-5309L SOLID SHIELD 76

Boundaries. Beginning at Lat. 32°05'00" N., Long. 81°58'00" W.; to Lat. 32°05'00" N., Long. 81°49'00" W.; thence south and east along Restricted Areas R-3005A and B to Lat. 31°56'15" N., Long. 81°23'00" W.; to Lat. 31°54'00" N., Long. 81°17'00" W.; to Lat. 31°35'00" N., Long. 81°23'00" W.; to Lat. 31°35'00" N., Long. 82°04'30" W.; to Lat. 31°49'00" N., Long. 82°11'00" W.; to point of beginning.

Designated altitudes. 5,000 feet MSL to 17,000 feet MSL.

Time of designation. Continuous—May 12, 1976, through May 25, 1976.

Controlling agency. Federal Aviation Administration, Jacksonville ARTC Center.

Using agency. United States Atlantic Command, Norfolk, Va.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)) and Sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on January 26, 1976.

WILLIAM E. BROADWATER,
Chief, Airspace and Air
Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.76-2939 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 75-EA-60]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Control Zone

On page 53270 of the FEDERAL REGISTER for November 17, 1975, the Federal Aviation Administration published a proposed rule which would alter the Albany, N.Y., Control Zone (41 FR 356).

Interested parties were given 30 days after publication in which to submit written data or views. No objections to the proposed regulations have been received. Since the change is minor in nature, the amendment can be effective in less than 30 days.

In view of the foregoing, the proposed regulation is hereby adopted, effective upon February 2, 1976.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 [72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348], and section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act [49 U.S.C. 1655(c)]).

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on January 19, 1976.

DUANE W. FREER,
Director, Eastern Region.

1. Amend § 71.171 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to amend the description of the Albany, N.Y. Control Zone by inserting "; within 2 miles each side of the extended centerline of Albany County Airport Runway 10, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 5 miles west of the approach end of Runway 10" following, "15 miles east of the VORTAC".

[FR Doc.76-2938 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 75-CE-12]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Designation of Transition Area

On Page 52051 of the FEDERAL REGISTER dated November 7, 1975, the Federal Aviation Administration published a notice of proposed rule making which would amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to designate a transition area at Meade, Kansas.

Interested persons were given 30 days to submit written comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed amendment.

No objections have been received and the proposed amendment is hereby adopted without change and is set forth below.

This amendment shall be effective 0901 G.m.t., March 25, 1976.

(Sec. 307 (a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348), and of Section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on January 19, 1976.

GEORGE R. LACAILLE,
Acting Director, Central Region.

§ 71.181 (4 FR 440), the following transition area is added:

MEADE, KANS.

The airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5.5 mile radius of the Meade, Kansas Municipal Airport (latitude 37°16'45" N., longitude 100°-21'15" W.); within 3 miles each side of the Meade NDB (latitude 37°16'40" N., longitude 100°21'31" W.); 008° bearing, extending from the 5.5-mile radius to 8.5 miles north of the NDB.

[FR Doc.76-2937 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 75-WA-20]

PART 75—ESTABLISHMENT OF JET ROUTES AND AREA HIGH ROUTES

Alteration of Area High Routes; Correction

In FR Doc. 76-869 appearing at page 1890 in the FEDERAL REGISTER of January 13, 1976, the paragraph beginning "In J920R" is corrected in the third and fourth lines by deleting "Lewiston" and substituting "Lewistown" therefor.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on January 27, 1976.

WILLIAM E. BROADWATER,
*Chief, Airspace and Air
Traffic Rules Division.*

[FR Doc.76-2940 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

Title 16—Commercial Practices

CHAPTER 1—FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

SUBCHAPTER G—RULES, REGULATIONS, STATEMENTS, AND INTERPRETATIONS UNDER MAGNUSON-MOSS WARRANTY ACT

PART 701—DISCLOSURE OF WRITTEN CONSUMER PRODUCT WARRANTY TERMS AND CONDITIONS

PART 702—PRE-SALE AVAILABILITY OF WRITTEN WARRANTY TERMS

Correction

In FR Doc. 75-34895 appearing on page 60168 in the issue of Wednesday, December 31, 1975 make the following corrections:

1. The heading should have appeared as set forth above.

2. On page 60175, footnote 96, the third line should have read "cock & Parsons, R1-3-2, 369, Sunbeam Corp.;".

3. On page 60179, in the second column, the section number above the second complete paragraph should have read "701.3(b)".

4. On page 60181, the fourth line of footnote 173 should have read "R-1-3-1, 164, Lear Siegler, Inc.; R-1-3-1, 188,".

5. On page 60185, the fourth line in the second column should be deleted.

6. On page 60193, the word "expeditions" in the tenth and eleventh line of the second complete paragraph was incorrectly shown as "expeditions".

7. On page 60199 footnote 74 should have read "R 1-2-3, 952, Staff Report."

8. On page 60200, the second line in the second paragraph of footnote 87 should have read "right to make immediate application to the".

SUBCHAPTER A—PROCEDURES AND RULES OF PRACTICE

PART 1—GENERAL PROCEDURES

Miscellaneous Amendments

On May 14, 1973, functions under the Flammable Fabrics Act (15 U.S.C. 1191-1204) were transferred to the Consumer Product Safety Commission pursuant to section 30 of the Consumer Product Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 2079). Previously, the Department of Commerce, the Federal Trade Commission, and the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare had responsibilities under the Flammable Fabrics Act.

By notice published in Part III of the FEDERAL REGISTER on December 30, 1975 (40 FR 59884-59957), the Consumer Product Safety Commission codified flammability standards, policy state-

ments, and interpretations under the Flammable Fabrics Act and transferred rules and regulations under that Act from Chapter 1 of Title 16, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 302 to Title 16, Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter II, Subchapter D.

The Commission announces the following amendments of Subparts D and G of Subchapter A of Chapter 1 of Title 16 of the Code of Federal Regulations, to delete references to the Flammable Fabrics Act.

1. The words "Flammable Fabrics Act" are deleted from the title of Subpart D.

§ 1.31 [Amended]

2. The words "Flammable Fabrics Act," are deleted from § 1.31.

§ 1.33 [Amended]

3. The words "section 8 of the Flammable Fabrics Act and Rule 10 of the rules and regulations thereunder (§ 302.10 of this chapter);" are deleted from § 1.33.

§ 1.34 [Amended]

4. The words "as well as articles of wearing apparel and fabrics subject to the provisions of the Flammable Fabrics Act" are deleted from § 1.34, and the comma after the words "fur products" is changed to a period.

§ 1.63 [Amended]

5. The words "Flammable Fabrics Act," are deleted from § 1.63.

§ 1.64 [Amended]

6. In § 1.64, insert the word "and" after the year "1939", and delete the words "and especially the Flammable Fabrics Act where the public may be endangered,".

These amendments are effective on February 2, 1976.

(Section 6(g), 38 Stat. 721, (15 U.S.C. 40); sec. (a) (1), 80 Stat. 383, (5 U.S.C. 552))

By direction of the Commission dated January 15, 1976.

VIRGINIA M. HARDING,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-2955 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

PART 4—MISCELLANEOUS RULES

Miscellaneous Amendment

In addition to the amendments of Subparts D and G of Part 1, Subchapter A of Chapter 1 of Title 16 of the Code of Federal Regulations, and Section 4 of its Statement of Organization appearing in today's FEDERAL REGISTER, the Commission announces the following amendment to Part 4, Subchapter A of Chapter 1 of Title 16 of the Code of Federal Regulations, to delete reference to the Flammable Fabrics Act.

Section 4.9(b) (7) is revised to read as follows:

§ 4.9 Public Records.

(b) * * *

(7) Continuing guaranties filed under the Wool, Fur, and Textile Acts;

This amendment is effective on February 2, 1976.

(Section 6, 38 Stat. 721, (15 U.S.C. 46); sec. (a) (1), 80 Stat. 383, (5 U.S.C. 552))

By direction of the Commission dated January 26, 1976.

CHARLES A. TOBIN,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-2956 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Docket C-2771]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES, AND AFFIRMATIVE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

DiLido Shops, Inc., et al.

Subpart—Advertising falsely or misleadingly: § 13.10 Advertising falsely or misleadingly; § 13.30 Composition of goods; 13.30-75 Textile Fiber Products Identification Act; § 13.45 Content; § 13.73 Formal regulatory and statutory requirements; 13.73-90 Textile Fiber Products Identification Act; § 13.130 Manufacture or preparation; 13.130-50 Textile Fiber Products Identification Act; § 13.205 Scientific or other relevant facts. Subpart—Failing to maintain records: § 13.1051 Failing to maintain records; 13.1051-30 Formal regulatory and/or statutory requirements. Subpart—Furnishing false guaranties: § 13.1053 Furnishing false guaranties; 13.1053-80 Textile Fiber Products Identification Act. Subpart—Invoicing products falsely: § 13.1108 Invoicing products falsely; 13.1108-80 Textile Fiber Products Identification Act. Subpart—Misbranding or mislabeling: § 13.1185 Composition; 13.1185-80 Textile Fiber Products Identification Act; § 13.1200 Content; § 13.1212 Formal regulatory and statutory requirements; 13.1212-80 Textile Fiber Products Identification Act; § 13.1320 Scientific or other relevant facts. Misrepresenting oneself and goods—Goods: § 13.1590 Composition; 13.1590-70 Textile Fiber Products Identification Act; § 13.1605 Content; § 13.1623 Formal regulatory and statutory requirements; 13.1623-80 Textile Fiber Products Identification Act. Subpart—Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure: § 13.1845 Composition; 13.1845-70 Textile Fiber Products Identification Act; § 13.1850 Content § 13.1852 Formal regulatory and statutory requirements; 13.1852-70 Textile Fiber Products Identification Act; § 13.1895 Scientific or other relevant facts. Subpart—Offering unfair, improper and deceptive inducements to purchase or deal: § 13.1982 Guarantee—statutory; 13.1982-80 Textile Fiber Products Identification Act; § 13.2063 Scientific or other relevant facts. Subpart—Using misleading name—Goods: § 13.2280

Composition; 13.2280-70 Textile Fiber Products Identification Act.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 72 Stat. 1717; 15 U.S.C. 45, 70)

In the Matter of DiLido Shops, Inc., a corporation, and Go-Young, Inc., a corporation, doing business under their own names and as DiLido Fashions and Go-Young Fashions, and Solomon Jove and Bertha Jove, individually and as officers of said corporations.

Consent order requiring two related Miami, Fla., manufacturers of men's sport shirts, among other things to cease misbranding and mislabeling their textile fiber products, furnishing false guaranties and failing to maintain proper records of the products manufactured by them.

The order to cease and desist, including further order requiring report of compliance therewith, is as follows: ¹

ORDER

It is ordered That respondents DiLido Shops, Inc., a corporation, and Go-Young, Inc., a corporation, doing business under their own names and as DiLido Fashions and Go-Young Fashions, their successors and assigns, and Solomon Jove and Bertha Jove, individually and as officers of said corporations, and respondents' representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division or other device, in connection with the introduction, delivery for introduction, manufacture for introduction, sale, advertising, or offering for sale, in commerce, or in the importation into the United States, of any textile fiber product; or in connection with the sale, offering for sale, advertising, delivery, transportation or causing to be transported, after shipment in commerce of any textile fiber product, whether in its original state or contained in any other textile fiber product, as the terms "commerce" and "textile fiber product" are defined in the Textile Fiber Products Identification Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Misbranding textile fiber products by:
 - a. Falsely or deceptively stamping, tagging, labeling, invoicing or otherwise taggin, labeling, invoicing or otherwise identifying such products as to the name or amount of the constituent fibers contained therein;
 - b. Failing to affix a stamp, tag, label or other means of identification to each such product showing in a clear, legible and conspicuous manner each element of information required to be disclosed by Section 4(b) of the Textile Fiber Products Identification Act;
 - c. Using a fiber trademark on labels affixed to textile fiber products without the generic name of the fiber appearing in immediate conjunction therewith in

¹ Copies of the Complaint, Decision and Order, filed with the original document.

type or lettering of equal size and conspicuousness.

2. Furnishing a false guaranty that any textile fiber product is not misbranded or falsely or deceptively invoiced or advertised under the provisions of the Textile Fiber Products Identification Act.

3. Failing to maintain and preserve proper records of fiber content of textile fiber products manufactured by respondents, as required by Section 6(a) of the Textile Fiber Products Identification Act and Rule 39 of the Rules and Regulations promulgated thereunder.

It is further ordered, That respondents notify the Commission at least thirty (30) days prior to any proposed change in the corporate respondents, DiLido Shops, Inc., and Go-Young, Inc., such as dissolution, assignment or sale resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation, the creation or dissolution of subsidiaries or any other change in the corporations which may affect compliance obligations arising out of the order.

It is further ordered, That the individual respondents named herein promptly notify the Commission of the discontinuance of their present business or employment and of their affiliation with a new business or employment. Such notice shall include respondents' current business address and a statement as to the nature of the business or employment in which they are engaged, as well as a description of their duties and responsibilities.

It is further ordered, That the respondent corporations shall forthwith distribute a copy of this order to each of their operating divisions.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report, in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with this order.

The Decision and Order was issued by the Commission Dec. 22, 1975.

CHARLES A. TOBIN,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-2977 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Docket C-2558]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES, AND AFFIRMATIVE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

Hercules Inc.

Codification under 16 C.F.R. 13 appears in 40 FR 3974; issue for January 27, 1975.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interprets or applies sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45)

In the Matter of Hercules Incorporated, a corporation.

Order modifying an earlier order dated Oct. 4, 1974, 40 F.R. 3974, 84 F.T.C. 605, by eliminating order paragraphs I.B., I.C., II.B., II.C., and III and including

two provisos: (1) that simple efficacy claims will not be considered absolute safety claims under paragraph I.A., and (2) that primarily institutional advertising will not require the "stop" clause. This is to provide for a uniformity of treatment among competitors against whom the F.T.C. proceeded simultaneously issuing complaints involving the same practices. The modified order affords respondent the benefits of the terms of the consent order afforded to FMC Corporation in Docket 8961, 40 F.R. 53552, 86 F.T.C. —, but leaves intact those provisions which are peculiar to the facts of the Hercules complaint.

The order reopening and modifying cease and desist order, including further order requiring report of compliance therewith, is as follows:¹

Upon consideration of respondent's petition to reopen and modify the Cease and Desist Order entered by consent against respondent in this matter on October 4, 1974, to afford respondent the benefits of the terms of the consent order afforded to FMC Corporation in Docket No. 8961, but leaving intact those provisions which are peculiar to the facts of the Hercules Complaint, and the Acting Director of the Bureau of Consumer Protection having recommended that the requested modifications be granted, and the Commission having concluded on the basis of the foregoing that the petition should be granted.

It is ordered, That this matter be reopened and the following Modified Final Order be substituted and issued in lieu of the Order entered herein on October 4, 1974:

MODIFIED FINAL ORDER

I. It is ordered, That respondent, Hercules Incorporated, a corporation, its successors and assigns and respondent's officers, representatives, agents, and employees, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division or other device, in connection with the advertising, offering for sale, or distribution of any insecticide product with precautionary labeling which contains any active insecticidal ingredient(s) presently marketed by respondent or currently being field tested by respondent and which is intended for use by custom applicators and commercial growers to protect animals or food, forage, field or fiber crops by virtue of the capacity of its active ingredient(s) to kill insects (sometimes referred to hereinafter as "such products"), do forthwith cease and desist from:

A. Representing, directly or by implication, by print or broadcast advertising, by other promotional material, or by sales representatives' oral statements, that such products are absolutely or unqualifiedly safe, non-toxic or free of hazard for any use registered under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, as amended (hereinafter FIFRA) or any other approved use based upon evidence filed in connection with registration under FIFRA.

Provided however That for purposes of enforcing Paragraph I.A. of this Order any advertisement, statement, claim or representation that such products may be employed for a crop or plant use registered under FIFRA or any other approved use based upon evidence filed in connection with registration under FIFRA shall not be deemed a violation of this Order;

Provided further That this exception shall be effective only until such time as a Trade Regulation Rule covering the advertising and promotion of such products subject to this Order and containing terms at least as onerous as Paragraph I.A. of this Order becomes final and effective. II. With respect to representations not covered by the provisions of Section I. of this Order, it is ordered that Hercules Incorporated, a corporation, its successors and assigns and respondent's officers, representatives, agents, and employees, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division or other device, in connection with the advertising, offering for sale, or sale or distribution of such products, do forthwith cease and desist from:

A. Representing, directly or by implication, by print or broadcast advertising, by other promotional material, or by sales representatives' oral statements, that such products are absolutely safe, non-toxic or free of hazard to human beings, warm-blooded animals, birds, fish, beneficial insects, or the environment.

B. Representing, directly or by implication, by print or broadcast advertising or by other promotional material, that Toxaphene insecticide, or any product containing Toxaphene insecticide:

(1) Is "soft;" or

(2) Is "non-persistent," "non-mobile" and/or will "not magnify biologically;" provided however, that the use of such terms shall not be prohibited if:

(a) Accompanied by statements, which clearly and conspicuously and in close conjunction with such terms, fully and accurately explain such terms and the specific context within which such terms are used, and that context reflects normal circumstances or conditions in which the product could be expected to be used; and

(b) Accompanied by statements which set forth all relevant and material adverse effects on the environment known to result from the uses of such product which are suggested claims for the product; and

(c) Statements concerning such terms are substantiated by competent scientific tests or other objective material which provide a reasonable basis for the representations made, and the substantiation materials are either (i) available for public inspection, or (ii) otherwise available to the Federal Trade Commission to determine compliance with this Order; and

(d) Statements concerning such terms include no conclusory representations, either directly or indirectly or by implication, suggesting that the product is unqualifiedly safe, non-toxic or free of hazard.

III. It is further ordered That respondent, Hercules Incorporated, a corporation, its successors and assigns and respondent's officers, representatives, agents, and employees, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division or other device, in connection with the advertising, offering for sale, or sale or distribution of such products do forthwith cease and desist from disseminating or causing the dissemination of:

A. Any print advertising or print promotional material which contains any use or efficacy claim or any environmental or safety claim for any such products unless it clearly and conspicuously includes in such print advertisement or print promotional material the following statement:

STOP! ALL PESTICIDES CAN BE HARMFUL TO HEALTH AND THE ENVIRONMENT IF MISUSED. READ THE LABEL CAREFULLY AND USE ONLY AS DIRECTED.

B. Any broadcast advertisement more than 30 seconds in length which contains for any such products any use or efficacy claim or any environmental or safety claim unless it clearly and conspicuously includes the following statement:

ALL PESTICIDES CAN BE HARMFUL TO HEALTH AND THE ENVIRONMENT IF MISUSED. READ THE LABEL CAREFULLY AND USE ONLY AS DIRECTED.

C. Any broadcast advertisement not more than 30 seconds in length which contains for any such products any use or efficacy claim or any environmental or safety claim unless it clearly and conspicuously includes the following statement:

ALL PESTICIDES CAN BE HARMFUL. READ THE LABEL. USE AS DIRECTED.

Provided That in television advertisements not more than 10 seconds in length for any such products which contain no direct representations concerning product safety, the requirements of the term "clearly and conspicuously" shall in all cases be met by including the above statement in the video portion of the advertisement.

Provided however That for purposes of enforcing Paragraph III of this Order any advertisement, statement, claim or representation that such products may be employed for a crop or plant use registered under FIFRA, or any other approved use based upon evidence filed in connection with registration under FIFRA shall not be deemed sufficient to require the disclosure of any statement otherwise required under the provisions of Paragraph III; provided further, That this exception shall be limited to advertisements which promote the respondent's corporate image and which only incidentally promote the sale or distribution of such products.

IV. It is further ordered That the provisions of this Order shall apply to all

¹ Copies of the Petition to Modify Cease and Desist Order, issued Sept. 24, 1976, and Order Reopening and Modifying Cease and Desist Order, filed with the original document.

advertising (or advertising claims) prepared by respondent, whether or not such advertising is placed or paid for by respondent alone, or by respondent in conjunction with another under a cooperative advertising plan, or otherwise; *provided, however*, That Sections I, II, and III of this Order shall not apply to any advertising prepared by the customers of respondent, whether or not respondent makes payment in whole or in part for such advertising under any cooperative advertising plan, or otherwise. Nothing in this Section IV shall be construed to extend any provision of this Order beyond the specific terms thereof.

Respondent shall, nevertheless, condition all future payments to customers of insecticide products covered by this Order, made in connection with any cooperative advertising plan in which respondent participates; upon said customer's certification to respondent that they have complied with the standards set forth in Section III of this Order.

V. Nothing in this Order shall be construed to apply to scientific articles published in recognized scientific or agricultural journals or government publications, or reprints thereof, or representations (other than print advertising or other promotional material) before public or governmental forums such as public hearings, scientific meetings, or to governmental agencies, agents, or employees responsible for the regulation, testing, or dissemination of information concerning pesticide products covered by this Order.

VI. *It is further ordered* That nothing in this Order shall prohibit the dissemination of product labels (as defined by Section 2(p)(1) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, as amended), or reproductions thereof.

VII. *It is further ordered* That should the Federal Trade Commission promulgate a Trade Regulation Rule or Industry Guide governing the advertising or promotion of products subject to this Order, then any pertinent less comprehensive or less restrictive provisions of such Rule or Guide shall automatically replace any comparable provisions set forth herein which are effective on the date that such Rule or Guide becomes final and effective.

VIII. *It is further ordered* That the respondent forthwith distribute a copy of this Order to each of its operating divisions engaged in the manufacture, sale, advertising, promotion or distribution of products subject to this Order, and to all present and future employees of respondent responsible for the advertising, promotion, distribution or sale of such products, and to all parties participating in respondent's cooperative advertising programs for such products; *Provided, however*, That distribution by respondent of the Order issued in this matter on October 4, 1974 shall be considered as if such distribution had been made under this Section VIII and respondent shall not be required to make distribution of this Order to persons to whom such previous Order has been distributed.

IX. *It is further ordered* That the respondent notify the Commission at least thirty (30) days prior to any proposed change in the corporate respondent such as dissolution, assignment or sale, resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation, the creation or dissolution of subsidiaries or any other changes in the corporation which may affect compliance obligations arising out of this Order.

X. *It is further ordered* That the Compliance Report heretofore filed by respondent shall be considered by the Commission as if it had been filed under this Order.

XI. *It is further ordered* That this Order shall become effective upon service.

The Order Reopening and Modifying Cease and Desist Order was issued by the Commission Dec. 2, 1975.

CHARLES A. TOBIN,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-2978 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

PART 433—PRESERVATION OF CONSUMERS' CLAIMS AND DEFENSES

Correction

In FR Doc. 75-30759 appearing at page 53506 in the issue for Tuesday, November 18, 1975, make the following changes:

1. On page 53526 in the second column and in footnote 2, change the words "C. Ferguson, *Microeconomic Theory*, 391-92 (1966)" to "The seminal work in this area is to be found at Note: 'Direct Loan Financing of Consumer Purchases,' 85 *Harv. L. Rev.*, 1409 (May 1972)."

2. On page 53526 in the second column and in footnote 3, insert after the word "Id." the words "Also see: G. Calabresi, *The Costs of Accidents* (1970)."

CHARLES A. TOBIN,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-2953 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

Title 17—Commodity and Securities Exchanges

CHAPTER II—SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release Nos. 33-5667, 34-11885, IC-9115, AS-188]

PART 211—INTERPRETATIVE RELEASES RELATING TO ACCOUNTING MATTERS

Securities of New York City and Securities Subject to Exchange Offer and Moratorium; Statement on Disclosure and Accounting

The Commission has noted developments with respect to the financial problems of the City of New York, including the moratorium imposed by the state legislature on the enforcement by holders of the terms of certain outstanding short-term obligations of the City of New York,¹ recent amendments adopted by

¹The legality of the moratorium has been challenged in litigation and upheld in the Supreme Court of New York (*Flushing National Bank v. Municipal Assistance Corp. for the City of New York, et al.*, decided December 23, 1975 by Judge Harold Baer, Index No. 20245-1975, Supreme Court, New York). The Plaintiff has indicated an intention to appeal.

the legislature to the Local Finance Law (Title 6-A), the creation of the Municipal Assistance Corporation for the City of New York ("Municipal Assistance Corporation"), the enactment by the legislature of statutes providing for a three-year financial plan for the City and the enactment by Congress of The New York City Seasonal Financing Act of 1975 (Public Law 94-143). These developments have created significant questions with respect to disclosure and accounting by registrants who are holders of New York City securities. In light of these developments, the Commission has determined that it would be helpful to investors and to registrants and independent public accountants to publish its views on some aspects of these problems.

The Commission's present rules require certain specific disclosures of the cost and market values of investments in securities. Commercial and industrial companies are required to state the cost and market value of marketable securities and other securities investments, either by setting forth each issue separately or by the use of reasonable groupings.² Management investment companies are required to state the cost and value of each issue held.³ Insurance companies and banks are required to state the cost and value of the aggregate holdings of bonds and notes issued by states, municipalities and political subdivisions, and in the case of insurance companies, corporate securities.⁴

In addition to these specific rules, the Commission has long required registrants to include in filings "such further material information as is necessary to make the required statements, in light of the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading."⁵ In interpreting this requirement, the Commission has from time-to-time issued statements which call attention to particular problems where disclosure beyond the specific requirements of rules may be necessary.

In view of the circumstances referred to above, the Commission believes that certain information in regard to holdings of New York City securities set forth below is material and should assist investors in making their own judgments about the effects, if any, on the income and business of registrants of the developments referred to above with respect to the financial situation of New York City.

Accordingly, registrants who hold New York City notes that are in moratorium; other securities issued by the City of

²Regulation S-X, Rules 5-02-2, 5-02-12, 12-02 [17 CFR 210.5-02-2, 210.5-02-12, 210.12-02].

³Regulation S-X, Rules 6-02-7, 12-19 [17 CFR 210.6-02-7, 210.12-19].

⁴Regulation S-X, Rules 7-03-1, 7a-03-1, 12-19, 9-05(b)(2) [17 CFR 210.7-03-1, 210.7a-03-1, 210.12-19, 210.9-05(b)(2)] and Regulation F [12 CFR 206], Form F-9A-2(a)(3) of the Federal Reserve Board.

⁵Regulation S-X, Rule 3-06 [12 CFR 210.3-06]; also Rule 408 [17 CFR 230.408] under the Securities Act of 1933 and Rule 12b-20 [17 CFR 240.12b-20] under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

New York that will mature within three years; securities of the Municipal Assistance Corporation that were issued in exchange for New York City notes in moratorium; or securities of the Municipal Assistance Corporation that were made subject to an agreement modifying terms, should make the following disclosures in notes to financial statements (and, if appropriate, in management's analysis of the summary of earnings) if the book value of such securities in the aggregate amounts to more than 10% of stockholders' equity:

(1) The total cost and carrying value (if other than cost) of the above described securities which were held at the end of 1975, and the income on such securities recorded in 1975.

(2) Of the total amount included in (1), identify separately the cost and carrying value of those securities:

(a) Issued by New York City in moratorium,

(b) Other securities issued or guaranteed by or otherwise obligating the City of New York which will mature within three years,

(c) Issued by the Municipal Assistance Corporation in exchange for the New York City notes in moratorium, and

(d) Issued by the Municipal Assistance Corporation and subject to an agreement modifying terms.

(3) A discussion of the effect of the moratorium, exchanges or agreements on future income in comparison with the income recorded in 1975.

This disclosure reflects the fact that New York City has encountered an acute financial problem which has required certain emergency measures. On the other hand, in the light of the measures referred to there does not appear to be any adequate basis at this time for concluding that the long term risks involved are unique, and, therefore, the Commission believes the existing provisions of Regulations S-X [17 CFR Part 210] which require, in addition to disclosure of the aggregate cost, disclosure of the aggregate market value of all municipal securities, including those of New York City, should adequately reflect the long term risks. The Commission has therefore determined, after consultation with the bank regulatory authorities, not to mandate specifically at this time disclosures beyond those presently required and those stated above.

The disclosures referred to above reflect the Commission's conclusion that developments with respect to the financial problems of the City of New York call for disclosure at this time of significant holdings of New York City securities which are particularly affected by recent developments in the affairs of the City. The Commission recognizes, however, that other issuers of securities may suffer financial difficulties that could adversely impact holders of material investments in such securities. As a part of a longer term and more generalized effort to deal with the fact that significant concentration of holdings in any security may warrant disclosure, the Commission is proposing an amendment

to Rule 3-16 of Regulation S-X [17 CFR 210.3-16] which would require footnote disclosure by all registrants of certain concentrations in securities holdings. (See Securities Act Release No. 5668, dated January 7, 1976) (41 FR 4833).

In addition to the questions of disclosure discussed above, questions have arisen as to how holders of securities subject to the moratorium or securities into which they have been exchanged should account for those securities in their financial statements at December 31, 1975. Various views have been expressed, and it is apparent from the diversity of reaction to the factual circumstances set forth herein that there is no single answer to the questions within the currently existing body of authoritative accounting pronouncements.

Because there are differing opinions among accountants as to the proper accounting treatment under existing authoritative pronouncements, and in view of the fact that the Financial Accounting Standards Board has agreed to undertake a study of the accounting problems raised by the moratorium and exchange with the intention of developing standards which can be applied to year-end statements in 1976, the Commission is not prepared at this time to require the use of any particular accounting method to account for holdings of such securities at December 31, 1975. It believes that the disclosures set forth above, together with a description of the accounting methods followed, should assist investors in evaluating the impact of the moratorium and exchange on registrants and to estimate the amounts which might have been recorded under alternative accounting methods.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

JANUARY 7, 1976.

[FR Doc.76-2944 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

Title 21—Food and Drugs

CHAPTER I—FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

SUBCHAPTER E—ANIMAL DRUGS; FEEDS, AND RELATED PRODUCTS

PART 522—IMPLANTATION OR INJECTABLE DOSAGE FORM NEW ANIMAL DRUGS NOT SUBJECT TO CERTIFICATION

Dinoprost Tromethamine Sterile Solution

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs has evaluated a new animal drug application (100-202V) filed by The Upjohn Co., Kalamazoo, MI 49001, proposing safe and effective use of dinoprost tromethamine sterile solution for the treatment of mares. The application is approved, effective February 2, 1976.

The Commissioner is amending Part 522 (21 CFR Part 522) to reflect this approval.

In accordance with § 514.11(e) (2) (ii) (21 CFR 514.11(e) (2) (ii)) of the ani-

mal drug regulations, a summary of the safety and effectiveness data and information submitted to support the approval of this application is released publicly. The summary is available for public examination at the office of the Hearing Clerk, Rm. 4-65, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20852, Monday through Friday from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m., except on Federal legal holidays.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347 (21 U.S.C. 360b(i))) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), Part 522 is amended by adding a new section to read as follows:

§ 522.690 Dinoprost tromethamine sterile solution.

(a) *Chemical name.* 7-[3a, 5a-Dihydroxy - 2β-[(3S) -3 hydroxytrans-1-octenyl]-1a-cyclopentyl]-cis-5 - heptenoic acid compound with 2-amino-2(hydroxymethyl)-1,3-propanediol.

(b) *Specifications.* Each milliliter of dinoprost tromethamine sterile solution contains dinoprost tromethamine equivalent to 5 milligrams of dinoprost.

(c) *Sponsor.* See No. 000009 in § 510.600(c) of this chapter.

(d) *Conditions of use.* (1) The drug is used in mares for its luteolytic effect to control the timing of estrus in estrous cycling mares and in clinically anestrus mares that have a corpus luteum.

(2) It is administered once as a single intramuscular injection of dinoprost tromethamine at a dosage level equivalent to 1 milligram of dinoprost per 100 pounds of body weight.

(3) Hazardous for human use. Do not allow pregnant women, asthmatics, or persons with bronchial and other respiratory problems to administer.

(4) Not for use in horses intended for food.

(5) Federal law restricts this drug to use by or on the order of a licensed veterinarian.

Effective date. This amendment shall be effective February 2, 1976.

(Sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347 (21 U.S.C. 360(b) (1).)

Dated: January 26, 1976.

C. D. VAN HOUWELING,
Director, Bureau of
Veterinary Medicine.

[FR Doc.76-2942 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

Title 24—Housing and Urban Development CHAPTER V—OFFICE OF ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. R-76-202]

PART 570—COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANTS

Applications for Entitlement Grants

Correction

In FR Doc. 76-2352, appearing at page 4132 in the issue for Wednesday, January 28, 1976, in § 570.303, delete paragraph (c) in its entirety.

CHAPTER X—FEDERAL INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FI-447]

PART 1914—AREAS ELIGIBLE FOR THE SALE OF INSURANCE

Status of Participating Communities

The purpose of this notice is to list those communities wherein the sale of flood insurance is authorized under the National Flood Insurance Program (42 U.S.C. 4001-4128).

Insurance policies can be obtained from any licensed property insurance agent or broker serving the eligible community, or from the National Flood Insurers Association servicing company for the state (addresses are published at 40 FR 57210-212). A list of servicing companies is also available from the Federal

§ 1914.4 List of Eligible Communities.

Insurance Administration (FIA), HUD, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20410.

The Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 requires the purchase of flood insurance as a condition of receiving any form of Federal or Federally related financial assistance for acquisition or construction purposes in a flood plain area having special hazards within any community identified by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development.

The requirement applies to all identified special flood hazard areas within the United States, and no such financial assistance can legally be provided for acquisition or construction in these areas unless the community has entered the program. Accordingly, for communities listed under this Part no such restriction exists, although insurance, if required, must be purchased.

The Federal Insurance Administrator finds that delayed effective dates would

be contrary to the public interest. The Administrator also finds that notice and public procedure under 5 U.S.C. § 553(b) are impracticable and unnecessary.

Section 1914.4 of Part 1914 of Subchapter B of Chapter X of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding in alphabetical sequence new entries to the table. In each entry, a complete chronology of effective dates appears for each listed community. The date that appears in the fourth column of the table is provided in order to designate the effective date of the authorization of the sale of flood insurance in the area under the emergency or the regular flood insurance program. These dates serve notice only for the purposes of granting relief, and not for the application of sanctions, within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 551. The entry reads as follows:

State	County	Location	Effective date of authorization of sale of flood insurance for area	Hazard area identified	Community number
Arkansas	Lonoke	Austin, city of	Jan. 13, 1976, emergency	Apr. 13, 1975	050383
Do	Boone	Diamond City, city of	do	July 13, 1975	050407
Connecticut	Tolland	Willington, town of	do	Dec. 20, 1974	090159
Florida	Santa Rosa	Jay, town of	do	Oct. 10, 1975	120339
Do	Broward	Parkland, city of	do	Aug. 30, 1974	120351
Georgia	Burke	Unincorporated areas	do	do	130022
Idaho	Idaho	White Bird, city of	do	Sept. 13, 1974	160072
Maine	Penobscot	Alton, town of	do	Feb. 28, 1975	230101
Do	Hancock	Southwest Harbor, town of	do	Jan. 17, 1975	230223
Massachusetts	Middlesex	Carlisle, town of	do	Aug. 16, 1974	250187
Missouri	Clay	Missouri City, city of	do	do	280097
Nebraska	Boyd	Bristow, village of	do	Jan. 3, 1975	310012A
New York	Oswego	Cleveland, village of	do	May 31, 1974	360098
Do	St. Lawrence	Herman, village of	do	Jan. 10, 1975	361494
North Dakota	Sargent	Cogswell, city of	do	Jan. 17, 1975	380184
Ohio	Hancock	Mount Blanchard, village of	do	Aug. 9, 1974	390248
Oregon	Umatilla	Helix, city of	do	Dec. 20, 1974	410208
Pennsylvania	York	Chanteford, township of	do	Jan. 17, 1975	422217
Do	Clearfield	Cooper, township of	do	Dec. 20, 1974	421520
Do	Elk	Jay, township of	do	Nov. 15, 1974	421611

State	County	Location	Effective date of authorization of sale of flood insurance for area	Hazard area identified	Community number
Arkansas	Yell	Ola, city of	Jan. 14, 1976, emergency	Jan. 10, 1975	050375
Illinois	Kane	Hampshire, village of	do	May 3, 1974	170327
Iowa	Van Buren	Bonaparte, city of	do	Jan. 9, 1974	190266
Kansas	Sedgwick	Colwich, city of	do	Sept. 26, 1975	200434
Maine	Washington	Walte, town of	do	do	230326
Do	Piscataquis	Willimantic, town of	do	Feb. 7, 1975	230417
Missouri	Linn	Marcelline, city of	do	Mar. 29, 1974	240215
Nebraska	Hall	Doniphan, village of	do	Jan. 24, 1975	310102A
New Jersey	Morris	Mount Arlington, borough of	do	do	340577
Oklahoma	Wagoner	Wagoner, city of	do	June 28, 1974	400219
Oregon	Douglas	Elkton, city of	do	Sept. 13, 1974	410062A
Pennsylvania	Lackawanna	Ablington, township of	do	Dec. 27, 1974	422433
Do	Fayette	Springfield, township of	do	do	421638
Do	do	Stewart, township of	do	Dec. 13, 1974	421640
Do	Cumberland	West Pennsboro, township of	do	Feb. 7, 1975	421520
Vermont	Grand Isle	North Hero, town of	do	Jan. 10, 1975	500225
Georgia	Peach	Byron, city of	Jan. 15, 1976, emergency	Apr. 11, 1975	130374
Indiana	La Porte	Unincorporated areas	do	Dec. 20, 1974	180144
Maine	Franklin	Madrid, town of	do	Jan. 10, 1975	230350
Do	Somerset	Starks, town of	do	Apr. 15, 1975	230572
Missouri	St. Louis	Marlborough, village of	do	May 31, 1974	250368
Nevada	Nye	Unincorporated areas	do	Oct. 15, 1974	320018
New York	Genesee	Bergen, town of	do	Nov. 22, 1974	361137
Do	Lewis	Castorland, village of	do	Aug. 9, 1974	360359
Do	Nassau	Lake Success, village of	do	do	361532
Ohio	Madison	London, city of	do	Aug. 30, 1974	390366
Wisconsin	Dunn	Wheeler, village of	do	Aug. 2, 1974	550124

State	County	Location	Effective date of authorization of sale of flood insurance for area	Hazard area identified	Community number
Alabama.....	Jefferson.....	Kimberly, town of.....	Jan. 16, 1976, emergency.....	July 11, 1975.....	010265
Do.....	Walker.....	Parrish, town of.....	do.....	Jan. 10, 1975.....	010298
California.....	Colusa.....	Unincorporated areas.....	do.....	Oct. 25, 1974.....	060023
Colorado.....	Gunnison.....	Marble, town of.....	do.....	do.....	080197
Georgia.....	Jenkins.....	Unincorporated areas.....	do.....	do.....	130118
Do.....	Effingham.....	Springfield, city of.....	do.....	Apr. 4, 1975.....	130427
Indiana.....	Knox.....	Edwardsport, town of.....	do.....	Nov. 23, 1973.....	180321
Do.....	Randolph.....	Unincorporated areas.....	do.....	do.....	180429
Massachusetts.....	Middlesex.....	Maynard, town of.....	do.....	July 26, 1974.....	250201
Missouri.....	Franklin.....	New Haven, city of.....	do.....	do.....	290193
Do.....	Webster.....	Rogersville, city of.....	do.....	Aug. 29, 1975.....	290658
New York.....	Orleans.....	Lyndonville, village of.....	do.....	Dec. 20, 1974.....	301459
Do.....	Otsego.....	Milford, town of.....	do.....	Dec. 13, 1974.....	301274
Pennsylvania.....	Allegheny.....	Aleppo, township of.....	do.....	May 10, 1974.....	421266
Do.....	Clarion.....	Madison, township of.....	do.....	Jan. 10, 1975.....	422370
Do.....	Franklin.....	Metal, township of.....	do.....	Jan. 21, 1975.....	421033
Do.....	Allegheny.....	Pleasant Hills, borough of.....	do.....	do.....	420001
Utah.....	Weber.....	Roy, city of.....	do.....	Feb. 7, 1975.....	490223
Do.....	Box Elder.....	Willard, town of.....	do.....	June 7, 1974.....	490011
West Virginia.....	Wetzel.....	Unincorporated areas.....	do.....	Dec. 20, 1974.....	510207

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968); effective Jan. 23, 1969 (33 FR 17804, Nov. 28, 1968), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Sec-

retary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, Feb. 27, 1969) as amended 39 FR 2787, Jan. 24, 1974.

Issued: January 12, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal
Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-2791 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI-836]

PART 1914—AREAS ELIGIBLE FOR THE SALE OF INSURANCE

Status of Participating Communities

The purpose of this notice is to list those communities wherein the sale of flood insurance is authorized under the National Flood Insurance Program (42 U.S.C. 4001-4128).

Insurance policies can be obtained from any licensed property insurance agent or broker serving the eligible community, or from the National Flood Insurers Association servicing company for the state (addresses are published at 40 FR 57210-212). A list of servicing companies is also available from the Federal Insurance Administration (FIA), HUD, 451 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20410.

§ 1914.4. List of Eligible Communities.

State	County	Location	Effective date of authorization of sale of flood insurance for area	Hazard area identified	Community number
Alabama.....	Jefferson.....	Pleasant Grove, city of.....	Jan. 6, 1976, emergency.....	Dec. 20, 1974.....	010265
Idaho.....	Latah.....	Kendrick, city of.....	do.....	Oct. 18, 1974.....	160-89A
Illinois.....	Knox.....	Unincorporated areas.....	do.....	do.....	17014
Indiana.....	Crawford.....	Marengo, town of.....	do.....	Feb. 1, 1974.....	180033A
Pennsylvania.....	Berks.....	Bernville, borough of.....	do.....	Sept. 19, 1975.....	421031
Do.....	Union.....	Hartley, township of.....	do.....	Sept. 13, 1974.....	421031
Do.....	Bradford.....	Rome, township of.....	do.....	Dec. 20, 1974.....	422039
Georgia.....	Long.....	Unincorporated areas.....	Jan. 7, 1976, emergency.....	do.....	13-127
Do.....	Haralson.....	Tallapoosa, city of.....	do.....	Apr. 25, 1975.....	130337
Illinois.....	Fulton and Knox.....	London Mills, village of.....	do.....	Oct. 18, 1974.....	170763
Do.....	St. Clair.....	Smithton, village of.....	do.....	Mar. 29, 1974.....	170892
Massachusetts.....	Franklin.....	Hawley, town of.....	do.....	Nov. 22, 1974.....	250110
New York.....	St. Lawrence.....	Fowler, town of.....	do.....	Nov. 1, 1974.....	36068A
Do.....	Schuyler.....	Hector, town of.....	do.....	Oct. 17, 1975.....	301204A
Do.....	Cayuga.....	Sempronius, town of.....	do.....	Dec. 6, 1974.....	360123
North Dakota.....	Burke.....	Portal, city of.....	do.....	May 31, 1974.....	330190
Virginia.....	Rappahannock.....	Unincorporated areas.....	do.....	Feb. 7, 1975.....	510128
Wisconsin.....	Dunn.....	Menomonie, city of.....	do.....	Nov. 29, 1974.....	550123A
				June 28, 1974.....	
				Aug. 15, 1975.....	

State	County	Location	Effective date of authorization of sale of flood insurance for area	Hazard area identified	Community number
Illinois	Fulton	Smithfield, village of	Jan. 8, 1970, emergency	Dec. 6, 1974	170776
Iowa	Linn	Central City, city of	do		190183
Kansas	Rice	Alden, city of	do	Dec. 27, 1974	200291
New York	Schoharie	Blenheim, town of	do		361580
Do	Monroe	Pittsford, village of	do		361581
Oklahoma	Rogers	Catoosa, city of	do	Sept. 6, 1974	400185
Oregon	Marion	Detroit, city of	do	Nov. 1, 1974	410157
Texas	Dallam and Hartley	Dalhart, city of	do	Aug. 23, 1974	450164
Washington	Pend Oreille	Metallino Falls, town of	do	Dec. 20, 1974	530136

State	County	Location	Effective date of authorization of sale of flood insurance for area	Hazard area identified	State map repository	Local map repository
Alabama	Coffee	New Brockton, town of	Jan. 12, 1970, emergency	Jan. 17, 1975		
Do	Walker	Oakman, town of	do	Feb. 21, 1975		
Do	Washington	Unincorporated areas	do	Dec. 20, 1974		
Connecticut	New London	Lisbon, town of	do	Jan. 31, 1975		
Idaho	Kootenai	Hayden Lake, city of	do	Sept. 6, 1974		
Kansas	Johnson	Edgerton, city of	do	Mar. 8, 1974		
				Dec. 10, 1975		
Louisiana	Evangeline Parish	Unincorporated areas	do			
Do	Avoyelles Parish	Mansura, town of	do	Jan. 10, 1975		
Do	Acadia Parish	Mermentau, village of	do	Nov. 23, 1973		
Missouri	Christian	Ozark, city of	do	Nov. 14, 1975		
New Jersey	Camden	Lindenwold, borough of	do	Dec. 23, 1973		
New York	Oswego	Altmar, village of	do	Nov. 22, 1974		
Do	Chautauqua	Ellicott, town of	do	Nov. 15, 1974		
Do	Chellango	Greene, town of	do	Dec. 6, 1974		
Do	Seneca	Ovid, town of	do	Dec. 27, 1974		
				July 23, 1974		
Ohio	Tuscarawas	Tuscarawas, village of	do	Sept. 12, 1975		
				Apr. 5, 1974		

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968); effective Jan. 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, Nov. 28, 1968), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Sec-

retary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, Feb. 27, 1969) as amended 39 FR 2787, Jan. 24, 1974.

Issued: January 2, 1976:

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal
Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-2789 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI-843]

PART 1914—AREAS ELIGIBLE FOR THE SALE OF INSURANCE

Status of Participating Communities

The purpose of this notice is to list those communities wherein the sale of flood insurance is authorized under the National Flood Insurance Program (42 U.S.C. 4001-4128).

Insurance policies can be obtained from any licensed property insurance agent or broker serving the eligible community, or from the National Flood Insurers Association servicing company for the state (addresses are published at 40 FR 57210-212 and 41 FR 1062). A list of servicing companies is also available from the Federal Insurance Administration (FIA), HUD, 451 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20410.

The Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 requires the purchase of flood insurance as a condition of receiving any form of Federal or Federally related financial assistance for acquisition or construction purposes in a flood plain area having special hazards within any community identified by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development.

The requirement applies to all identified special flood hazard areas within the United States, and no such financial assistance can legally be provided for acquisition or construction in these areas unless the community has entered the program. Accordingly, for communities listed under this Part no such restriction exists, although insurance, if required, must be purchased.

The Federal Insurance Administrator finds that delayed effective dates would be contrary to the public interest. The

Administrator also finds that notice and public procedure under 5 U.S.C. § 553(b) are impracticable and unnecessary.

Section 1914.4 of Part 1914 of Subchapter B of Chapter X of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding in alphabetical sequence new entries to the table. In each entry, a complete chronology of effective dates appears for each listed community. The date that appears in the fourth column of the table is provided in order to designate the effective date of the authorization of the sale of flood insurance in the area under the emergency or the regular flood insurance program. These dates serve notice only for the purposes of granting relief, and not for the application of sanctions, within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 551. The entry reads as follows:

§ 1914.4 List of Eligible Communities.

State	County	Location	Effective date of authorization of sale of flood insurance for area	Hazard area identified	Community number
Alabama	Houston	Gordon, town of	Jan. 9, 1976, emergency	Oct. 25, 1974	010103
Arkansas	White	Kensett, city of	do	Oct. 12, 1973	050227
Kentucky	Gallatin	Warsaw, city of	do	Feb. 1, 1974	210030
Maine	Somerset	Palmyra, town of	do	Nov. 29, 1974	230360
Massachusetts	Franklin	Ashfield, town of	do	June 28, 1974	250109A
				Nov. 14, 1975	
New Mexico	Dona Ana	Unincorporated areas	do	Jan. 3, 1975	350012
New York	Clinton	Black Brook, town of	do	Jan. 10, 1975	361209
Oregon	Deschutes	Unincorporated areas	do	Jan. 17, 1975	410035
Pennsylvania	Jefferson	Young, township of	do	Aug. 30, 1974	421737
Texas	Hidalgo	Alamo, city of	do	Jan. 23, 1974	480335A
				Sept. 5, 1975	
Do	Bell	Unincorporated areas	do	Jan. 10, 1975	480703
West Virginia	Hampshire	do	do	Jan. 31, 1975	540226
Do	Wirt	do	do	Jan. 17, 1975	540211
Alabama	Barbour	do	Jan. 20, 1976, emergency		010316
Do	Russell	Hurtsboro, town of	do	July 18, 1975	010185
Georgia	Camden	Unincorporated areas	do		130263
Do	Cherokee	Woodstock, city of	do	July 18, 1975	130261
Kentucky	Carter	Unincorporated areas	do	Dec. 13, 1974	210050
Pennsylvania	Clarion	Clarion, township of	do	Nov. 29, 1974	421607
Do	York	Delta, borough of	do	Nov. 22, 1974	422211
Do	Eric	East Springfield, borough of	do	Jan. 31, 1975	421357
Do	do	Elk Creek, township of	do	Jan. 24, 1975	422113
Do	Franklin	Gullford, township of	do	Jan. 3, 1975	421050
Do	Dauphin	Lykens, township of	do	Jan. 31, 1975	421535
Do	do	Washington, township of	do	Dec. 13, 1974	421698
Texas	Brazoria	Surfside Beach, village of	do		491260
Wisconsin	Sheboygan	Cascade, village of	do	May 3, 1974	550425
Kansas	Jewell	Burr Oak, city of	Jan. 21, 1976, emergency	Nov. 29, 1974	200157
Kentucky	Greenup	Raceland, city of	do	Feb. 8, 1974	210080
Maryland	Garrett	Unincorporated areas	do		240034
New Jersey	Warren	Liberty, township of	do	Aug. 0, 1974	340159
Do	Gloucester	Woodbury Heights, borough of	do	Feb. 21, 1975	340550
New York	Franklin	Constable, town of	do	Jan. 3, 1975	361335
Do	Lewis	Greig, town of	do	June 28, 1974	360385
Do	Otsego	Otsego, town of	do	Dec. 20, 1974	361270
Do	Rensselaer	Schaghticoke, town of	do	do	361168
Do	do	Schodack, town of	do	Jan. 31, 1975	361160
North Dakota	Pembina	Hamilton, city of	do	Dec. 20, 1974	380084
Do	Stutsman	Kensal, city of	do	do	380123
Oklahoma	Seminola	Konawa, city of	do	Apr. 5, 1974	400100
Pennsylvania	Clearfield	Gulich, township of	do	Dec. 20, 1974	421521
Do	Clarion	Porter, township of	do	Sept. 0, 1974	421510
South Dakota	Faulk	Faulton, city of	do	Feb. 21, 1975	460175A
				Oct. 10, 1975	
Washington	Clallam	Forks, town of	do		530022
Do	Clark	Ridgefield, town of	do	Jan. 24, 1975	530298
Arkansas	Sebastian	Midland, city of	Jan. 23, 1976, emergency	Aug. 16, 1974	050203A
				Jan. 0, 1976	
Delaware	Sussex	Bethel, town of	do	Jan. 17, 1975	100035
Kansas	Mitchell	Hunter, city of	do	Dec. 13, 1974	200239
Louisiana	Evangelina Parish	Basile, town of	do	May 24, 1974	220065A
				Oct. 31, 1975	
Massachusetts	Worcester	Mendon, town of	do	Sept. 0, 1974	250310
Do	Hampshire	Middlefield, town of	do	Dec. 20, 1974	250160
Michigan	Barry	Hastings, city of	do	Apr. 12, 1974	260311A
				Oct. 3, 1975	
New Hampshire	Rockingham	Londonderry, town of	do	Aug. 9, 1974	330134
New York	Franklin	Waverly, town of	do	Oct. 23, 1974	391120
Pennsylvania	Clearfield	Bigler, township of	do	Dec. 0, 1974	421514
Do	Schuylkill	Deer Lake, borough of	do		422610
Do	Sullivan	Fox, township of	do	Dec. 20, 1974	422063
Do	Susquehanna	Jessup, township of	do	Dec. 27, 1974	422084
South Dakota	Lyman	Kennebec, town of	do	Jan. 17, 1975	460050
California	Santa Clara	San Jose, city of	Jan. 23, 1976, emergency	Jan. 24, 1975	060319
Kentucky	Franklin	Unincorporated areas	do		210230
New York	Essex	Westport, town of	do	Dec. 20, 1974	361160
Do	do	Westport, village of	do		361493
Pennsylvania	Wayne	Berlin, township of	do	Jan. 10, 1975	422163

New.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968); effective Jan. 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, Nov. 28, 1968), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Sec-

retary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, Feb. 27, 1969) as amended 39 FR 2787, Jan. 24, 1974.

Issued: January 15, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal
Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-2790 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI-854]

PART 1916—CONSULTATION WITH LOCAL OFFICIALS

Changes Made in Determinations of Jefferson Parish, Louisiana, Base Flood Elevations

On October 13, 1971, at 36 FR 19909, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map numbers and locations where Flood Insurance Maps were available for public inspection. The list included Flood Insurance Rate Maps for portions of Jefferson Parish, Louisiana.

The Federal Insurance Administrator, after consultation with the Chief Executive Officer of Jefferson Parish, has determined that modification of the base (100-year) flood elevations of some locations in Jefferson Parish, Louisiana, is appropriate. These modified elevations are currently in effect and amend the Flood Insurance Rate Map. A revised rate map will be published as soon as possible. The modifications are made pursuant to Section 206 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234) and are in accordance with the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended, (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, P.L. 90-448) 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1916.

For rating purposes, the new community number is 225199B and must be used for all new policies and renewals.

The changes in base flood elevations are as follows:

Previous Flood Insurance Act zones (as on map)	Previous base flood elevations (as on map) (mean sea level)	New Flood Insurance Act zones	New base flood elevations (mean sea level)
Zone A10.....	6.5	Zone B.....	(¹)

¹ Not available.

These changes apply only to the following area:

Parcel A-1-B and Squares 1 through 9 of Oak Cove Estates Subdivision, as shown on a plat by Harris & Varisco, Consulting Engineers, Revised October 15, 1974.

Under the above mentioned Acts of 1968 and 1973 the Administrator must develop criteria for flood plain management. In order for the community to continue participation in the National Flood Insurance Program, the community must use the modified elevations to carry out the flood plain management measures of the Program. These modified elevations will also be used to calculate the appropriate flood insurance

premium rates for new buildings and their contents and for the second layer of insurance on existing buildings and contents.

From the date of this notice, any person has 90 days in which he can request through the community that the Federal Insurance Administrator reconsider the changes. Any request for reconsideration must be based on knowledge of changed conditions or new scientific and technical data. All interested parties are on notice that until the 90-day period elapses, the Administrator's new determination of elevations may itself be changed.

Any person having knowledge or wishing to comment on these changes should immediately notify:

Director of Planning, Parish of Jefferson,
3300 Metairie Road, Metairie, Louisiana
70001.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968); effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to the Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: January 9, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal
Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-3040 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI-853]

PART 1916—CONSULTATION WITH LOCAL OFFICIALS

Changes Made in Determinations of the Town of Westwood, Massachusetts, Base Flood Elevations

On October 26, 1973, at 38 FR 29581, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map numbers and locations where Flood Insurance Rate Maps were available for public inspection. The list included Flood Insurance Rate Maps for portions of the Town of Westwood, Massachusetts.

The Federal Insurance Administration, after consultation with the Chief Executive Officer of the community, has determined that it is appropriate to modify the base (100-year) flood elevations of some locations in the Town of Westwood. These modified elevations are currently in effect and amend the Flood Insurance Rate Map, which was in effect prior to this determination. A revised rate map will be published as soon as possible. The modifications are made pursuant to Section 206 of the Flood Disaster

Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234) and are in accordance with the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended, (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, P.L. 90-448) 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1916.

For rating purposes, the new community number is 255225A, and must be used for all new policies and renewals.

Under the above-mentioned Acts of 1968 and 1973, the Administrator must develop criteria for flood plain management. In order for the community to continue participation in the National Flood Insurance Program, the community must use the modified elevations to carry out the flood plain management measures of the Program. These modified elevations will also be used to calculate the appropriate flood insurance premium rates for new buildings and their contents and for the second layer of insurance on existing buildings and contents.

From the date of this notice, any person has 90 days in which he can request through the community that the Federal Insurance Administrator reconsider the changes. Any request for reconsideration must be based on knowledge of changed conditions or new scientific or technical data. All interested parties are on notice that until the 90-day period elapses, the Administrator's new determination of elevations may itself be changed.

Any persons having knowledge or wishing to comment on these changes should immediately notify:

Mr. Joseph R. Gallagher, Chairman of the Board of Selectmen, Town Hall, 580 High Street, Westwood, Massachusetts 02090.

Also, at this location is the map showing the new base flood elevations. This map is a copy of the one that will be printed. The numerous changes made in the base flood elevations on the Town of Westwood Flood Insurance Rate Map make it administratively infeasible to publish in this notice all of the base flood elevation changes contained on the Town of Westwood map.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: January 9, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal
Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-3041 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FT-691]

PART 1916—CONSULTATION WITH LOCAL OFFICIALS**Flood Elevation Determinations for Aransas County, Texas**

On September 18, 1975, at 40 FR 43027, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a notification of modification of the base (100-year) flood elevations in Aransas County, Texas. Since that date, ninety days have elapsed; and the Federal Insurance Administrator has evaluated requests for changes in the base flood elevations, and after consultation with the Chief Executive Officer of the community, has determined no changes are necessary. Therefore, the modified flood elevations are effective as of September 26, 1975, and amend the Flood Insurance Rate Map which was in effect prior to that date.

The modifications are pursuant to Section 206 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234) and are in accordance with the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended, (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 P.L. 90-448) 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1916.

For rating purposes, the new community number is 485452A and must be used for all new policies and renewals.

Under the above-mentioned Acts of 1968 and 1973, the Administrator must develop criteria for flood plain management. In order for the community to continue participation in the National Flood Insurance Program, the community must use the final flood elevations to carry out the flood plain management measures of the Program. These modified elevations will also be used to calculate the appropriate flood insurance premium rates for new buildings and their contents and for the second layer of insurance on existing buildings and contents.

The numerous changes made in the base flood elevations on the Aransas County Flood Insurance Rate Map make it impractical to publish in this notice all of the base flood elevation changes.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: January 9, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal
Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-3042 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FT-798]

PART 1916—CONSULTATION WITH LOCAL OFFICIALS**Correction**

The Changes Made in Determinations of Brazoria County, Texas, Base Flood Elevations, published on December 3, 1975, in 40 FR 56426, are hereby corrected to read:

On May 8, 1971, at 36 FR 8567, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map numbers and locations where Flood Insurance Rate Maps were available for public inspection. The list included Flood Insurance Rate Maps for portions of Brazoria County.

The Federal Insurance Administrator, after consultation with the Chief Executive Officer of Brazoria County, has determined that modification of the base (100-year) flood elevations of some locations in Brazoria County, Texas, is appropriate. These modified elevations are currently in effect and amend the Flood Insurance Rate Map. A revised rate map will be published as soon as possible. The modifications are made pursuant to Section 206 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234) and are in accordance with the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended, (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, P.L. 90-448) 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1916.

For rating purposes, the new community number is 485458B and must be used for all new policies and renewals.

The changes in base flood elevations are as follows:

Previous Flood Insurance Act zones (as on map)	Previous base flood elevations (as on map) (mean sea level)	New Flood Insurance Act zones	New base flood elevations (mean sea level)
Zone A12----	12	Zone A3--	5.5
Zone A13----	14	Zone A3--	5.5
Zone A15----	16	Zone A3--	5.5

These changes apply only within the following area:

All of the unincorporated part of Brazoria County within the confines of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Freeport and vicinity Hurricane Flood Protection Levee System and contained in the area bounded by Oyster Creek on the north and east, by the Dow Chemical Company's Barge Canal on the south, and by State Highway 288 on the west, excluding the area bounded by the Corporate Limits of the City of Clute on the westerly side, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Levee on the north and east, the Hoskins Mound Branch tracks of the Missouri Pacific Railroad on the southeast, and the Missouri Pacific Railroad tracks on the southwest, specifically excluding the incorporated City of Clute and the Village of Oyster Creek.

Previous Flood Insurance Act zones (as on map)	Previous base flood elevations (as on map) (mean sea level)	New Flood Insurance Act zones	New base flood elevations (mean sea level)
Zone A15----	16	Zone A2--	8

These changes apply only to the following area:

All of the unincorporated part of Brazoria County within the area bounded by the Corporate Limits of the City of Clute on the westerly side, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Levee on the north and east, the Hoskins Mound Branch tracks of the Missouri Pacific Railroad on the southeast and the Missouri Pacific Railroad on the southwest.

Under the above mentioned Acts of 1968 and 1973 the Administrator must develop criteria for flood plain management. In order for the community to continue participation in the National Flood Insurance Program, the community must use the modified elevations to carry out the flood plain management measures of the program. These modified elevations will also be used to calculate the appropriate flood insurance premium rates for new buildings and their contents and for the second layer of insurance on existing buildings and contents.

From the date of this notice, any person has 90 days in which he can request through the community that the Federal Insurance Administrator reconsider the changes. Any request for reconsideration must be based on knowledge of changed conditions or new scientific and technical data. All interested parties are on notice that until the 90-day period elapses, the Administrator's new determination of elevations may itself be changed.

Any person having knowledge or wishing to comment on these changes should immediately notify:

The Honorable E. E. Brewer, County Judge of Brazoria County, Brazoria County Courthouse, Angleton, Texas 77615.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968); effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: January 9, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal
Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-3043 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FT-852]

PART 1916—CONSULTATION WITH LOCAL OFFICIALS**Changes Made in Determinations of Richwood, Texas, Base Flood Elevations**

On July 28, 1972, at 37 FR 15150, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map numbers and locations where Flood Insurance Rate Maps were available for public inspection. The list included Flood Insurance Rate Maps for portions of Richwood.

The Federal Insurance Administration, after consultation with the Chief Executive Officer of the community, has determined that it is appropriate to modify the base (100-year) flood elevations of some locations in Richwood, Texas. These modified elevations are currently in effect and amend the Flood Insurance Rate Map, which was in effect prior to this determination. A revised rate map will be published as soon as possible. The modifications are made pursuant to Section 206 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234) and are in accordance with the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended, (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, P.L. 90-448) 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1916.

ance Act of 1968, as amended, (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, P.L. 90-448) 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1916.

For rating purposes, the new community number is 485502D, and must be used for all new policies and renewals.

Under the above-mentioned Acts of 1968 and 1973, the Administrator must develop criteria for flood plain management. In order for the community to continue participation in the National Flood Insurance Program, the community must use the modified elevations to carry out the flood plain management measures of the Program. These modified elevations will also be used to calculate the appropriate flood insurance premium rates for new buildings and their contents and for the second layer of insurance on existing buildings and contents.

From the date of this notice, any person has 90 days in which he can request through the community that the Federal Insurance Administrator reconsider the changes. Any request for reconsideration must be based on knowledge of changed conditions or new scientific or technical data. All interested parties are on notice that until the 90-day period elapses, the Administrator's new determination of elevations may itself be changed.

Any persons having knowledge or wishing to comment on these changes should immediately notify:

The Honorable Benny Howard, Mayor of Richwood, 215 Halbert Street, Richwood, Texas 77531.

Also, at this location is the map showing the new base flood elevations. This map is a copy of the one that will be printed. The numerous changes made in the base flood elevations on the Richwood Flood Insurance Rate Map make it administratively infeasible to publish in this notice all of the base flood elevation changes contained on the Richwood map.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: January 9, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal
Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-3044 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI-289]

PART 1920—PROCEDURE FOR MAP CORRECTION

Letter of Map Amendment for the Town of Fredonia, Arizona

On June 19, 1974, in 39 FR 21137, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map number and locations where Flood Hazard Boundary Maps were available for public

inspection. This list included the Town of Fredonia, Arizona, as an eligible community and included Map No. H 040021 01 which indicates that Parcel 1, Whiting Subdivision, Flat B, Coconino County, Arizona, as recorded in Docket 499, Page 621 in the office of the Recorder of Coconino County, Arizona, and Parcel 3, as recorded in Docket 493, Page 726, are in their entirety within the Special Flood Hazard Area. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administration, after further technical review of the above map in light of additional, recently acquired flood information, that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area. Accordingly, effective June 7, 1974, Map No. H 040021 01 is hereby corrected to reflect that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: January 9, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal
Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-3045 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI-229]

PART 1920—PROCEDURE FOR MAP CORRECTION

Letter of Map Amendment for the City of Napa, California

On March 27, 1974, in 39 FR 11260, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map number and locations where Flood Hazard Boundary Maps were available for public inspection. This list included the City of Napa, California, as an eligible community and included Map No. H 060207 05 which indicates that Lots 10 through 12, and 30 through 35, Spring Creek Subdivision, Napa, California, as recorded in Map Book 10, Pages 99 and 100 in the office of the Recorder of Napa County, California, are in their entirety within the Special Flood Hazard Area. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administration, after further technical review of the above map in light of additional, recently acquired flood information, that the existing structures on the above property are not within the Special Flood Hazard Area. Accordingly, effective March 22, 1975, Map No. H 060207 05 is hereby corrected to reflect that the structures on the above property are not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Adminis-

trator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974).

Issued: January 9, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal
Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-3046 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI-410]

PART 1920—PROCEDURE FOR MAP CORRECTION

Letter of Map Amendment for Anne Arundel County, Maryland

On November 29, 1974, in 39 FR 41504, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map number and locations where Flood Hazard Boundary Maps were available for public inspection. This list included Anne Arundel County, Maryland, as an eligible community and included Map No. H 240008 43 which indicates that the northern halves of Lots 58 and 59, located at the intersection of Round Bay Road and Ridout Road, Anne Arundel County, Maryland, recorded in Liber 734, Page 429 through 431 in the office of the Clerk of Anne Arundel County, Maryland, are in their entirety within the Special Flood Hazard Area. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administration, after further technical review of the above map in light of additional, recently acquired flood information, that the structure on the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area. Accordingly, effective November 15, 1974, Map No. H 240008 43 is hereby corrected to reflect that the structure on the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: January 9, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal
Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-3047 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI-321]

PART 1920—PROCEDURE FOR MAP CORRECTION

Letter of Map Amendment for the Township of Spring Lake, Michigan

On August 6, 1974, in 39 FR 28259, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map number and locations where Flood Hazard Boundary Maps were available for public inspection. This list included the Township of Spring Lake, Michigan, as an eligible community and

included Map No. H 260281 03, which indicates that 15380 North McLean, Spring Lake, Michigan, as recorded in Liber 631, Page 172, in the Office of the Register of Deeds of Ottawa County, Michigan, is in its entirety within the Special Flood Hazard Area. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administration, after further technical review of the above map in light of additional, recently acquired flood information, that the above mentioned property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area. Accordingly, effective June 28, 1974, Map No. H 260281 03 is hereby corrected to reflect that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: January 9, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
*Acting Federal
Insurance Administrator.*

[FR Doc.76-3048 Filed 1-30-76; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI-2041]

PART 1920—PROCEDURE FOR MAP CORRECTION

Letter of Map Amendment for the City of Bartlett, Tennessee

On February 25, 1974, in 39 FR 7174, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map number and locations where Flood Hazard Boundary Maps were available for public inspection. This list included the City of Bartlett, Tennessee, as an eligible community and included Map No. H 470175 01 which indicates that Lots 75, 76, and 93, Bartlett Woods Subdivision, Section D, Bartlett, Tennessee, as recorded in Plat Book 54, Page 41 in the office of the Register of Deeds of Shelby County, Tennessee, are in their entirety within the Special Flood Hazard Area. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administration, after further technical review of the above map in light of additional, recently acquired flood information, that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area. Accordingly, effective February 22, 1974, Map No. H 470175 01 is hereby corrected to reflect that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: January 9, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
*Acting Federal
Insurance Administrator.*

[FR Doc.76-3049 Filed 1-30-76; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI-321]

PART 1920—PROCEDURE FOR MAP CORRECTION

Letter of Map Amendment for the City of Kerrville, Texas

On August 6, 1974, in 39 FR 28271, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map number and locations where Flood Hazard Boundary Maps were available for public inspection. This list included the City of Kerrville, Texas, as an eligible community and included Map No. H 480420 02 which indicates that Block 7, J. D. Brown Addition, Kerrville, Texas, as recorded in Volume 130, Page 160, in the Deed Records of Kerr County, Texas; and Block 8, as recorded in Book D, Page 30, Book F, Page 383, Book H, Page 392, and Book M, Page 390, are within the Special Flood Hazard Area. It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administration, after further technical review of the above map in light of additional, recently acquired flood information, that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area. Accordingly, effective June 28, 1974, Map No. H 480420 02 is hereby corrected to reflect that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: January 9, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
*Acting Federal
Insurance Administrator.*

[FR Doc.76-3050 Filed 1-30-76; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI-851]

PART 1920—PROCEDURE FOR MAP CORRECTION

Letter of Map Amendment for Fairfax County, Virginia

On January 8, 1972, in 39 FR 281, the Federal Insurance Administrator published a list of communities with Special Flood Hazard Areas and the map number and locations where Flood Insurance Rate Maps were available for public inspection. This list included Fairfax County, Virginia, as an eligible community and included Map No. H 515525 19 which indicates that Section One, Windsor Park Subdivision, Fairfax County, Virginia, as recorded in Deed Book 3489, Pages 439 through 444 in the office of the Clerk of the Court of Fairfax County, Virginia; Section Two, as recorded in Deed Book 3649, Pages 64 through 69; Section Three as recorded in Deed Book 3649, Pages 76 through 81; Section Three-A and Four, as recorded in Deed Book 3794, Pages 283 through 288; Section Five, as recorded in Deed Book 3794, Pages 297 through 302; Section Six, as recorded in Deed Book 3794, Pages 311 through 316; and Section Seven as recorded in Deed Book 3794, Pages 325 through 330, and Deed Book 3989, Pages

360 and 361, are in their entirety within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

It has been determined by the Federal Insurance Administration, after further technical review of the above map in light of additional, recently acquired flood information, that the above property is within Zone B, and not within the Special Flood Hazard Area. The map amendment is not based on the placement of fill on the above named property after the effective date of the Flood Insurance Rate Map of the community. Accordingly, effective June 17, 1970, Map No. H 515525 19 is hereby corrected to reflect that the above property is not within the Special Flood Hazard Area.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: January 9, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
*Acting Federal
Insurance Administrator.*

[FR Doc.76-3051 Filed 1-30-76; 8:45 am]

Title 46—Shipping

CHAPTER I—COAST GUARD, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

[CGD 74-127]

TANK VESSELS

Structural Fire Protection Requirements Correction

In FR Doc. 76-2208, appearing at page 3838 in the issue for Monday, January 26, 1976, make the following changes:

1. On page 3839, in the third column, first full paragraph, the 5th line should read as follows: "ited to cargoes with a closed-cup flash" * * *.
2. On page 3841, in the second paragraph of the second column, the third line should read "§ 32.56-5(b) (2), paragraph (a) (2) in the notice," * * *.
3. On page 3842, in the third column, the sixth line should be transposed so that it immediately follows the third line and reads, thusly, "is always accessible during a fire in the cargo area or pump-room, so locating the" * * *.
4. On page 3845, in § 32.56-40, the last line should read "pervious to oil and oil vapors."
5. On page 3846, the effective date which was inadvertently omitted should be February 26, 1976.

Title 47—Telecommunication

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION PART 78—CABLE TELEVISION RELAY SERVICES

Channel Designations

In the matter of editorial amendment of § 78.18 of the Rules and Regulations regarding channel designations for the 12.5 MHz channels.

1. In preparing the new application form in the Cable Television Relay Service (CARS), FCC Form 327, we found

that the form would not easily accommodate the 12.5 MHz channel designations. Section 78.18(a) (4) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations divides the CARS 12.5 MHz channels into two groups: Group I and Group J. The channels within these two groups are not contiguous. For example, channel I01 is 12.7000-12.7125 GHz and channel I02 is 12.7250-12.7375 GHz. The band between these two channels is designated as channel J01, 12.7125-12.7250 GHz. In order to make one group of contiguous 12.5 MHz channels, we are placing the 12.5 MHz channels in a new Group K, and we are deleting Groups I and J. An applicant's use of Form 327 will be facilitated by the consolidation of the 12.5 MHz channels in Group K.

2. Authority for the attached amendments is contained in 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 155(d), 303, and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and in Section 0.231(d) of the Commission's Rules. Inasmuch as the amendments ordered are nonsubstantive editorial revisions of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, and impose no new requirements, compliance with the prior notice, procedural and effective date provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 553, would serve no useful purpose and is unnecessary.

Accordingly, it is ordered, That effective February 5, 1976, § 78.18 of the Commission's Rules IS AMENDED as set forth below.

Secs. 2, 3, 4, 5, 301, 303, 307, 308, 309, 48 Stat., as amended, 1064, 1065, 1066, 1068, 1081, 1082, 1083, 1084, 1085; 47 U.S.C. 152, 153, 154, 155, 301, 303, 307, 308, 309.

Adopted: January 22, 1976.

Released: January 27, 1976.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] RICHARD D. LIGHTWARDT,
Executive Director.

Part 78 of Chapter I of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended in the following manner:

1. Section 78.18 is amended by revising paragraphs (a) (4), (g), and (h) to read as follows:

§ 78.18 Frequency assignments.

* * * * *

(a) * * *
(4) For cable television relay stations using double sideband AM transmission and FM transmission requiring a necessary bandwidth of no more than 12.5 MHz:

GROUP K CHANNELS

Designation: ¹	Channel boundaries (gigahertz)
K01	12.7000-12.7125
K02	12.7125-12.7250
K03	12.7250-12.7375
K04	12.7375-12.7500
K05	12.7500-12.7625
K06	12.7625-12.7750
K07	12.7750-12.7875
K08	12.7875-12.8000
K09	12.8000-12.8125
K10	12.8125-12.8250
K11	12.8250-12.8375

Designation:	Channel boundaries (gigahertz)
K12	12.8375-12.8500
K13	12.8500-12.8625
K14	12.8625-12.8750
K15	12.8750-12.8875
K16	12.8875-12.9000
K17	12.9000-12.9125
K18	12.9125-12.9250
K19	12.9250-12.9375
K20	12.9375-12.9500

¹ See Subpara. (a) (1) of this section.

(g) For cable television relay (CAR) stations using double sideband AM transmission or FM transmission with authorized bandwidth of no more than 12.5 MHz, Group K channels normally will be assigned to a station, although upon adequate showing variations in the use of channels in Group K may be authorized on a case-by-case basis in order to avoid potential interference or to permit a more efficient use.

(h) For double sideband AM transmission, the assigned carrier frequency for each channel listed in Group K shall be 6.25 MHz above the lower boundary frequency for each channel, and the sideband frequencies corresponding to the carrier frequency of the accompanying FM aural signal shall be 4.5 MHz above and below the visual carrier frequency.

[FR Doc.76-2993 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 20027, RM-2050; FCC 76-34]

PART 91—INDUSTRIAL RADIO SERVICES

Second Report and Order Regarding Frequency Allocation for Oil Spill Cleanup Operations

1. On June 5, 1975, the Commission released a Second Notice of Proposed Rule Making (FCC 75-612) in the above captioned matter proposing an additional radio communications capability for cleanup operations which was not addressed in the First Notice.¹ The Second Notice was duly published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on June 10, 1975 (40 FR 24754). Comments were due on or before July 11, 1975, and reply comments, on or before July 21, 1975.

2. This Second Notice was issued in response to an informal request by the Special Industrial Radio Service Association (SIRSA) to permit certain licensees in the Special Industrial Radio Service to use their two-way mobile radio communication facilities in connection with the containment and cleanup of industrial liquid spillages. In the Notice, the Commission proposed to amend § 91.502(b) of its Rules and Regulations concerning the availability and use of service for the Special Industrial Radio Service to provide that:

¹ The First Report and Order provided Rule changes for a nationwide "family" of frequencies in the Petroleum Radio Service to be used by persons engaged solely in the containment or cleanup of oil spills.

* * * those persons eligible under § 91.501 (d) (4) may use their radio facilities in connection with the containment and cleanup of industrial liquid spillage from facilities they are engaged to service.

3. Comments were submitted by the Oil Spill Control Association of America (OSCAA) and SIRSA, both of whom supported the concept behind the proposed rule change. SIRSA, however, noted that the language proposed by the Commission would pose "an unnecessary restriction on those entities equipped to handle such spillages". SIRSA's main concern was that the Second Notice proposed that the Special Industrial licensees might use their communications systems only for the containment and cleanup of industrial liquid spillages originating at the facilities which they are engaged to service. SIRSA maintained that this concept was not what it desired when making the request, and that this wording would severely limit licensees' cleanup and containment capability. SIRSA pointed out that in many cases, Special Industrial licensees asked to cleanup a spill would not have contractual relationships with the parties responsible for those spills. Therefore, SIRSA requested that the phrase "from facilities they are engaged to service" be deleted from the proposed amendment of § 91.502(b).

4. The Commission's intent in this proceeding has been to offer maximum communications capabilities to all parties engaged in the cleanup of oil or other hazardous substances, while still maintaining a controlled service. This was also the intent of the Second Notice, in that those firms engaged in the containment and cleanup of industrial liquid spillages would gain additional latitude in the use of their existing radio communications systems. The proposed amendment was not meant to limit the capacities of the Special Industrial licensees; therefore, the Commission agrees with SIRSA that the phrase "from facilities they are engaged to service" be deleted from the proposed amendment. This revised amendment should provide the Special Industrial licensee, as SIRSA noted, with "the necessary flexibility to use its existing mobile radio communications systems for all industrial liquid spillage containment and cleanup efforts in which they are engaged—regardless of the source of the spill or the identity of the party with whom they have contracted for the work."

5. Accordingly, pursuant to authority contained in sections 4(i) and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, it is ordered that, effective March 4, 1976, Part 91 of the Commission's Rules are amended as shown below.

Secs. 4, 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1066, 1062; 47 U.S.C. 154, 303.

Adopted: January 20, 1976.

Released: January 28, 1976.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

Section 91.502(b) is revised to read as follows:.

§ 91.502 Availability and use of service.

(b) Authorization to operate stations in this service are available only to the extent and for the purposes set forth in this subpart, and the operation of all stations licensed hereunder shall be strictly confined to those activities upon which eligibility was established, except for transmission relating to an immediate emergency involving the safety of life or property: *Provided, however,* That those persons otherwise eligible under § 91.501 (a) may use their radio facilities in connection with the gathering or processing of products grown or raised for them by others; that those persons otherwise eligible under § 91.501(d) (7) may use their radio facilities in connection with the servicing of the equipment that uses the products delivered; and those persons eligible under § 91.501(d) (4) may use their radio facilities in connection with

the containment and cleanup of industrial liquid spillage.

[FR Doc.76-2994 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

Title 50—Wildlife and Fisheries

CHAPTER I—UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

PART 33—SPORT FISHING

Union Slough National Wildlife Refuge

The following special regulation is issued and is effective on February 2, 1976.

§ 33.5 Special regulations; sport fishing, for individual wildlife refuge areas.

IOWA

UNION SLOUGH NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Sport fishing on the Union Slough National Wildlife Refuge, Kossuth County, Iowa, is permitted from May 15 through September 15, 1976, inclusive, but only

during daylight hours on the area designated by signs as open to fishing. The open area, Deer Meadow Picnic Area is delineated on a map available at refuge headquarters and from the office of the Area Manager, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 601 E. 12th St., Room 1748, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. Sport fishing shall be in accordance with all applicable State regulations and subject to the following special condition:

(1) The use of boats or other floating devices is not permitted.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern fishing on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 33 and are effective through September 15, 1976.

JACK C. WOMBLE,
*Refuge Manager, Union Slough
National Wildlife Refuge, Tiltonka, Iowa 50480.*

JANUARY 13, 1976.

[FR Doc.76-3017 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

proposed rules

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

[26 CFR Part 1]

INTEREST UPON OBLIGATIONS OF A STATE, TERRITORY, ETC.

Income Tax Regulations

Notice is hereby given that the regulations set forth in tentative form in the attached appendix are proposed to be prescribed by the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, with the approval of the Secretary of the Treasury or his delegate. Prior to the final adoption of such regulations, consideration will be given to any comments pertaining thereto which are submitted in writing (preferably six copies) to the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Attention: CC:LR:T, Washington, D.C. 20224, by March 18, 1976. Pursuant to 26 CFR 601.601(b), designations of material as confidential or not to be disclosed, contained in such comments, will not be accepted. Thus, persons submitting written comments should not include therein material that they consider to be confidential or inappropriate for disclosure to the public. It will be presumed by the Internal Revenue Service that every written comment submitted to it in response to this notice of proposed rule making is intended by the person submitting it to be subject in its entirety to public inspection and copying in accordance with the procedures of 26 CFR 601.702(d) (9). Any person submitting written comments who desires an opportunity to comment orally at a public hearing on these proposed regulations should submit a request, in writing, to the Commissioner by March 18, 1976. In such case, a public hearing will be held, and notice of the time, place, and date will be published in a subsequent issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER, unless the person or persons who have requested a hearing withdraw their requests for a hearing before notice of the hearing has been filed with the Office of the Federal Register.

(Sec. 7805 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (68A Stat. 917; 26 U.S.C. 7805).)

DONALD C. ALEXANDER,
Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

Preamble. This document contains a proposed amendment to the Income Tax Regulations (26 CFR Part 1) to revise the regulations under section 103(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, relating to interest upon obligations of a State, a territory, or a possession of the United States, or any political subdivision thereof, or the District of Columbia.

Section 1.103-1(b) of the proposed regulations provides rules relating to ob-

ligations issued directly by a State or local governmental unit.

Section 1.103-1(c) of the proposed regulations provides rules to determine whether obligations are issued on behalf of a State or local governmental unit. Paragraph (c) supercedes prior revenue rulings such as Rev. Rul. 57-187, 1957-1 C.B. 65, Rev. Rul. 60-248, 1960-2 C.B. 35, and Rev. Rul. 63-20, 1963-1 C.B. 24 relating to entities issuing obligations on behalf of a State or local governmental unit.

In general, the proposed amendment provides that only a constituted authority of a State or local governmental unit may issue obligations on behalf of the unit. The authority must be specifically authorized pursuant to State law to issue obligations on behalf of the unit to accomplish a public purpose of the unit. The authorization must specify the public purpose of the governmental unit on behalf of which the authority is authorized to issue obligations and also must create the authority or provide that the governmental unit may create the authority. The authority must be created and operated solely to accomplish a public purpose of the governmental unit.

The proposed amendment requires a close connection between the authority and the governmental unit including control of the authority's board and organizational or supervisory control over the authority by the governmental unit.

Proposed amendments to the regulations. To provide rules to determine whether obligations are the obligations of a State, a territory, or a possession of the United States, or any political subdivision of the foregoing, or of the District of Columbia, the Income Tax Regulations (26 CFR Part 1) under section 103 (a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 are amended as follows:

1. Section 1.103-1 is amended by revising paragraphs (a) and (b) and by adding a new paragraph (c). These revised and added provisions read as follows:

§ 1.103-1 Interest upon obligations of a State, territory, etc.

(a) *In general.* Interest upon obligations of a State, a territory, or a possession of the United States, or any political subdivision thereof or the District of Columbia (hereinafter collectively or individually referred to as "State or local governmental unit") is not includible in gross income except as provided under section 103 (c) and (d) and the regulations thereunder. Section 103(a) (1) does not apply to industrial development bonds or to arbitrage bonds except as otherwise provided in section 103 (c) and (d). See section 103(c) and

§§ 1.103-7 through 1.103-12 for rules concerning interest paid on industrial development bonds. See section 103(d) for rules concerning interest paid on arbitrage bonds. See paragraph (b) (2) of this section for the definition of the term "political subdivision".

(b) *Obligations of a State or local governmental unit.* (1) Obligations issued by or on behalf of any State or local governmental unit by constituted authorities empowered to issue such obligations are the obligations of such unit. See paragraph (c) of this section for rules relating to obligations which are not issued directly by a State or local governmental unit but are issued by a constituted authority of a State or local governmental unit.

(2) For purposes of this section, the term "political subdivision" denotes any division of any State, territory or possession of the United States which is a municipal corporation or to which has been delegated the right to exercise part of the sovereign power of such State, territory or possession. Such term also denotes any unit which is a political subdivision of more than one State, territory, possession of the United States, or political subdivision (as described in the preceding sentence), i.e., is a municipal corporation of, or a unit to which has been delegated the right to exercise part of the sovereign power of, each of the several participating State or local governmental units. As thus defined, a political subdivision may, for purposes of this section, include special assessment districts so created, such as road, water, sewer, gas, light, reclamation, drainage, irrigation, levee, school, harbor, port improvement, and similar districts and divisions of any such unit.

(3) Certificates issued by a political subdivision for public improvements (such as sewers, sidewalks, streets, etc.) which are evidence of special assessments against specific property, which assessments become a lien against such property and which the political subdivision is required to enforce, are, for purposes of this section, obligations of the political subdivision even though the obligations are to be satisfied out of special funds and not out of general funds or taxes.

(c) *Constituted authorities.*—(1) *In general.* This paragraph provides rules to determine whether obligations that are not issued directly by a State or local governmental unit (hereinafter in this paragraph referred to as the "unit") are nonetheless considered to be the obligations of such unit because issued by a constituted authority of such unit empowered to issue such obligations on behalf of such unit. An issuer is such a con-

stituted authority only if the requirements of paragraph (c) (2) of this section are satisfied. Such a constituted authority may be organized as a corporation, trust, or other entity. An issuer is not such a constituted authority if it issues obligations for more than one unit. The determination that an issuer is a constituted authority under paragraph (c) (2) of this section is solely for purposes of this section and is not determinative of whether the issuer is an authority, agency, or instrumentality under any other section of this title. See paragraph (a) of this section for a definition of the term "State or local governmental unit" and see paragraph (b) of this section for a definition of the term "political subdivision".

(2) *Requirements to be a constituted authority.* The requirements of this subparagraph are satisfied if—

(i) The authority is specifically authorized pursuant to State law to issue obligations to accomplish a public purpose or purposes of the unit. Such specific authorization must either create the authority or provide that the unit may create the authority. Furthermore, such authorization must specify the public purpose or purposes of the unit for the accomplishment of which such authority is empowered to issue obligations. If the unit is a State, territory, or possession of the United States, such authorization must be specifically set forth in the Constitution, charter or other organic act creating or providing for the unit's government, or in a statute of such unit. If the unit is a political subdivision or is the District of Columbia, such authorization must be specifically set forth in its charter or other organic act creating the unit, or in the Constitution or a statute of a State, territory or possession of which the unit is a part (including, in the case of the District of Columbia, a statute of the United States) and such authorization must also provide that the unit is authorized to utilize the authority to issue obligations to accomplish a public purpose or purposes of the unit.

(ii) The unit controls the governing board of the authority. To satisfy this requirement, the governing board of the authority must be composed in its entirety of—

(A) Public officials of the unit as members ex-officio,

(B) Persons elected by the voters of such unit for a specified term, or

(C) Persons appointed by the unit or by other members of the governing board described in (c) (2) (ii) (A) or (B) of this section if such other members comprise a majority of the board.

In addition, if the unit does not have organizational control over the authority as described in paragraph (c) (2) (iii) (B) of this section, a majority of the members of the governing body of the authority must be members described in (c) (2) (ii) (A) or (B) of this section. Members described in (c) (2) (ii) (C) of this section must be removable for cause or at will and must not be appointed for a term in excess of 6 years. The term of

any member of the governing board described in (c) (2) (ii) (A) of this section shall not exceed the period for which such member will be a public official of the unit.

(iii) (A) The unit has either the organizational control over the authority, described in (c) (2) (iii) (B) of this section, or the supervisory control over the activities of the authority, described in (c) (2) (iii) (C) of this section.

(B) A unit has organizational control over an authority if—

(1) The authority is created by or organized under a constitution, statute, or charter or other organic act creating or providing for the unit's government, which either creates the authority or provides that only a unit may create or organize an authority,

(2) The constitution, statute, or charter or other organic act itself provides for the organization, structure, and powers of the authority, and the authority is organized under such constitution, statute, or charter or other organic act and not under a statute providing generally for the organization of entities, such as a statute providing for the organization of nonprofit corporations, and

(3) The unit may, at its sole discretion, and at any time, alter or change the structure, organization, programs, or activities of the authority (including the power to terminate the authority), subject to any limitation on the impairment of contracts entered into by such authority.

If the unit is a political subdivision or is the District of Columbia, the power to alter or change described in paragraph (c) (2) (iii) (B) (3) of this section must be specifically set forth in the authorization described in paragraph (c) (2) (i) of this section.

(C) Supervisory control by a unit over an authority ordinarily includes (1) except to the extent otherwise fixed by the terms of the authorization described in paragraph (c) (2) (i) of this section, approval by the unit of the provisions of the governing instrument and bylaws of the authority and power to amend the same; (2) annual approval by the unit of the projected programs and projected expenditures of the authority and annual post-review of the programs and expenditures; (3) approval by the unit of each issue of obligations of the authority not more than 60 days prior to the date of issue, except that where obligations are to be issued in series at prescribed intervals over a period not exceeding 5 years, all obligations in such series may be approved at one time within 60 days prior to the date of the first issue in such series; (4) annual review of the authority's annual financial statements (including a statement of income and expenditures) by the unit; (5) access by the unit at any time to all books and records of the authority; (6) in the event of default with respect to obligations issued to finance the acquisition of property, the unit has the exclu-

sive option to purchase such property for the amount required to discharge such obligations and is provided a reasonable time to exercise such option; and

(7) agreement by the unit, in conjunction with the issuance of the obligations, to accept title to any tangible personal or real property financed by such obligations upon the retirement of such obligations. Such property must have significant value at the time that such property is conveyed to the unit. Instruments conveying title to such property must, in conjunction with the issuance of such obligations, be placed in escrow with instructions that the escrow agent deliver such instruments of title to such unit upon the retirement of the obligations. Such unit must obtain, upon retirement of the obligations, full legal title to the property with respect to which the indebtedness is incurred free of encumbrances created subsequent to the acquisition of the property by the authority. Examples of title encumbrances are options, leases which continue beyond the date of the retirement of the obligations, lease renewals or lease extensions exercisable by any person other than such unit. The requirements of paragraph (c) (2) (iii) (C) (1) through (5) of this section shall not apply if the governing board of the authority is composed in its entirety of public officials or elected persons (or both) described in paragraph (c) (2) (ii) (A) and (B) of this section.

(iv) Any net earnings of such authority (beyond that necessary for retirement of the indebtedness or to implement the public purpose or purposes or program of the unit) may not inure to the benefit of any person other than the unit.

(v) Upon dissolution of the authority, title to all property owned by such authority will vest in the unit.

(vi) The authority must be created and operated solely to accomplish one or more of the public purposes of the unit specified in the authorization described in paragraph (c) (2) (i) of this section.

The requirements of paragraph (c) (2) (i) of this section must be satisfied at the time of issuance of the obligations and the requirements of paragraph (c) (2) (ii) through (vi) of this section must be satisfied at all times during the period beginning on the date of issuance of the obligations and ending on the date of dissolution of the authority or on the date that title to all property owned by the authority is conveyed to the unit, whichever is earlier. In applying paragraph (c) (2) (ii) through (v) of this section to an authority of a political subdivision the term "unit" shall include any State, territory or possession of which the political subdivision is a part. Except as provided in paragraph (c) (2) (iii) (B) of this section, if the requirements of paragraph (c) (2) (ii) through (vi) of this section are not provided for in the authorization described in paragraph (c) (2) (i), they must be stated in the governing instruments of the entity.

(3) *Examples.* The provisions of this paragraph may be illustrated by the following examples:

Example (1). The Education Act of state A provides in part:

Section 100. *Student Loan Authorities.* (a) *Purpose.* An incorporated municipality of the State is hereby authorized to issue obligations for the purpose of creating and maintaining a loan fund to provide loans to further the education of any resident of such municipality in accordance with the provisions of section 102 of this Act. Obligations issued pursuant to this section may be issued directly by a municipality or by a student loan authority of such municipality.

(b) *Authority.* A student loan authority of the municipality may be created by the municipality under the not-for-profit corporation act for the sole purpose of obtaining and loaning funds for the purpose described in subsection (a). Such authority is hereby authorized to issue obligations on behalf of the municipality for such purpose. An authority organized under this Act shall be governed by a board of directors comprised of elected officials of the municipality or persons appointed by the municipal council.

Pursuant to the Education Act, city B took the formal action necessary to create a corporation under the State not-for-profit corporation law for the sole purpose of having the corporation act as a student loan authority and to issue specified obligations for such purpose on behalf of the city. The formal action also provided that the authority shall be governed by a board of directors consisting of seven members, four of whom were designated elected officials serving as members ex officio and three of whom were appointed by the city council for a term not in excess of 2 years. The appointed members of the board can be removed at will by the city council. The formal action further provided that the city must approve the governing instrument and the bylaws (and any amendment thereof) of the authority, may amend the governing instrument and bylaws, must approve, in advance, each issue of obligations, and both review and approve annually the projected programs and projected expenditures of the authority, as well as annually post-reviewing program and expenditures. Also, annual financial statements (including a statement of income and expenditures) were required to be reviewed by the city council, and the city council was provided access to all books and records of the authority. Pursuant to the formal action, the city B student loan authority was incorporated. The articles of incorporation of the authority, in addition to providing for the supervisory authority of the city, described above, state that the authority is not organized for profit and that any of the authority's net earnings will inure only to the benefit of the city. The articles of incorporation state further that upon dissolution of the authority, title to all property owned by the authority will vest in city B. The bond resolution for the obligations issued by the authority provides that in the event of default with respect to obligations issued to finance the acquisition of the student loan

notes, the city has the exclusive option to purchase the loan notes and is provided a reasonable time to exercise such option and to finance such purchase. The city B student loan authority meets the requirements of paragraph (c) (2) of this section and the obligations issued by the authority qualify under this paragraph as obligations issued on behalf of a State or local governmental unit if prior to the issuance of any such obligations the obligations are approved by the city council or voters of city B.

Example (2). The S Corporation, incorporated under the nonprofit corporation law of State T was organized for the purpose of financing and operating a hospital located in city U, a municipality of state T. S Corporation's articles of incorporation state that the corporation is not organized for profit and that none of its net earnings will inure to the benefit of any private person. The board of directors of the corporation consists of representatives of private business groups in city U elected by the members of S Corporation and approved by city U. S Corporation issued obligations to finance the construction of a new wing for the hospital. In conjunction with the issuance of the obligations, a deed conveying title to the new wing was placed in escrow by S Corporation with the instructions that the escrow agent deliver the deed to city U upon retirement of the obligations. Also, S Corporation granted city U the right at any time to purchase the new wing for an amount sufficient to retire the outstanding indebtedness on such obligations. City U, prior to the issuance of obligations by S Corporation, approved S Corporation and the issue of obligations issued by S Corporation. City U also agreed to accept title to the new wing upon retirement of the obligations. The obligations issued by S Corporation are not issued "on behalf of" city U since the following requirements for an "on behalf of" issuer have not been met:

(i) There was no specific authorization, as described in paragraph (c) (2) (i) of this section.

(ii) S Corporation was not created by such specific authorization or by city U, pursuant to any such specific authorization, as required by paragraph (c) (2) (i) of this section.

(iii) City U does not control S Corporation, within the meaning of paragraph (c) (2) (ii) of this section.

(iv) City U does not have organizational control or supervisory control over S Corporation, as required by paragraph (c) (2) (iii) of this section.

Example (3). City C, a municipal corporation located in state D, was incorporated pursuant to a statute of state D which provides in part that "municipalities incorporated under this Act may issue obligations to provide funds for any purpose related to the general welfare of the residents of such municipality". The city C Airport Agency was incorporated under state D's not-for-profit corporation law for the purpose of constructing a municipal airport with the proceeds of obligations issued by the corporation "on behalf of" city C. Neither the state statute under which city C was incorporated nor any other statute of state D provides the specific authorization described in paragraph (c) (2) (i) of this section. Thus, obligations issued by the city C airport agency will not qualify under this section as obligations issued "on behalf of" city C.

Example (4). Assume the same facts as in Example (3) except that the State statute provides as follows:

"Except as limited by express provision or necessary implication of general law, a municipality may take all action necessary or convenient for the government of its local affairs."

Neither the state statute under which city C was incorporated nor any other statute of state D provides the specific authorization described in paragraph (c) (2) (i) of this section. Thus, obligations issued by the city C airport agency will not qualify under this section as obligations issued "on behalf of" city C.

Example (5). A statute of state E provides that any incorporated municipality of the state is authorized to utilize an authority to issue obligations for a public purpose of the municipality. The Municipal Parking Act of state E provides that any incorporated municipality may create an authority under the Act for the purpose of utilizing the authority to issue obligations to provide a municipal parking garage. The Act provides that the authority is to be created under provisions of the Act which govern the structure, creation, and powers of the authority. In addition the Act provides that the municipality creating the authority may alter or change the structure, organization, program, or activities of the authority and may terminate the authority. City F creates a Municipal Parking Authority under the provisions of the Act. The charter of the authority provides that the sole purpose of the authority is to construct and operate a municipal parking garage, that any net earnings of the authority will be paid to city F, that title to all property owned by the authority at the time of its dissolution will vest in city F, and that all members of the authority are to be appointed by the mayor of city F. The authority satisfies the requirements of paragraph (c) (2) of this section, and obligations issued by the authority qualify under this section as obligations issued on behalf of a State or local governmental unit.

(4) *Effective date.* The provisions of this paragraph apply to obligations issued on or after 180 days after the adoption of this paragraph by a Treasury decision, or, at the option of the State or local governmental unit, to obligations issued on or after February 2, 1976.

[FR Doc.76-3027 Filed 1-28-76; 4:05 pm]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of the Secretary

[43 CFR Parts 3500 and 3520]

COAL LEASES

Diligent Development and Continuous Operations; Extension of Comment Period

Notice was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on Wednesday, December 31, 1975 (40 FR 60070, 60071) inviting interested parties to submit written comments to the Director, Bureau of Land Management on proposed regulations which would define the terms "logical mining unit," "logical mining unit reserves," "diligent development," and "continuous operation." The proposed regulations would also modify the existing regulations relating to the duration

and adjustment of terms and conditions of coal leases. The period for comment is hereby extended for an additional period. Comments on these proposed regulations will be accepted until March 1, 1976.

JANUARY 29, 1976.

THOMAS S. KLEPPE,
Secretary of the Interior.

[FR Doc.76-3249 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

[7 CFR Part 51]

APPLES

Standards for Grades¹

Notice is hereby given that the United States Department of Agriculture is considering the amendment of the United States Standards for Grades of Apples (7 CFR, §§ 51.300-51.323) pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (60 Stat. 1087, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1621-1627), which provides for the issuance of official U.S. grades to designate different levels of quality for the voluntary use of producers, buyers and consumers. Official grading services are also provided under this act upon request of any financially interested party and upon payment of a fee to cover the cost of such services.

All persons who desire to submit written data, views or arguments for consideration in connection with this proposal should file the same in duplicate not later than March 1, 1976, with the Hearing Clerk, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 112, Administration Building, Washington, D.C. 20250, where they will be available for public inspection during official hours of business (Paragraph (b) of § 1.27, as amended at 29 F.R. 73 (1)).

Statement of considerations leading to the amendment of these grade standards. Section 51.309 of the U.S. Standards for Grades of Apples requires that percentages shall be calculated on the basis of count when a numerical count is marked on the container, and on the basis of weight when the minimum diameter, or minimum and maximum diameters are marked on the container, or when apples are in bulk.

This requirement has been in effect since 1931. At that time apples in containers marked as to diameter or in bulk usually showed a wide variation in size. In such cases calculation of percentages by weight was far more accurate than by any other method.

Modern marketing trends have increased the use of small consumer packages containing apples reasonably uniform in size. These packages are gen-

erally marked as to diameter and under the current requirement percentages would be calculated by weight. This is time-consuming, impractical and adds to inspection costs.

In a recent survey conducted by USDA in several producing areas, it was found that percentages calculated on the basis of count or weight were equally accurate when apples were reasonably uniform in size. The proposed amendment, therefore, would provide an alternate method in calculating percentages in such cases.

As proposed to be amended, § 51.309 is set forth below.

§ 51.309 Calculation of percentages.

(a) When the numerical count is marked on the container, percentages shall be calculated on the basis of count.

(b) When the minimum diameter or minimum and maximum diameters are marked on a container or when the apples are jumbled in a container or in bulk, percentages shall be calculated on the basis of weight or an equivalent basis.

(Secs. 203, 205, 60 Stat. 1087, as amended, 1090 as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1622, 1624).

Dated: January 27, 1976.

DONALD E. WILKINSON,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-3028 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

Commodity Credit Corporation

[7 CFR Part 1434]

HONEY PURCHASE PROGRAM

Determinations Regarding 1976 Crop

The Secretary of Agriculture is preparing to make determinations with respect to a purchase program for the 1976 crop of honey and the regulations to carry out the program. The determinations relate to:

- Purchase rates based on color differentials, class and grade.
- Purchase availability period.
- Detailed operating provisions to carry out the program.

The above determinations are to be made pursuant to the Agricultural Act of 1949, as amended (63 Stat. 1051, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1421 et seq.) and the Commodity Credit Corporation Charter Act, as amended (62 Stat. 1070, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 714 et seq.).

- Purchase program, color differentials and discounts for quality.* Title II of the Agricultural Act of 1949, as amended, authorizes and directs the Secretary to make available through loans, purchases or other operations, support to producers of honey at a level which is not in excess of 90 percent nor less than 60 percent of the parity price thereof. Purchase rates will be based on color, class and grade and used to reflect marketing features and conditions under which honey is merchandised. Section 2—Notice of Determinations Regarding 1976 Crop Honey Purchase Program 401 (b) of the Act requires that, in determining a support rate in excess of the

minimum level prescribed for honey, consideration must be given to the supply of the commodity in relation to the demand thereof, the price levels at which other commodities are being supported, the availability of funds, the perishability of the commodity, the importance of the commodity to agriculture and the national economy, the ability to dispose of stocks acquired under a purchase program, the need for offsetting temporary losses of export markets, and the ability and willingness of producers to keep supplies in line with demand.

b. *Purchase availability period.* Comments are invited with respect to the purchase availability period for 1976 crop honey.

c. *Detailed operating provisions.* Detailed operating provisions necessary to carry out the purchase program on honey will be considered for 1976. Provisions of this kind may be found in the regulations providing terms and conditions for the current purchase program in Part 1434 of Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

Prior to making the foregoing determinations and issuing related regulations, consideration will be given to any data, views, and recommendations which are submitted in writing to the Director, Grains, Oilseeds and Cotton Division, ASCS, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250.

In order to be sure of consideration, all submissions must be received not later than March 2, 1976. All written submissions made pursuant to 3—Notice of Determinations Regarding 1976 Crop Honey Purchase Program this notice will be made available for public inspection from 8.15 a.m. to 4:45 p.m. Monday through Friday, in Room 5754, South Building, 14th and Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C.

Signed at Washington, D.C. on January 28, 1976.

E. J. HENSON,
Acting Executive Vice President,
Commodity Credit Corporation.

[FR Doc.76-3030 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[40 CFR Part 180]

[FRL 485-4; PF5E1611/P14]

TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FROM TOLERANCES FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICALS IN OR ON RAW AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES

Proposed Tolerance for Pesticide Chemical Oxytetracycline Hydrochloride

The California Department of Food & Agriculture, 1220 N. St., Sacramento CA 95814, has submitted a pesticide petition (PP 5E1611) to the Environmental Protection Agency. This petition requested that the Administrator, pursuant to Section 408(e) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, propose the establishment of a tolerance for residues of the antimicrobial agent oxytetracycline hydrochloride in or on the raw agricultural

¹Packing of the product in conformity with the requirements of these standards shall not excuse failure to comply with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act or with applicable State laws and regulations.

commodity pears at 0.1 part per million (ppm), resulting from a spray application for the control of blight. The Agency has registered this pesticide for the infusion of pear trees with application occurring after harvest and before blooms. A tolerance of 0.35 ppm has been established (40 CFR 180.337) for residues resulting in or on the commodity from this use.

The data submitted in the petition and all other relevant material have been evaluated, and it is concluded that the tolerance should be established as proposed. There is no reasonable expectation of residues in eggs, meat, milk, or poultry, and § 180.6(a) (3) applies. The tolerance established by amending § 180.337 as set forth below will protect the public health.

Any person who has registered or submitted an application for the registration of a pesticide under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act which contains any of the ingredients listed herein may request, within 30 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register, that this proposal be referred to an advisory committee in accordance with Section 408(e) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on this proposal to the Federal Register Section, Technical Services Division (WH-569), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Room 401, East Tower, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460. Three copies of the comments should be submitted to facilitate the work of the Agency and others interested in reviewing them. The comments must be received on or before March 3, 1976, and should bear a notation indicating the subject (PP5E1611/P14). All written comments filed pursuant to this notice will be available for public inspection in the office of the Federal Register Section from 8:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Monday through Friday.

Dated: January 26, 1976.

JOHN B. RITCH, Jr.,
Director, Registration Division.

AUTHORITY: Section 408(e) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, [21 U.S.C. 346a(e)].

It is proposed that § 180.337, Subpart C, Part 180, be amended 1) to include a tolerance of 0.1 ppm for residues of the pesticide in or on pears resulting from a spray application, 2) to change the identification "fungicide" to "antimicrobial agent" in keeping with the pesticide's characteristics, and 3) to revise the section to read as follows.

§ 180.337 Oxytetracycline hydrochloride; tolerances for residues.

Tolerances are established for residues of the antimicrobial agent oxytetracycline hydrochloride in or on the following raw agricultural commodities:

0.35 part per million in or on pears resulting from infusion of pear trees with an aqueous solution of the pesticide after harvest and prior to formation of new blooms.

0.1 part per million in or on pears resulting from a spray application.

[FR Doc.76-3031 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[47 CFR Part 87]

[Docket No. 20687; FCC 76-36, 38838]

ASSIGNMENT OF CERTAIN FREQUENCIES

Notice of Proposed Rule Making

1. Notice of Proposed Rule Making in the above-entitled matter is hereby given.

2. Various individuals and organizations in Alaska, such as prospectors and lumber companies, have applied for the use of very high frequencies for air/ground communications. Under present Commission rules only certain medium and high frequencies are available for this purpose to these applicants in Alaska; however, it would appear that problems have developed in the use of these frequencies. Atmospheric conditions in Alaska many times prevent transmission, and distant stations with single sideband equipment cause interference.

3. This proposed rule making would make available to these applicants frequencies 129.3, 130.1, 130.3 and 130.7 MHz which are presently listed in the Commission's rules among ten very high frequencies restricted to en route stations serving scheduled air carriers. These four frequencies would be assigned without regard to the location of similar stations.

4. The proposed amendments to the rules as set forth below are issued pursuant to the authority contained in Sections 4(i), 303(c) and (r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

5. Pursuant to applicable procedures set forth in Section 1.415 of the Commission's rules, interested persons may file comments on or before March 4, 1976, and reply comment on or before March 15, 1976. All relevant and timely comments and reply comments will be considered by the Commission before final action is taken in this proceeding. In reaching its decision in this proceeding, the Commission may also take into account other relevant information before it, in addition to the specific comments invited by this Notice.

6. In accordance with the provisions of Section 1.419 of the Commission's rules, an original and 11 copies of all statements, briefs or comments filed shall be furnished to the Commission. Responses will be available for public inspection during regular business hours in the Commission's Public Reference Room at its headquarters in Washington, D.C.

Adopted: January 20, 1976.

Released: January 28, 1976.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

Part 87 of Chapter I of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

1. In § 87.297, paragraphs (a) and (d) are revised to read as follows:

§ 87.297 Alaska.

(a) The following frequencies are available for assignment to aeronautical en route stations in Alaska. The provisions of § 87.291(b) do not apply to stations operating on frequencies in accordance with this paragraph.

Frequencies available:

Kilohertz:	Megahertz
3411	129.3
4383.8*	130.1
4669	130.3
4696*	130.7

* Daytime only.

* The frequency 4383.8 kHz, maximum power 150 watts PEP, may be used by any station authorized under this part to communicate with any other station authorized in the State of Alaska for emergency communications. No airborne operations will be permitted on this frequency.

(d) The following frequencies are available for assignment to aeronautical en route stations in Alaska subject to the provisions of paragraph (b) of this section.

Kilohertz:	Megahertz
129.1	129.9
129.5	130.5
129.7	130.9

[FR Doc.76-2935 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[16 CFR Part 433]

PRESERVATION OF CONSUMERS' CLAIMS AND DEFENSES

Correction

In FR Doc. 75-30760 appearing at page 53530 in the issue for Tuesday, November 18, 1975, make the following change:

On Page 53530 in the first column in § 433.2 and in the eighth line of the Notice change the word "BE LIMITED TO" to "NOT EXCEED".

CHARLES A. TOBIN,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-2952 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[17 CFR Part 210]

[Release Nos. 33-5668, 34-11986, IA-9116]

MARKETABLE AND OTHER INVESTMENT SECURITIES

Proposed Amendments of Disclosure Requirements

The Commission hereby proposes to amend Article 3 of Regulation S-X [17 CFR §§ 210.3-01-210.3-16] by adding a requirement for reporting in a note to financial statements the concentration of investments in securities of a particular issuer.

The proposed amendment would require reporting of information if the aggregate investment in securities of an issuer exceeds 5 percent of stockholders' equity. The Commission specifically solicits comments on the appropriate size test that should be applied. In this connection, it notes that the Federal Reserve Board will be studying the problem of concentration in investment portfolios and it expects that certain data arising out of this study will be available for consideration prior to the adoption of final rules. The proposal defines "issuer" as a state or municipality or other political subdivision as well as a corporation.

Commission action: The Commission proposes to amend § 210.3-16 of 17 CFR Chapter II by the addition of a new subsection as given below.

§ 210.3-16 General notes to financial statements. (See Release No. AS-4.)

() *Marketable securities and other security investments.*—(1) State in a note with regard to marketable securities and other security investments the name of issuer, aggregate amount at which shown in the balance sheet (book value) and aggregate value based on market quotations at balance sheet date for the securities of any issuer for which aggregate book value exceeds 5 percent¹ of stockholders' equity. For purposes of this rule, the term "marketable securities and other securities investments" includes all securities which would be reported pursuant to §§ 210.5-02-2, 5-02-12, 7-03-1, 7A-03-1, 9-05(b)(2) of 17 CFR 210 and Items 2(c) and (d) and 3 of Form F-9A of 12 CFR 206 of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

(2) For the purpose of this disclosure the term "issuer" means (i) a state and its agencies or a political subdivision of a state and its agencies or an agency which is an instrumentality of two or more states including any subordinate subdivision of such state, subdivision or agency whose securities are considered liabilities of or are guaranteed by such state, subdivision or agency, and (ii) a corporation and its majority-owned subsidiaries.

This amendment would be adopted pursuant to authority in sections 6, 7, 8, 10 and 19(a) of the Securities Act of 1933; sections 12, 13, 15(d) and 23(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and sections 8, 30, 31(c) and 38(a) of the Investment Company Act of 1940.

All interested persons are invited to submit their views or comments on this proposed amendment to George A. Fitzsimmons, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549, on or before March 31, 1976. Such communications should refer to File No. S7-610 and will be available for public inspection.

¹ Or such other test as may be adopted after consideration of comments.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

JANUARY 7, 1976.

[FR Doc.76-2945 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[17 CFR Parts 240, 249]

[Release No. 34-12030; File S7-611]

**MISSING, LOST, COUNTERFEIT OR
STOLEN SECURITIES; REPORTING AND
INQUIRY—REQUIREMENTS**

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

The Securities and Exchange Commission today announced its intention to implement a lost and stolen securities program and gave notice of proposed rulemaking pursuant to Section 17(f) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, ("the Act") [15 U.S.C. 78a *et seq.*, as amended by Pub. L. No. 94-29 (June 4, 1975)], to establish pursuant to proposed Rule 17f-1 reporting and inquiry requirements with respect to missing, lost, counterfeit or stolen securities.

Introduction. The problems relating to missing, lost, counterfeit or stolen securities have been described by the Commission¹ and were the subject of Congressional hearings.² The recommendation for a central data bank for the receipt of reports and inquiries concerning missing, lost, stolen or counterfeit securities has been supported widely.³ Section 17(f) of the Act provides the legislative framework for such a system by providing that every national securities exchange, member thereof, registered securities association, broker, dealer, municipal securities dealer, registered transfer agent, registered clearing agency, participant therein, member of the Federal Reserve System and bank whose deposits are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation shall report and inquire with respect to missing, lost, counterfeit or stolen securities.⁴

Proposed Rule 17f-1. The Commission proposes to implement a program for the reporting and inquiry or validation of securities in the custody or control of reporting institutions as follows:

¹ Study of Unsafe and Unsound Practices of Brokers and Dealers, Report and Recommendations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (pursuant to Section 11(h) of the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970), December 1970. Hereinafter, "Study".

² Organized Crime—Stolen Securities, Hearings Before the Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations, Senate Committee on Government Operations, 92nd Cong., 1st Sess. (1971); 93rd Cong., 1st Sess. (1973); 93rd Cong., 2d Sess. (1974). Hereinafter, "Hearings".

³ Study, *supra* note 1; Hearings, *supra* note 2.

⁴ The Commission staff has prepared a background paper outlining the history of the lost and stolen securities problem and discussing the issues involved in developing a program to remedy the situation. Copies of this background paper are available to the public.

Paragraph (a) defines the terms "reporting institution" and "appropriate instrumentality."

Paragraph (b) requires financial institutions and others to report missing, lost, counterfeit or stolen securities within specified time periods. Reports with respect to U.S. Government or Agency securities or securities of certain international organizations are to be made to the nearest Federal Reserve Bank or Branch; reports with respect to all other securities are to be made to the Securities and Exchange Commission. Where criminal actions are suspected, reports are to be made to the appropriate law enforcement agency. A report is also required if a security previously reported missing, lost or stolen is recovered. The information required to be reported is set forth in the rule and shall be provided on an appropriate form, Form X-17F-1A, Lost, Missing, Stolen, Counterfeit Securities Report.

Paragraph (c) requires financial institutions to determine whether securities coming into their possession or keeping under certain circumstances have been reported as missing, lost, counterfeit or stolen. Inquiry with the appropriate instrumentality is required whenever securities are received, whether by pledge, transfer or in some other manner, unless they are received (1) from the issuer on original issue, (2) from another institution which is a reporting entity under the rule, or (3) from a regular customer, are registered in the name of such customer or its nominee, and the size and nature of the transaction are not inconsistent with past transactions with the same customer. These exceptions are intended to make inquiry unnecessary in the majority of instances and to require inquiry in those circumstances most likely to involve missing, lost, counterfeit or stolen securities. This paragraph is intended to require inquiry of a data base which will quickly identify whether securities in the possession of an inquiring entity are missing, lost, counterfeit or stolen securities. The system will be designed to avoid undue disruption to the course of normal commercial transactions.

Paragraph (d) is permissive and describes the availability of the reporting and inquiry system to any reporting entity whether or not Rule 17f-1 would require said report or inquiry. It also provides that the Commission may grant others access to the system upon terms and conditions as it deems appropriate and necessary in the public interest and for the protection of investors.

It is proposed to amend Parts 240 and 249 of 17 CFR Chapter II by adding new sections thereto as follows:

§ 240.17f-1 Requirements for reporting and inquiry with respect to missing, lost, counterfeit or stolen securities.

(a) **Definitions.**—(1) **Reporting Institution.** For purposes of this rule, the term "reporting institution" shall include every national securities exchange, member thereof, registered securities association,

broker, dealer, municipal securities dealer, registered transfer agent, registered clearing agency, participant therein, member of the Federal Reserve System and bank whose deposits are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

(2) *Appropriate Instrumentality.* For purposes of this rule, the term "appropriate instrumentality" shall mean

(i) Any Federal Reserve Bank or Branch thereof with respect to securities issued by

(A) The United States Government,

(B) Any agency or instrumentality of the United States Government,

(C) The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development,

(D) The Inter-American Development Bank, or

(E) The Asian Development Bank; and

(ii) The Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to all other securities.

(b) *Reporting Requirements—(1) Stolen Securities.*

(i) Every reporting institution shall report to the appropriate instrumentality the discovery of the theft or loss of any security where criminal actions are suspected. Such report shall be made within one business day of the discovery and, if the certificate numbers of the securities cannot be ascertained at that time, they shall be reported as soon thereafter as possible.

(ii) Every reporting institution shall promptly report to the appropriate law enforcement agency upon the discovery of the theft or loss of any security which is stolen or missing where criminal actions are suspected.

(2) *Missing or Lost Securities.* Every reporting institution shall report to the appropriate instrumentality the discovery of the loss of any security where criminal actions are not suspected when the security has been missing or lost for a period of two business days. Such report shall be made within one business day of the end of such period except that:

(i) Securities lost in transit to customers, transfer agents, banks, brokers or dealers shall be reported by the delivering institution no later than two business days after notice of non-receipt or as soon after such notice as the certificate numbers of the securities can be ascertained.

(ii) Securities considered lost or missing as a result of securities counts, or verifications required by rule, regulation or otherwise (e.g. dividend record date verification made as a result of firm policy or internal audit function report) shall be reported no later than ten business days after completion of such securities count or verification or as soon after such count or verification as the certificate numbers of the securities can be ascertained.

(iii) Securities not received during the completion of a delivery, deposit or withdrawal shall be reported in the following manner:

(A) Where delivery of securities is through clearing agency, the delivering

institution shall supply the receiving institution the certificate number of the security within two business days from the date of request from the receiving institution. The receiving institution shall report within one business day of notification of the certificate number;

(B) Where the delivery of securities is over the window and where the delivering institution has a receipt, the delivering institution shall supply the receiving institution the certificate numbers of the securities within two business days from the date of request from the receiving institution. The receiving institution shall report within one business day of notification of the certificate number;

(C) Where the delivery of securities is over the window and where the delivering institution has no receipt, the delivering institution shall report within two business days of notification of non-receipt by the receiving institution; or

(D) Where delivery of securities is made by mail or via draft, if payment is not received within ten business days, the delivering institution shall confirm with the receiving institution the failure to receive such delivery; if confirmation shows non-receipt, the delivering institution shall report within two business days of such confirmation.

(3) *Counterfeit Securities.* Every reporting institution shall report the discovery of any counterfeit security to the appropriate instrumentality within one business day of such discovery.

(4) *Recovery.* Every reporting institution shall report the recovery or finding of any security previously reported missing, lost or stolen pursuant to this rule to the appropriate instrumentality within one business day of such recovery or finding. Recovery may only be reported by the institution which reported the security as missing, lost or stolen.

(5) *Information to be Reported.* All reports made pursuant to this rule shall include, if applicable or available, the following information with respect to each security:

- (i) Issuer;
- (ii) Type of security and series;
- (iii) Date of issue;
- (iv) Maturity date;
- (v) Denomination;
- (vi) Interest rate;
- (vii) Certificate number, including alphabetical prefix or suffix;
- (viii) Name in which registered;
- (ix) Distinguishing characteristics, if counterfeit;
- (x) Date of discovery of loss or recovery;
- (xi) CUSIP number; and
- (xii) FINS number.

(6) *Forms.* All reports made pursuant to this rule shall be made on Form X-17F-1A.

(c) *Required Inquiries—*(1) Every reporting institution shall inquire of the appropriate instrumentality with respect to every security which comes into its possession or keeping, whether by pledge, transfer, or otherwise, to ascertain whether such security has been reported as missing, lost, counterfeit or stolen, unless

(i) The security is received directly from the issuer or issuing agent at issuance;

(ii) The security is received from another reporting institution; or

(iii) The security is received from a regular customer of the reporting institution; if a registerable instrument, is registered in the name of such customer or its nominee; and the size and nature of the transaction are not inconsistent with past transactions with the same customer.

(2) *Form of Inquiry.* Inquiries shall be made in such manner as prescribed by the appropriate instrumentality.

(d) *Permissive Reports and Inquiries—*Every reporting institution may report to or inquire of the appropriate instrumentality with respect to any security not otherwise required by this rule to be the subject of a report or inquiry. The Commission on written request or upon its own motion may permit reports to and inquiries of the system by any other person or entity upon such terms and conditions as it deems appropriate and necessary in the public interest and for the protection of investors.

Principles of the Lost and Stolen Securities Program. The Commission announced principles which should guide the development of a lost and stolen securities program. The principles are intended to be general guidelines to fulfill the purposes of the legislation. It should be noted that this program is not intended to be a substitute for other steps which are being undertaken to improve securities processing, prevent losses and reduce the risk of theft. The Commission continues to endorse the concepts of improved securities processing and certificate immobilization and elimination through greater use of depositories and book entry systems. It is expected that this program will be developed in a manner appropriate to meet existing needs and will be flexible enough to adjust to changing circumstances.

Reporting. The Commission has determined that a system established to receive reports regarding lost, missing, counterfeit or stolen securities should include certain objectives for the reporting of information. The principles for reporting are as follows:

1. All incidents of missing, lost, stolen or counterfeit securities shall be reported promptly.

2. The system for receiving reports shall be accessible either directly or through a correspondent to all reporting entities and shall record all reports promptly.

3. The system shall maintain all reports on a current and continuous basis.

4. The system shall confirm all reports to the reporting entity, and the reporting entity shall verify the correctness of the reports.

5. The reporting entity shall promptly update all information in the system.

6. Duplicate reporting shall be avoided in instances where more than one entity may be required to report a single event.

Inquiry. The Commission has determined that a system established to col-

lect reports and provide access for inquiry with respect to missing, lost, counterfeit or stolen securities should include certain objectives for the availability of information. The principles for inquiry are as follows:

1. The reporting entity shall inquire of the system promptly with respect to all securities required to be validated.

2. The reporting entity may inquire of the system as to any transaction.

3. The system shall be easily accessible for inquiry either directly or through a correspondent by on-line computer, telegraph, telephone or other appropriate means.

4. The system shall be compatible with existing systems.

5. The reporting entity shall take appropriate action promptly when an inquiry indicates that a certificate in its possession or control was reported as lost, missing, stolen or counterfeit.

Statutory Basis and Competitive Considerations. The Commission proposes Rule 170-1 pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ("the Act") including Sections 2, 6, 10, 15, 17 and 23 thereof. The Commission finds that any burden upon competition imposed by the proposed rule is necessary and appropriate in the public interest and for the protection of investors in order to implement a lost and stolen securities program pursuant to Section 17 (f) of the Act.

Solicitation of Comments. The Commission solicits comments from all interested persons on proposed Rule 17f-1 and the Principles for Reporting and Inquiry. In particular, the Commission solicits comments pertaining to the following:

1. Whether the proposed rule focuses on those transactions in which the improper use of lost, missing, stolen or counterfeit securities is most prevalent;

2. Whether certain types of securities should be exempt from the reporting and inquiry requirements and, if so, which types and for what reasons;

3. Whether the proposals for reporting and inquiry represent a reasonable program in light of existing securities processing practices; and

4. Whether the Commission should designate another entity to operate the reporting and inquiry system and, if so, which entity, and on what basis.

Written comments should be addressed to the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549, by April 1, 1976. All comments should refer to File No. S7-611. Comments submitted will be available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

JANUARY 20, 1976.

§ 249. Form X-17F-1A, Lost, missing, stolen, counterfeit securities report.

(Instructions—reverse side)

LOST/MISSING/STOLEN/COUNTERFEIT SECURITIES REPORT

(TOR) () Report of Loss		Date _____	
() Report of Recovery		File No. _____	
(ES) () Single Security			
(RII) _____		(ESS) () Consecutively Serialized Securities	
Reporting Institution Identifier			
(SER) _____		(TYP) _____	
Serial Number		Type of Security	
(SER) _____		(DEN) _____	
Serial Number—End Number of Consecutively Serialized Group		Denomination/Face Value and Interest Rate	
(ISS) _____			
Issuer			
(OWN) _____			
Registered Name			
(DOM) _____		(DOE) _____	
Maturity Date		Date of Loss/Recovery	
() Criminality Indicated (CAI)		CUSIP Number	
() Counterfeit (CTR)			
If Counterfeit—Distinguishing Characteristics			
Reports Filed With (RFW) _____			
() Data Bank () Issuer/Transfer Agent () Law Enforcement			
Authorized Signature _____			

2. Check ES block indicating entry is a single lost or stolen security or ESS block indicating entry is a group of consecutively serialized securities.

3. Reporting Institution Identifier (RII)—Enter reporting institution identifier number.

4. Type (TYP)—Enter two letter code provided by the Operating Manual which most precisely describes the security, or clearly print a description of the security on line provided.

5. Serial Number (SER)—Fill in complete serial number including any letters which are part of the number.

(a) When entering a single security, fill in the first serial number block only.

(b) When entering a group of consecutively serialized securities, enter the beginning serial number in the first serial number block and ending serial number in the second serial number block.

6. Denomination (DEN)—Fill in information as provided below:

(a) Enter in numerical form the amount of money represented by bonds, debentures, notes and other securities (excluding those in (b), (c) and (d), below), as indicated thereon. If amount was not indicated on the security, enter the word "BLANK". If interest rate was indicated, enter this information.

(b) Enter in numerical form the number of shares represented by stock certificates. (Do not enter par value of the stock.) If number of shares was not indicated on the stock certificate, enter the word "BLANK".

(c) With respect to warrants and rights, enter in numerical form the number of new securities which the document entitles the owner to purchase.

(d) When entering warehouse receipts which do not specify a value, enter the letters "WR" in the first two spaces.

7. Issuer (ISS)—Clearly print, on lines provided, the complete name of issuing company, agency or organization as set out on the security even though the security may have been lost, stolen, or missing prior to being "issued" by appropriate authority.

Issuer of U.S. Treasury obligations (e.g., Treasury Bonds, Bills or Notes; U.S. Savings Bonds, etc.) should be shown as USTREASURY. (Disregard the name of any bank or corporation issuing these type obligations as an agent of the U.S. Government.)

8. Registered Name (OWN)—Clearly print, on line provided, the full name of person the security is registered to (person, company, bank, brokerage house, etc.) exactly as it appears on the security.

Enter the word "BEARER" when document is a "bearer" security.

Where co-owners are listed, use only the name of first person listed. Disregard name of person (or organization) identified as beneficiary or as the one to whom security is payable on death (P.O.D.).

9. Maturity Date (DOM)—Enter maturity date, if applicable.

10. Issue Date (DOI)—Enter date of issue of security, if applicable.

11. Date of Loss/Recovery (DOE)—Enter date when loss was noticed or theft occurred or when security was found or recovered.

12. CUSIP Number (CUS)—Enter CUSIP number.

13. Criminality Indicated (CAI)—Check when criminality is indicated in loss.

14. Counterfeit (CTR)—Check when reporting counterfeit securities and indicate distinguishing characteristics, if any.

15. Reports Filed With (RFW)—Check each entity with whom Form X-17F-1A was filed.

INSTRUCTIONS TO FORM X-17F-1A
(DETAILED INSTRUCTIONS WILL BE SET FORTH IN AN OPERATING MANUAL)

Accurately complete form in legible hand printing. A separate form must be completed for each entry. Where theft or loss involves a group of securities which are identical except for non-consecutive serial numbers, complete

one form and attach a list of the non-consecutive serial numbers.

Serially numbered missing, lost, stolen or counterfeit securities meeting the criteria set forth in the Operating Manual may be entered in data bank.

1. Check TOR block to indicate whether report is for loss or recovery.

[FR Doc. 76-2950 Filed 1-30-76; 8:45 am]

less than the \$3,000,000,000 of notes offered, and his action in any such respect shall be final. Subject to these reservations, noncompetitive tenders for \$500,000 or less without stated yield from any one bidder will be accepted in full at the average price (in three decimals) of accepted competitive tenders.

IV. *Payment.* 1. Settlement for accepted tenders in accordance with the bids must be made or completed on or before Tuesday, February 17, 1976, at the Federal Reserve Bank or Branch or at the Bureau of the Public Debt. Payment must be in cash, notes referred to in Section I (interest coupons dated February 15, 1976, should be detached), in other funds immediately available to the Treasury by February 17, 1976, or by check drawn to the order of the Federal Reserve Bank to which the tender is submitted, or the United States Treasury if the tender is submitted to it, which must be received at such Bank or at the Treasury no later than: (1) Wednesday, February 11, 1976, if the check is drawn on a bank in the Federal Reserve District of the Bank to which the check is submitted, or the Fifth Federal Reserve District in case of the Treasury, or (2) Monday, February 9, 1976, if the check is drawn on a bank in another district. Checks received after the dates set forth in the preceding sentence will not be accepted unless they are payable at a Federal Reserve Bank. Payment will not be deemed to have been completed where registered notes are requested if the appropriate identifying number as required on tax returns and other documents submitted to the Internal Revenue Service (an individual's social security number or an employer identification number) is not furnished. In every case where full payment is not completed, the payment with the tender up to 5 percent of the amount of notes allotted shall, upon declaration made by the Secretary of the Treasury in his discretion, be forfeited to the United States. When payment is made with securities, a cash adjustment will be made to or required of the bidder for any difference between the face amount of securities submitted and the amount payable on the notes allotted.

V. *Assignment of registered notes.* 1. Registered notes tendered as deposits and in payment for notes allotted hereunder are not required to be assigned if the notes are to be registered in the same names and forms as appear in the registrations or assignments of the notes surrendered. Specific instructions for the issuance and delivery of the notes, signed by the owner or his authorized representative, must accompany the notes presented. Otherwise, the notes should be assigned by the registered payees or assignees thereof in accordance with the general regulations governing United States securities, as hereinafter set forth. When the new notes are to be registered in names and forms different from those in the inscriptions or assignments of the notes presented the assignment should be to "The Secretary of the Treasury for Treasury

Notes of Series H-1979 in the name of (name and taxpayer identifying number)." If notes in coupon form are desired, the assignment should be to "The Secretary of the Treasury for coupon Treasury Notes of Series H-1979 to be delivered to _____."

Notes tendered in payment should be surrendered to the Federal Reserve Bank or Branch or to the Bureau of the Public Debt, Washington, D.C. 20226. The notes must be delivered at the expense and risk of the holder.

VI. *General provisions.* 1. As fiscal agents of the United States, Federal Reserve Banks are authorized and requested to receive tenders, to make such allotments as may be prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury, to issue such notices as may be necessary, to receive payment for and make delivery of notes on full-paid tenders allotted, and they may issue interim receipts pending delivery of the definitive notes.

2. The Secretary of the Treasury may at any time, or from time to time, prescribe supplemental or amendatory rules and regulations governing the offering, which will be communicated promptly to the Federal Reserve Banks.

WILLIAM E. SIMON,
Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc.76-3090 Filed 1-29-76; 10:32 am]

[Dept. Circular Public Debt Series—No. 4-76]

8 PERCENT TREASURY NOTES OF SERIES A-1983

Invitation To Bid.

JANUARY 28, 1976.

I. *Offering of notes.* 1. The Secretary of the Treasury, pursuant to the authority of the Second Liberty Bond Act, as amended, offers \$3,500,000,000 of notes of the United States, designated 8 percent Treasury Notes of Series A-1983, at par. At the discretion of the Secretary of the Treasury the total amount allotted to the public may exceed the amount offered by 10 percent or thereabouts. Additional amounts of these notes may be issued to Government accounts and to Federal Reserve Banks for themselves and as agents of foreign and international monetary authorities. The 6½ percent Treasury Notes of Series A-1976, and 5½ percent Treasury Notes of Series F-1976, maturing February 15, 1976, will be accepted at par in payment, in whole or in part, to the extent subscriptions are allotted by the Treasury. The books will be open through Tuesday, February 3, 1976, for the receipt of subscriptions.

II. *Description of notes.* 1. The notes will be dated February 17, 1976, and will bear interest from that date, payable on a semiannual basis on August 15, 1976, and thereafter on February 15 and August 15 in each year until the principal amount becomes payable. They will mature February 15, 1983, and will not be subject to call for redemption prior to maturity.

2. The income derived from the notes is subject to all taxes imposed under the Internal Revenue Code of 1954. The notes

are subject to estate, inheritance, gift or other excise taxes, whether Federal or State, but are exempt from all taxation now or hereafter imposed on the principal or interest thereof by any State, or any of the possessions of the United States, or by any local taxing authority.

3. The notes will be acceptable to secure deposits of public moneys. They will not be acceptable in payment of taxes.

4. Bearer notes with interest coupons attached, and notes registered as to principal and interest, will be issued in denominations of \$1,000, \$5,000, \$10,000, \$100,000 and \$1,000,000. Book-entry notes will be available to eligible bidders in multiples of those amounts. Interchanges of notes of different denominations and of coupon and registered notes, and the transfer of registered notes will be permitted.

5. The notes will be subject to the general regulations of the Department of the Treasury, now or hereafter prescribed, governing United States notes.

III. *Subscriptions and allotments.* 1. Subscriptions accepting the offer made by this circular will be received at Federal Reserve Banks and Branches and at the Bureau of the Public Debt, Washington, D.C. 20226, through Tuesday, February 3, 1976. Each subscription must state the face amount of notes subscribed for, which must be \$1,000 or a multiple thereof.

2. All subscribers are required to agree not to purchase or to sell, or to make any agreements with respect to the purchase or sale or other disposition of any notes of this issue at a specific rate or price, until after midnight, February 3, 1976.

3. Commercial banks, which for this purpose are defined as banks accepting demand deposits, and dealers who make primary markets in Government securities and report daily to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York their positions with respect to Government securities and borrowings thereon, may submit subscriptions for account of customers provided the names of the customers are set forth in such subscriptions. Others will not be permitted to submit subscriptions except for their own account. Subscriptions will be received without deposit from banking institutions for their own account, Federally-insured savings and loan associations, States, political subdivisions or instrumentalities thereof, public pension and retirement and other public funds, international organizations in which the United States holds membership, foreign central banks and foreign States, dealers who make primary markets in Government securities and report daily to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York their positions with respect to Government securities and borrowings thereon, and Government accounts. Subscriptions from others must be accompanied by payment (in cash or the notes referred to in Section I which will be accepted at par) of 5 percent of the face amount of notes applied for not subject to withdrawal until after allotment. Following allotment, any portion of the 5 percent payment in excess of 5 percent

of the amount of notes allotted may be released upon the request of the subscribers.

4. Under the Second Liberty Bond Act, as amended, the Secretary of the Treasury has the authority to reject or reduce any subscription, to allot less than the amount of notes applied for; and to make different percentage allotments to various classes of subscribers when he deems it to be in the public interest; and any action he may take in these respects shall be final. Subject to the exercise of that authority, subscriptions will be allotted:

- (1) In full if for \$500,000 or less; and
- (2) On a percentage basis to be publicly announced, but not less than \$500,000.

Allotment notices will be sent out promptly upon allotment to subscribers submitting subscriptions for more than \$500,000.

IV. *Payment.* 1. Payment at par for notes allotted hereunder must be made or completed on or before February 17, 1976, at the Federal Reserve Bank or Branch or at the Bureau of the Public Debt. Payment must be in cash, notes referred to in Section I (interest coupons dated February 15, 1976, should be detached), in other funds immediately available to the Treasury by February 17, 1976, or by check drawn to the order of the Federal Reserve Bank to which the tender is submitted, or the United States Treasury if the subscription is submitted to it, which must be received at such Bank or at the Treasury no later than: (1) Wednesday, February 11, 1976, if the check is drawn on a bank in the Federal Reserve District of the Bank to which the check is submitted, or the Fifth Federal Reserve District in case of the Treasury, or (2) Monday, February 9, 1976, if the check is drawn on a bank in another district. Checks received after the dates set forth in the preceding sentence will not be accepted unless they are payable at a Federal Reserve Bank. Payment will not be deemed to have been completed where registered notes are requested if the appropriate identifying number as required on tax returns and other documents submitted to the Internal Revenue Service (an individual's social security number or an employer identification number) is not furnished. In every case where full payment is not completed, the payment with the tender up to 5 percent of the amount of notes allotted shall, upon declaration made by the Secretary of the Treasury in his discretion, be forfeited to the United States.

V. *Assignment of registered notes.* 1. Registered notes tendered as deposits and in payment for notes allotted hereunder are not required to be assigned if the notes are to be registered in the same names and forms as appear in the registrations or assignments of the notes surrendered. Specific instructions for the issuance and delivery of the notes, signed by the owner or his authorized representative, must accompany the notes presented. Otherwise, the notes should be assigned by the registered payees or as-

signees thereof in accordance with the general regulations governing United States securities, as hereinafter set forth. When the new notes are to be registered in names and forms different from those in the inscriptions or assignments of the notes presented the assignment should be to "The Secretary of the Treasury for 8 percent Treasury Notes of Series A-1983 in the name of (name and taxpayer identifying number)." If notes in coupon form are desired, the assignment should be to "The Secretary of the Treasury for 8 percent coupon Treasury Notes of Series A-1983 to be delivered to _____." Notes tendered in payment should be surrendered to the Federal Reserve Bank or Branch or to the Bureau of the Public Debt, Washington, D.C. 20226. The notes must be delivered at the expense and risk of the holder.

VI. *General provisions.* 1. As fiscal agents of the United States, Federal Reserve Banks are authorized and requested to receive subscriptions, to make such allotments as may be prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury, to issue such notices as may be necessary, to receive payment for and make delivery of notes, on full-paid subscriptions allotted, and they may issue interim receipts pending delivery of the definitive notes.

2. The Secretary of the Treasury may at any time, or from time to time, prescribe supplemental or amendatory rules and regulations governing the offering, which will be communicated promptly to the Federal Reserve Banks.

WILLIAM E. SIMON,
Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc. 76-3091 Filed 1-29-76; 10:32 am]

[Dept. Circular, Public Debt Series—No. 5-76]

8¼ PERCENT TREASURY BONDS OF 2000-05

Redeemable at the Option of the United States at Par and Accrued Interest on and After May 15, 2000

JANUARY 28, 1976.

I. *Invitation for tenders.* 1. The Secretary of the Treasury, pursuant to the authority of the Second Liberty Bond Act, as amended, invites tenders at a price not less than 92.76 percent of their face value for \$400,000,000, or thereabouts, of bonds of the United States, designated 8¼ percent Treasury Bonds of 2000-05. Additional amounts of these bonds may be issued at the average price of accepted tenders to Government accounts and Federal Reserve Banks for themselves and as agents of foreign and international monetary authorities. Tenders will be received up to 1:30 p.m., Eastern Standard time, Thursday, February 5, 1976, under competitive and noncompetitive bidding, as set forth in Section III hereof. The 6¼ percent Treasury Notes of Series A-1976 and 5½ percent Treasury Notes of Series F-1976, maturing February 15, 1976, will be accepted at par in payment, in whole or in part, to the extent tenders are allotted by the Treasury.

II. *Description of bonds.* 1. The bonds now offered will be identical in all respects with the 8¼ percent Treasury Bonds of 2000-05 issued pursuant to Department Circular, Public Debt Series—No. 15-75, dated May 2, 1975, except that interest will accrue from February 17, 1976. With this exception the bonds are described in the following quotation from Department Circular No. 15-75:

"1. The Bonds will be dated May 15, 1975, and will bear interest¹ from that date, payable semiannually on November 15, 1975, and thereafter on May 15 and November 15 in each year until the principal amount becomes payable. They will mature May 15, 2005, but may be redeemable at the option of the United States on and after May 15, 2000, in whole or in part, at par and accrued interest on any interest day or days, on 4 months' notice of redemption given in such manner as the Secretary of the Treasury shall prescribe. In case of partial redemption, the bonds to be redeemed will be determined by such method as may be prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. From the date of redemption designated in any such notice, interest on the bonds called for redemption shall cease.

"2. The income derived from the bonds is subject to all taxes imposed under the Internal Revenue Code of 1954. The bonds are subject to estate, inheritance, gift or other excise taxes, whether Federal or State, but are exempt from all taxation now or hereafter imposed on the principal or interest thereof by any State, or any of the possessions of the United States, or by any local taxing authority.

"3. The bonds will be acceptable to secure deposits of public moneys. They will not be acceptable in payment of taxes.

"4. Bearer bonds with interest coupons attached, and bonds registered as to principal and interest, will be issued in denominations of \$1,000, \$5,000, \$10,000, \$100,000 and \$1,000,000. Book-entry bonds will be available to eligible bidders in multiples of those amounts. Interchanges of bonds of different denominations and of coupon and registered bonds, and the transfer of registered bonds will be permitted.

"5. The bonds will be subject to the general regulations of the Department of the Treasury, now or hereafter prescribed, governing United States bonds."

III. *Tenders and allotments.* 1. Tenders will be received at Federal Reserve Banks and Branches and at the Bureau of the Public Debt, Washington, D.C. 20226, up to the closing hour, 1:30 p.m., eastern standard time, Thursday, February 5, 1976. Each tender must state the face amount of bonds bid for, which must be \$1,000 or a multiple thereof, and the price offered, except that in the case of noncompetitive tenders the term "noncompetitive" should be used in lieu of a price.

¹ On May 9, 1975, the Secretary of the Treasury announced that the interest rate on the bonds would be 8¼ percent per annum.

In the case of competitive tenders, the price must be expressed on the basis of 100, with two decimals, e.g., 100.00. Tenders at a price less than 92.76 will not be accepted. Fractions may not be used. Noncompetitive tenders from any one bidder may not exceed \$500,000.

2. Commercial banks, which for this purpose are defined as banks accepting demand deposits, and dealers who make primary markets in Government securities and report daily to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York their positions with respect to Government securities and borrowings thereon, may submit tenders for account of customers provided the names of the customers are set forth in such tenders. Others will not be permitted to submit tenders except for their own account. Tenders will be received without deposit from banking institutions for their own account, Federally-insured savings and loan associations, States, political subdivisions or instrumentalities thereof, public pension and retirement and other public funds, international organizations in which the United States holds membership, foreign central banks and foreign States, dealers who make primary markets in Government securities and report daily to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York their positions with respect to Government securities and borrowings thereon, and Government accounts. Tenders from others must be accompanied by payment (in cash, or the notes referred to in Section I which will be accepted at par) of 5 percent of the face amount of bonds applied for.

3. Immediately after the closing hour tenders will be opened, following which public announcement will be made by the Department of the Treasury of the amount and price range of accepted bids. Those submitting competitive tenders will be advised of the acceptance or rejection thereof. In considering the acceptance of tenders, those at the highest prices will be accepted to the extent required to attain the amount offered. Tenders at the lowest accepted price will be prorated if necessary. The Secretary of the Treasury expressly reserves the right to accept or reject any or all tenders, in whole or in part, including the right to accept less than the \$400,000,000 of bonds offered, and his action in any such respect shall be final. Subject to these reservations, noncompetitive tenders for \$500,000 or less without stated price from any one bidder will be accepted in full at the average price² (in two decimals) of accepted competitive tenders.

IV. *Payment.* 1. Settlement for accepted tenders in accordance with the bids together with \$21.30495 per \$1,000

² Average price may be at, or more or less than 100.00.

for accrued interest from November 15, 1975, to February 17, 1976, must be made or completed on or before February 17, 1976, at the Federal Reserve Bank or Branch or at the Bureau of the Public Debt. Payment must be in cash, notes referred to in Section I (interest coupons dated February 15, 1976, should be detached), in other funds immediately available to the Treasury by Tuesday, February 17, 1976, or by check drawn to the order of the Federal Reserve Bank to which the tender is submitted, or the United States Treasury if the tender is submitted to it, which must be received at such Bank or at the Treasury no later than: (1) Wednesday, February 11, 1976, if the check is drawn on a bank in the Federal Reserve District of the Bank to which the check is submitted, or the Fifth Federal Reserve District in the case of the Treasury, or (2) Monday, February 9, 1976, if the check is drawn on a bank in another district. Checks received after the dates set forth in the preceding sentence will not be accepted unless they are payable at a Federal Reserve Bank. Payment will not be deemed to have been completed where registered bonds are requested if the appropriate identifying number as required on tax returns and other documents submitted to the Internal Revenue Service (an individual's social security number or an employer identification number) is not furnished. In every case where full payment is not completed, the payment with the tender up to 5 percent of the amount of bonds allotted shall, upon declaration made by the Secretary of the Treasury in his discretion, be forfeited to the United States. When payment is made with notes, a cash adjustment will be made to or required of the bidder for any difference between the face amount of notes submitted and the amount payable on the bonds allotted.

V. *Assignment of registered notes.* 1. Registered notes tendered as deposits and in payment for bonds allotted hereunder are not required to be assigned if the bonds are to be registered in the same names and forms as appear in the registrations or assignments of the notes surrendered. Specific instructions for the issuance and delivery of the bonds, signed by the owner or his authorized representative, must accompany the notes presented. Otherwise, the notes should be assigned by the registered payees or assignees thereof in accordance with the general regulations governing United States securities, as hereinafter set forth. When the bonds are to be registered in names and forms different from those in the inscriptions or assignments of the notes presented the assignment should be to "The Secretary of the Treasury for 8½ percent Treasury Bonds of 2000-05 in the name of (name and

taxpayer identifying number)." If bonds in coupon form are desired, the assignment should be to "The Secretary of the Treasury for 8½ percent coupon Treasury Bonds of 2000-05 to be delivered to -----." Notes tendered in payment should be surrendered to the Federal Reserve Bank or Branch or to the Bureau of the Public Debt, Washington, D.C. 20226. The notes must be delivered at the expense and risk of the holder.

VI. *General provisions.* 1. As fiscal agents of the United States, Federal Reserve Banks are authorized and requested to receive tenders, to make such allotments as may be prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury, to issue such notices as may be necessary, to receive payment for and make delivery of bonds on full-paid tenders allotted, and they may issue interim receipts pending delivery of the definitive bonds.

2. The Secretary of the Treasury may at any time, or from time to time, prescribe supplemental of amendatory rules and regulations governing the offering, which will be communicated promptly to the Federal Reserve Banks.

WILLIAM E. SIMON,
Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc.76-3092 Filed 1-29-76; 10:32 am]

[Public Debt Series—No. 4-76]

8 PERCENT TREASURY NOTES OF SERIES A-1983

Dated and Bearing Interest From February 17, 1976, Due February 15, 1983

JANUARY 29, 1976.

Department Circular, Public Debt Series—No. 4-76, dated January 28, 1976, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section III, paragraph 3, in the penultimate sentence and in two places in the last sentence, and in the last sentence of Section IV, "5 percent" is changed to "20 percent".

WILLIAM E. SIMON,
Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc.76-3192 Filed 1-29-76; 3:49 pm]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service


ENDANGERED SPECIES PERMIT

Receipt of Application

Notice is hereby given that the following application for a permit is deemed to have been received under section 10 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-205).

Applicant:

Caretta Research, Inc., Charles R. LeBuff, Jr., President, Post Office Drawer E, Sanibel Island, Florida 33957

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE LICENSE/PERMIT APPLICATION		ONE NO. 7 READER													
		1. APPLICATION FOR (Indicate only one) <input type="checkbox"/> IMPORT OR EXPORT LICENSE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PERMIT													
3. APPLICANT, (Name, complete address and phone number of individual, business, agency, or institution for which permit is requested) Charles R. LeBuff, Jr. CARETTA RESEARCH, INC. Post Office Drawer E Sanibel Island, Florida 33957 (813) 472-1041		2. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ACTIVITY FOR WHICH REQUESTED LICENSE OR PERMIT IS NEEDED: Import two complete skulls of hawksbill turtle, <i>Eretmochelys imbricata</i> , (endangered species) from either Haiti or Bahama Islands for scientific and educational display purposes.													
4. IF "APPLICANT" IS AN INDIVIDUAL, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING: <table border="1"> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> MR. <input type="checkbox"/> MRS. <input type="checkbox"/> MISS <input type="checkbox"/> MS.</td> <td>HEIGHT</td> <td>WEIGHT</td> </tr> <tr> <td>DATE OF BIRTH</td> <td>COLOR HAIR</td> <td>COLOR EYES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PHONE NUMBER WHERE EMPLOYED</td> <td colspan="2">SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">OCCUPATION</td> </tr> </table>		<input type="checkbox"/> MR. <input type="checkbox"/> MRS. <input type="checkbox"/> MISS <input type="checkbox"/> MS.	HEIGHT	WEIGHT	DATE OF BIRTH	COLOR HAIR	COLOR EYES	PHONE NUMBER WHERE EMPLOYED	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER		OCCUPATION			5. IF "APPLICANT" IS A FIRM, CORPORATION, PUBLIC AGENCY, OR INSTITUTION, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING: EXPLAIN TYPE OR KIND OF BUSINESS, AGENCY, OR INSTITUTION A non-profit tax-exempt Florida corporation engaged in marine turtle research and conservation programs. NAME, TITLE, AND PHONE NUMBER OF PRESIDENT, PRINCIPAL OFFICER, DIRECTOR, ETC. (813) 472-1041 Charles R. LeBuff, Jr., Pres. IF "APPLICANT" IS A CORPORATION, INDICATE STATE IN WHICH INCORPORATED Florida	
<input type="checkbox"/> MR. <input type="checkbox"/> MRS. <input type="checkbox"/> MISS <input type="checkbox"/> MS.	HEIGHT	WEIGHT													
DATE OF BIRTH	COLOR HAIR	COLOR EYES													
PHONE NUMBER WHERE EMPLOYED	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER														
OCCUPATION															
6. LOCATION WHERE PROPOSED ACTIVITY IS TO BE CONDUCTED Import from Haiti or Bahama Islands to Sanibel, Direct.		7. DO YOU HOLD ANY CURRENTLY VALID FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE LICENSE OR PERMIT? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO (If yes, list license or permit numbers)													
9. CERTIFIED CHECK OR MONEY ORDER (if applicable) PAYABLE TO THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE ENCLOSED IN AMOUNT OF \$		10. IF REQUIRED BY ANY STATE OR FOREIGN GOVERNMENT, DO YOU HAVE THEIR APPROVAL TO CONDUCT THE ACTIVITY YOU PROPOSE? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO (If yes, list jurisdiction and type of document)													
11. CERTIFIED CHECK OR MONEY ORDER (if applicable) PAYABLE TO THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE ENCLOSED IN AMOUNT OF \$		12. DECISION EFFECTIVE DATE: ASAP 13. DURATION NEEDED: Permanent													
12. ATTACHMENTS. THE SPECIFIC INFORMATION REQUIRED FOR THE TYPE OF LICENSE/PERMIT REQUESTED (See 50 CFR 17.11) MAY BE ATTACHED. IT CONSTITUTES AN INTEGRAL PART OF THIS APPLICATION. LIST ACTIONS OF 50 CFR WHICH ATTACHMENTS ARE PROVIDED. Permit is requested under 50 CFR, Part 17. Source is to be from animal parts that are typically wasted from harvested animals. Skulls will become components of an existing marine turtle study collection.															
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE READ AND AM FAMILIAR WITH THE REGULATIONS CONTAINED IN TITLE 50, PART 17 OF THE CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS AND THE OTHER APPLICABLE PARTS IN SUBCHAPTER B OF CHAPTER I OF TITLE 50, AND I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN THIS APPLICATION FOR A LICENSE/PERMIT IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. I UNDERSTAND THAT ANY FALSE STATEMENT HEREIN MAY SUBJECT ME TO THE PENALTIES OF 18 U.S.C. 1021.															
SIGNATURE (In ink) CARETTA RESEARCH, INC.		DATE 9/26/75													

OCTOBER 10, 1975.

DIRECTOR (FWS/LE),
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,
P.O. Box 19183,
Washington, D.C.

DEAR SIR: The following information which conforms to application requirements given in CFR, Title 50, Part 17.22, is being submitted as supplemental information to be appended to our permit application dated 26 September 1975 which you have on file. It is our opinion that most of the information you require was indicated on either our original letter (9-15-75) or our permit application and requests for subsequent material is quite superfluous.

PART 17, SECTION 22

Paragraph (1)—Hawksbill turtle, *Eretmochelys imbricata*, 2 skulls of adult specimens w/jawplates, either sex (as available), importation of this material.

Paragraph (2)—The source will be from non-commercial remains that are typically wasted where this form of marine turtle is harvested, legally.

Paragraph (3)—We have not made final negotiations relative to the acquisition of the above material (1) pending obtainment of permit. Over the past several years we have exhausted domestic sources of the material.

Paragraph (4)—Upon receipt of the permit we will negotiate with scientists in the Bahamas or animal dealers in Haiti—both Nations allow exploitation of this marine turtle and the material is certainly available.

Paragraph (5)—The material (1) will be housed as a comparative component of an existing Project collection of marine turtle skulls; the use of which is educational, scientific and of a permanent nature.

Paragraph (6)—Not Applicable.

Paragraph (7)—No formal negotiated purchase agreement has been accomplished; pending permission to import.

Paragraph (8)—Upon receipt of permit we will contact various parties as indicated in (4) above to explore the possibility of purchase acquisition. Once a source is available we will import direct, through Miami for customs. If that is normal procedure, then on to the City of Sanibel.

Since the License/Permit Application and this supplemental material is a request for a scientific/importation permit for two marine turtle skulls it is indeed difficult to apply this request to all sections of Paragraph (8) when it has no relevancy to live animals; their propagation, facilities for their care, etc.

We are hopeful that the information herein provided is sufficient to get on with the task of issuing a permit that will allow our Project to accomplish what has now been explained for the third time. Should there be need of any additional information we are prepared to furnish it.

Sincerely yours,

CHARLES R. LeBUFF, Jr.,
President,
Caretta Research, Inc.

DIRECTOR (FWS/LE),
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,
P.O. Box 19183, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SIR: Reference is made to your communication (FRT 8-349-I) which was received today and relative to our permit application for importing two (2) adult hawksbill turtle (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) skulls for scientific purposes.

Caretta Research, Inc. maintains a representative collection of marine turtle parts, including skulls. These specimens are cataloged and are utilized for various purposes. The chief function of this collection, and for which the *Eretmochelys* skulls will be used, is as follows:

It is the intent of Caretta Research, Inc. to produce and publish a major monograph on the marine turtle community of the Gulf of Mexico. *Eretmochelys imbricata* occurs in the Gulf of Mexico and is one of the forms to be included in the above work upon the termination of our long-range investigations and conservation programs. Specimens of Atlantic *Eretmochelys* are required to evaluate and include such subjects as—feeding adaptations, skull measurements, photographic and/or artistic rendering of cranium modifications, and for illustrative purposes relative to identification of the various species which periodically inhabit the Gulf of Mexico.

We hope that the above information meets the additional requirements which have been brought to our attention and that our application will proceed forward without haste.

Sincerely yours,

CHARLES R. LeBUFF, Jr.,
Project Director,
Caretta Research, Inc.

Documents and other information submitted in connection with this application are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Service's office in Suite 600, 1612 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Interested persons may comment on this application by submitting written data, views, or arguments, preferably in triplicate, to the Director (FWS/LE), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Post Office Box 19183, Washington, D.C. 20036. All relevant comments received on or before March 3, 1976, will be considered.

Dated: January 27, 1976.

C. R. BAVIN,
Chief, Division of Law Enforcement,
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. 76-2979 Filed 1-30-76; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Geological Survey

[Geothermal Resources Operational (GRO)
Order No. 4]

CENTRAL AND WESTERN REGIONS

Amendment

In F.R. Doc. 75-21476, appearing at page 34427 in the issue for Friday, August 15, 1975, the last sentence in the second full paragraph, first column, page 34428 which reads "The lessee, in accordance with the requirements of 30 CFR 270.76, shall file in duplicate with the Supervisor, on or before March 1 of each year, an annual report of compliance with environmental protection requirements for the previous calendar year.", is hereby deleted.

V. E. McKELVEY,
Director.

[FR Doc.76-2967 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

TIMBER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Availability of Draft Environmental Statement

Pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, has prepared a draft environmental statement for the Timber Management Program, Prescott National Forest, USDA-FS-DES(Adm) R3-76-03.

The environmental statement considers probable environmental effects of the proposed project.

The draft environmental statement was transmitted to CEQ on January 22, 1976.

Copies are available for inspection during regular working hours at the following locations:

USDA, Forest Service, So. Agriculture Bldg., Rm. 3230, 14th & Independence Ave., SW, Washington, D.C. 20250.

USDA, Forest Service, Southwestern Region, 517 Gold Avenue, SW, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102.

Prescott National Forest, 344 South Cortez, Prescott, Arizona 86301.

Single copies are available upon request to the Forest Supervisor, Prescott National Forest, P.O. Box 2549, Prescott, Arizona 86301; and the Regional Forester, Southwestern Region, 517 Gold Avenue, SW, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102. Copies are also available from the Colorado Plateau Environmental Advisory Council, P.O. Box 1389, Flagstaff, Arizona 86001. Please refer to the name and number of the environmental statement when ordering.

Copies of the environmental statement have been sent to various Federal, State, and local agencies as outlined in the CEQ guidelines.

Comments are invited from the public, State, and local agencies which are authorized to develop and enforce environmental standards, and from Federal agencies having jurisdiction by law or

special expertise with respect to any environmental impact involved for which comments have not been requested specifically.

Comments concerning the proposed action and requests for additional information should be addressed to the Regional Forester, Southwestern Region, 517 Gold Avenue, SW., Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102. Comments must be received within 60 days from the date the statement was transmitted to CEQ in order to be considered in the preparation of the final environmental statement.

M. J. HASSELL,

Acting Regional Forester, Region 3.

JANUARY 22, 1976.

[FR Doc.76-2974 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

OTTAWA NATIONAL FOREST MULTIPLE USE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Two-Year Renewal

The Assistant Secretary for Conservation, Research and Education has renewed the Ottawa National Forest Multiple Use Advisory Committee for an additional 2-year period ending February 1, 1978.

The Ottawa National Forest Multiple Use Advisory Committee was originally established by the Chief of the Forest Service on January 21, 1972, to consider and advise the Forest Supervisor on policies, programs, and planning affecting the administration of Forest Service activities in the Ottawa National Forest.

The Assistant Secretary has determined that continuation of this committee is necessary and in the public interest in connection with duties imposed on the Forest Service by law. This notice is given in compliance with Public Law 92-463.

J. W. DEINEMA,
Deputy Chief,
Forest Service.

JANUARY 23, 1976.

[FR Doc.76-2968 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

LAND USE PLAN RED RIVER PLANNING UNIT

Availability of Draft Environmental Statement

Pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, has prepared a draft environmental statement for the Land Use Plan—Red River Planning Unit, Forest Service Report Number USDA-FS-R1 (17)-DES-Adm-76-11.

The environmental statement concerns the proposed implementation of a multiple use plan for the Red River Planning Unit, Red River Ranger District, Nezperce National Forest, Idaho County, Idaho. Approximately 88,060 acres of National Forest land are affected. Portions of four roadless areas totalling approximately 23,120 acres lie within the planning unit. This plan provides a detailed assessment of resources and management opportunities for the planning unit. In it are developed management constraints,

alternatives for resource allocation and management and detailed management guidance.

This draft environmental statement was transmitted to CEQ on January 26, 1976.

Copies are available for inspection during regular working hours at the following locations:

USDA, Forest Service, South Agriculture Bldg., Room 3230, 12th St. & Independence Ave. SW, Washington, D.C. 20250

USDA Forest Service, Northern Region, Federal Building, Missoula, MT 59801

USDA, Forest Service, Nezperce National Forest, 319 E. Main, Grangeville, Idaho 83630

USDA, Forest Service, Red River Ranger Station, Elk City, Idaho 83525

A limited number of single copies are available upon request to:

USDA, Forest Service, Nezperce National Forest, 319 E. Main, Grangeville, Idaho 83630

USDA, Forest Service, Red River Ranger Station, Elk City, Idaho 83525

Copies of the environmental statement have been sent to various Federal, State and local agencies as outlined in the CEQ guidelines.

Comments are invited from the public and from State and local agencies which are authorized to develop and enforce environmental standards, and from Federal agencies having jurisdiction by law or special expertise with respect to any environmental impact involved for which comments have not been requested specifically.

Comments concerning the proposed action and requests for additional information should be addressed to Forest Supervisor Donald L. Biddison, Nezperce National Forest, 319 E. Main, Grangeville, Idaho 83630. Comments must be received by March 26, 1976 in order to be considered in the preparation of the final environmental statement.

Dated: January 26, 1976.

DONALD L. BIDDISON,
Forest Supervisor
Nezperce National Forest.

[FR Doc.76-3019 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

Soil Conservation Service

LITTLE SIOUX FLOOD PREVENTION PROJECT, IOWA

Availability of Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; Part 1500 of the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (38 FR 20550, August 1, 1973); and Part 650.7 (e) of the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (39 FR 19650, June 3, 1974); the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, has prepared a draft environmental impact statement for the Little Sioux Flood Prevention Project, Buena Vista, Cherokee, Harrison, Ida, Monona, O'Brien, Plymouth, Sac and Woodbury Counties, Iowa, USDA-SCS-EIS-WS-(ADM)-76-1-(D)-IA.

The environmental impact statement concerns a program for watershed pro-

tecton and flood prevention. The program, authorized by Public Law 534 in 1944, is for the purpose of controlling the extensive gully erosion, sedimentation, and flood problems which characterize the project area. The scope of the program has been outlined through 1992 but the full delineation of specific projects must await further subwatershed organization and planning. Most of the anticipated activities would not be sufficiently significant within themselves to require individual environmental impact statements. This program statement reviews their aggregate impact.

A limited supply of copies is available at the following location to fill single copy requests:

Soil Conservation Service, USDA, 823 Federal Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50309

Copies of the draft environmental impact statement have been sent for comment to various federal, state, and local agencies as outlined in the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines. Comments are also invited from others having knowledge of or special expertise on environmental impacts.

Comments concerning the proposed action or requests for additional information should be addressed to W. J. Brune, State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, 823 Federal Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50309.

Comments must be received on or before March 23, 1976, in order to be considered in the preparation of the final environmental impact statement.

Dated: January 27, 1976.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.904, National Archives Reference Services.)

JOSEPH W. HAAS,
Deputy Administrator for Water
Resources, Soil Conservation
Service.

[FR Doc.76-2975 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Maritime Administration

[Docket No. S-482]

PACIFIC FAR EAST LINE, INC.

Notice of Application

Notice is hereby given that Pacific Far East Line, Inc., a Delaware corporation, has filed an application dated December 18, 1975, with the Maritime Subsidy Board pursuant to Title VI (46 U.S.C. 1171-1183) of the Merchant Marine Act, 1936, as amended (the Act), for a twenty year operating-differential subsidy contract for operation of the following number of vessels and services:

Trade Route No. 27 (U.S. Pacific/Australia)—combination passenger-cargo service with two owned passenger-cargo vessels with a maximum of 16 sailings annually between ports in California and Hawaii and ports in Australia, New Zealand, Fiji and Tahiti, and with the priv-

ilege of calling at Seattle, Washington, Pacific Coast ports of Alaska, Pacific Coast ports of Canada, Pacific Coast ports of Mexico, and ports of South Pacific Islands lying along the general route. In addition these vessels are employed in cruise trade (basically from Pacific Coast ports).

Trade Route No. 29 (U.S.-Transpacific Freight Service, as extended)—Freight Service with four owned LASH vessels with a maximum of 36 sailings annually between ports in California, Oregon, Washington, Alaska, British Columbia and ports¹ in the following areas: Japan, Hong Kong, Philippine Islands, Vietnam, Cambodia, Thailand, China (including Manchuria), Taiwan, Korea, Okinawa, the Marshall and Midway Islands, U.S.S.R. in Asia, Indonesia, Singapore, Malaysia, Burma, Bangladesh, India, Sri Lanka, Pakistan, and ports in the Persian Gulf-Gulf of Oman. The operator may also make calls at Ensenada, Mexico, for the purpose of carrying cargo between said port and foreign ports on the service.

If this application is approved, the twenty-year agreement would succeed and become effective upon termination of the applicant's present agreement, Contract No. FMB-81, which will expire on December 31, 1978, or such earlier date as the parties may agree to and under which Pacific Far East Line, Inc., is presently authorized to operate a fleet of 6 vessels, the same number of vessels as proposed. The company does not propose to increase its maximum sailings on its two services, but does propose to expand the area of service on its freight service on Trade Route No. 29, as extended.

Interested parties may inspect this application in the Office of the Secretary, Maritime Subsidy Board, Room 3099-B, Department of Commerce Building, 14 & E Streets, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

Any person, firm or corporation having an interest in such application who desires to offer views and comments thereon for consideration by the Maritime Subsidy Board should submit them in writing, in triplicate, to the Secretary, Maritime Subsidy Board, by the close of business on February 17, 1976. The Maritime Subsidy Board will consider such views and comments, and take such action with respect thereto as may be deemed appropriate.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.504, Operating-Differential Subsidy (ODS)).

By Order of the Maritime Subsidy Board.

Dated: January 28, 1976.

JAMES S. DAWSON, Jr.,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-3052 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

¹Including island ports adjacent thereto.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric
Administration

ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME

Notice of Issuance of Permit

On November 18, 1975, notice was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (40 FR 53417) that an application had been submitted to the National Marine Fisheries Service by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Subport Building, Juneau, Alaska 99801, for a permit to take, by killing, two hundred (200) Pacific harbor seals (*Phoca vitulina richardii*) and two hundred (200) northern sea lions (*Eumetopias jubatus*), and to tag up to 7,000 northern sea lions (*Eumetopias jubatus*), for the purpose of scientific research.

Notice is hereby given that, on January 23, 1976, and as authorized under the provisions of the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1361-1407) the National Marine Fisheries Service issued a Scientific Research Permit for the above mentioned mammals to the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, subject to certain conditions specified therein. The Permit is available for review by interested persons in the Office of the Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, D.C. 20235, and in the Office of the Regional Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Region, P.O. Box 1668, Juneau, Alaska 99801.

Dated: January 23, 1976.

JACK W. GEHRINGER,
Deputy Director,
National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc.76-2972 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

EL PASO ZOOLOGICAL PARK

Issuance of a Permit for Marine Mammals

On November 10, 1975, notice was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (40 FR 52425), that an application had been filed with the National Marine Fisheries Service by the El Paso Zoological Park, Evergreen and Paisano, El Paso, Texas 79905, to take three (3) California sea lions (*Zalophus californianus*) for the purpose of public display.

Notice is hereby given that on January 23, 1976, and as authorized by the provisions of the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1361-1407), the National Marine Fisheries Service issued a permit for three (3) California sea lions (*Zalophus californianus*) to the El Paso Zoological Park subject to certain conditions set forth therein.

The Permit is available for review by interested persons in the Office of the Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, D.C. 20235, and the Office of the Regional Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Region, 9450 Gandy Boulevard, North Duval Building, St. Petersburg, Florida 33702,

and the Office of the Regional Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Southwest Region, 300 South Ferry Street, Terminal Island, California 90731.

Dated: January 23, 1976.

JACK W. GEHRINGER,
Deputy Director,
National Marine Fisheries Service.
[FR Doc.76-2971 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

OCEAN WORLD, INC.

Issuance of Permit To Take Marine Mammals

On December 4, 1975, notice was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (40 FR 56701) that an application had been filed with the National Marine Fisheries Service by Ocean World, Inc., 17th Street Causeway, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33316, for a permit to take three (3) Atlantic bottlenosed dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) for the purpose of public display.

Notice is hereby given that, on January 22, 1976, and as authorized by the provisions of the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1361-1407), the National Marine Fisheries Service issued a permit for the taking of two (2) bottlenosed dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) to Ocean World, Inc., subject to certain conditions set forth therein. The request for a third bottlenosed dolphin was denied.

The Permit is available for review by interested persons in the Office of the Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20235, and the Office of the Regional Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Region, Duval Building, 9450 Gandy Boulevard, St. Petersburg, Florida 33702.

JACK W. GEHRINGER,
Deputy Director,
National Marine Fisheries Service.
JANUARY 22, 1976.
[FR Doc.76-2970 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

UNITED FISHERMEN OF ALASKA General Permits

General Permits were issued on January 19, 1976, to the United Fishermen of Alaska, Juneau, Alaska to take marine mammals incidental to commercial fishing operations under categories (iii) Encircling Gear, Seining other than Yellowfin Tuna; (iv) Stationary Gear; and (v) other Gear, pursuant to 50 CFR 216.24 (39 FR 32117-32124), as amended.

The Permits are available for public inspection in the Office of the Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, D.C. 20235.

Dated: January 23, 1976.

JACK W. GEHRINGER,
Deputy Director
National Marine Fisheries Service.
[FR Doc.76-2973 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

United States Travel Service TRAVEL ADVISORY BOARD Meeting

As noted in the FEDERAL REGISTER dated January 19, 1976, on Page 2660, a meeting of the Travel Advisory Board of the U.S. Department of Commerce will be held on February 17, 1976, at 9:30 a.m., in Room 4833, of the Main Commerce Building, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

Established in July, 1968, the Travel Advisory Board consists of senior representatives of 15 U.S. travel industry segments who are appointed by the Secretary of Commerce to serve two-year terms.

Members advise the Secretary of Commerce and Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Tourism on policies and programs designed to accomplish the purposes of the International Travel Act of 1961, as amended.

Agenda items are as follows:

1. International Marketing Strategy.
2. Review Domestic Tourism Promotion Program.
3. Update of Major Tourism Issues.
4. Adjournment.

A limited number of seats will be available to observers from the public and the press. The public will be permitted to file written statements with the Committee before or after the meeting. To the extent time is available, the presentation of oral statements will be allowed.

Robert Jackson, Director of Media Services, of the United States Travel Service, Room 1519, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C., (telephone 202/967-4987) will respond to public requests for information about the meeting.

CREIGHTON HOLDEN,
Assistant Secretary for Tourism
U.S. Department of Commerce.

[FR Doc.76-3014 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration

ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting

In accordance with Section 10(a) (2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. Appendix I), announcement is made of the following National Advisory body scheduled to assemble during the month of February 1976:

MINORITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE,
ADAMHA

February 18 and 20—Open Meeting.

February 18, 1:30-4:30 p.m. and 6:30-9:30 p.m., Resthaven Community Mental Health Center, 765 College Street, Los Angeles, California.

February 20, 9:00 a.m., Special Service for Groups, Inc., Room 201, 2400 S. Western Avenue, Los Angeles, California.

Contact Ernest F. Hurst, Parklawn Building, Room 13C-15, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Md., 20852, 301-443-3838.

Purpose: The Minority Advisory Committee, ADAMHA, advises the Secretary, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, and the Administrator, Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration, on needs, programs, and activities regarding minority alcohol, drug abuse and mental health matters, and makes recommendations for possible solutions which meet the needs and concerns of minority groups throughout the United States. The Committee functions in an advisory capacity to the Administrator, ADAMHA, on these matters which relate to the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, National Institute on Drug Abuse, and the National Institute of Mental Health.

Agenda: This meeting will be open to the public. On February 18, the Committee will discuss reports by committee members on FY 1976-77 Work Plans and a special report on the New York Minority Advisory Committee, ADAMHA, meeting. This session will conclude about 4:30 p.m. and the committee will reconvene at 6:30 p.m. for a meeting with community representatives to discuss (1) Special Alcohol, Drug Abuse and Mental Health Needs and Concerns of Minority Groups, (2) Impact of Research and Related Activities on Minority Groups and (3) Minority Group Coalitions. On February 19 the members will visit research and demonstration centers in the Los Angeles community. The meeting will reconvene at 9:00 a.m., February 20, to discuss the Committee's findings and possible recommendations resulting from the meeting and visits conducted the previous days. Agenda items are subject to change as priorities dictate. Attendance by the public at the meeting February 18 and 20 will be limited to space available.

Substantive program information may be obtained from the contact person listed above.

Mr. James C. Helsing, Deputy Director, Office of Public Affairs, ADAMHA, will furnish, on request, summaries of the meeting and a roster of the committee members. Mr. Helsing is located in Room 16-95, Parklawn Building, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20852, 301-443-3783.

Dated: January 28, 1976.

CAROLYN T. EVANS,
Committee Management Officer,
Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and
Mental Health Administration.

[FR Doc.76-3006 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Office of Interstate Land Sales Registration

[Docket No. 76-477]

MILL QUARTER PLANTATION

Notice of Hearing

In the matter of Mill Quarter Plantation, OILSR No. 0-3134-54-167 Doc. No. 75-280-IS. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(d) and 24 CFR 1720.160(d).

Notice is hereby given that:

1. Mill Quarter Plantation, Inc., I. Norris Blake, owner, its officers and agents, hereinafter referred to as "Respondent," being subject to the provisions of the Interstate Land Sales Full Disclosure Act (Pub. L. 90-448) (15 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.), received a notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing issued November 25, 1975, which was sent to the developer pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(d), 24 CFR 1710.45(b) (1) and 1720.125 informing the developer of information obtained by the Office of Interstate Land Sales Registration alleging that the Statement of Record and Property Report for Mill Quarter Plantation, located in Powhatan County, Virginia, contain untrue statements of material fact or omit to state material facts required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein not misleading.

2. The Respondent filed an Answer received December 12, 1975, in response to the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

3. In said Answer the Respondent requested a hearing on the allegations contained in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

4. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of 15 U.S.C. 1706(d) and 24 CFR 1720.160(d), it is hereby ordered that a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing will be held before Judge James W. Mast, in Room 7146, Department of HUD, 451 7th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C., on February 24, 1976, at 10:00 a.m.

The following time and procedure is applicable to such hearing: All affidavits and a list of all witnesses are requested to be filed with the Hearing Clerk, HUD Building, Room 10150, Washington, D.C., 20410 on or before February 10, 1976.

6. The Respondent is hereby notified that failure to appear at the above scheduled hearing shall be deemed a default and the proceedings shall be determined against Respondent, the allegations of which shall be deemed to be true, and an order Suspending the Statement of Record, herein identified, shall be issued pursuant to 24 CFR 1710.45(b) (1).

This Notice shall be served upon the Respondent forthwith pursuant to 24 CFR 1720.440.

Dated: January 6, 1976.

JAMES W. MAST,
Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc.76-2963 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. N-76-479]

ROADRUNNER CAMPGROUNDS, INC.

Notice of Hearing

In the matter of Roadrunner Campgrounds, Inc., OILSR No. 0-4168-28-81 Doc. No. 75-246-IS. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(d) and 24 CFR 1720.160(d).

Notice is hereby given that:

1. Roadrunner Campgrounds, Inc., Frank C. Peel, President, its officers and agents, hereinafter referred to as "Respondent," being subject to the provisions of the Interstate Land Sales Full Disclosure Act (Pub. Law 90-448) (15 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.), received a notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing issued November 14, 1975, which was sent to the developer pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(d), 24 CFR 1710.45(b) (1) and 1720.125 informing the developer of information obtained by the Office of Interstate Land Sales Registration alleging that the Statement of Record and Property Report for Roadrunner Campgrounds, located in Grenada County, Mississippi, contain untrue statements of material fact or omit to state material facts required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein not misleading.

2. The Respondent filed an Answer received December 2, 1975, in response to the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

3. In said Answer the Respondent requested a hearing on the allegations contained in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

4. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of 15 U.S.C. 1706(d) and 24 CFR 1720.160(d), it is hereby ordered that a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing will be held before Judge James W. Mast, in Room 7146, Department of HUD, 451 7th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C., on February 19, 1976 at 10:00 a.m.

The following time and procedure is applicable to such hearing: All affidavits and a list of all witnesses are requested to be filed with the Hearing Clerk, HUD Building, Room 10150, Washington, D.C., 20410 on or before February 5, 1976.

6. The Respondent is hereby notified that failure to appear at the above scheduled hearing shall be deemed a default and the proceedings shall be determined against Respondent, the allegations of which shall be deemed to be true, and an order Suspending the Statement of Record, herein identified, shall be issued pursuant to 24 CFR 1710.45(b) (1).

This Notice shall be served upon the Respondent forthwith pursuant to 24 CFR 1720.440.

Dated: January 14, 1976.

JACK W. MAST,
Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc.76-2965 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. N-76-478]

TAHOE KEYS

Notice of Hearing

In the matter of Tahoe Keys, OILSR No. 0-0678-04-101 Doc. No. Y-20032. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(d) and 24 CFR 1720.160(d).

Notice is hereby given that:

1. Dillingham Development Company, R. A. Denman, President, its officers and agents, hereinafter referred to as "Respondent," being subject to the provisions of the Interstate Land Sales Full Disclosure Act (Pub. Law 90-448) (15 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.), was served a Notice by means of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER on November 21, 1975 on page 54367, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(d), 24 CFR 1710.45(b) (1) and 1720.125 informing the developer of information obtained by the Office of Interstate Land Sales Registration alleging that the Statement of Record and Property Report for Tahoe Keys, located in El Dorado County, California, contain untrue statements of material fact or omit to state material facts required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein not misleading.

2. The Respondent filed an Answer received December 9, 1975, in response to the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

3. In said Answer the Respondent requested a hearing on the allegations contained in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

4. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of 15 U.S.C. 1706(d) and 24 CFR 1720.160(d), it is hereby ordered that a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing will be held before Judge James W. Mast, in Room 7146, Department of HUD, 451 7th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C., on March 17, 1976 at 10:00 a.m.

The following time and procedure is applicable to such hearing: All affidavits and a list of all witnesses are requested to be filed with the Hearing Clerk, HUD Building, Room 10150, Washington, D.C., 20410 on or before March 3, 1976.

6. The Respondent is hereby notified that failure to appear at the above scheduled hearing shall be deemed a default and the proceedings shall be determined against Respondent, the allegations of which shall be deemed to be true, and an order Suspending the Statement of Record, herein identified, shall be issued pursuant to 24 CFR 1710.45(b) (1).

This Notice shall be served upon the Respondent forthwith pursuant to 24 CFR 1720.440.

Dated: January 14, 1976.

JACK W. MAST,
Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc.76-2964 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. N-76-480]

WOLF RIVER RANCH SUBDIVISION**Notice of Hearing**

In the matter of Wolf River Ranch Subdivision, OILSR No. 0-2985-28-56/ A/B Doc. No. 75-247-IS. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(d) and 24 CFR 1720.160(d).

Notice is hereby given that:

1. Poplarville Development Corporation, James M. George, President, its officers and agents, hereinafter referred to as "Respondent," being subject to the provisions of the Interstate Land Sales Full Disclosure Act (Pub. Law 90-448) (15 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.), received a notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing issued November 4, 1975, which was sent to the developer pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(d), 24 CFR 1710.45(b) (1) and 1720.125 informing the developer of information obtained by the Office of Interstate Land Sales Registration alleging that the Statement of Record and Property Report for Wolf River Ranch Sub'd., located in Pearl River County, Mississippi, contain untrue statements of material fact or omit to state material facts required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein not misleading.

2. The Respondent filed an Answer received December 24, 1975; in response to the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

3. In said Answer the Respondent requested a hearing on the allegations contained in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

4. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of 15 U.S.C. 1706(d) and 24 CFR 1720.160(d), it is hereby ordered that a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing will be held before Judge James W. Mast, in Room 7146, Department of HUD, 451 7th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C., on February 25, 1976 at 10:00 a.m.

The following time and procedure is applicable to such hearing: All affidavits and a list of all witnesses are requested to be filed with the Hearing Clerk, HUD Building, Room 10150, Washington, D.C., 20410 on or before February 11, 1976.

6. The Respondent is hereby notified that failure to appear at the above scheduled hearing shall be deemed a default and the proceedings shall be determined against Respondent, the allegations of which shall be deemed to be true, and an order Suspending the Statement of Record, herein identified, shall be issued pursuant to 24 CFR 1710.45 (b) (1).

This Notice shall be served upon the Respondent forthwith pursuant to 24 CFR 1720.440.

Dated: January 14, 1976.

JACK W. MAST,
Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc.76-2968 Filed 1-30-76; 8:45 am]

**DEPARTMENT OF
TRANSPORTATION****Federal Aviation Administration****TERMINAL INSTRUMENT PROCEDURES
ADVISORY COMMITTEE WORKING
GROUP ON NAVIGATION SYSTEM AC-
CURACY****Meeting**

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (86 Stat. 770), that the U.S. Terminal Instrument Procedures (TERPs) Advisory Committee Working Group for Navigation System Accuracy will hold a meeting, March 3 and 4, 1976, beginning at 9:00 a.m. c.s.t., in Hangar 13, Randolph Air Force Base, San Antonio, Texas. This meeting of the Working Group for Navigation System Accuracy is conducted with the approval and under the auspices of the TERPs Advisory Committee.

The agenda item for this meeting is a discussion and review of navigation system accuracies as related to fix accuracies and obstacle clearance requirements presently specified in the TERPs Handbook.

This meeting is open to the public. Persons interested in attending the meeting should contact Earnest E. Callaway, Chairman, TERPs Working Group for Navigation System Accuracy, Federal Aviation Administration, Flight Inspection National Field Office, P.O. Box 25082, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73125; telephone: (405) 732-4164.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on January 14, 1976.

JAMES A. FORGAS,
*Chairman, U.S. Terminal In-
strument Procedures (TERPs)
Advisory Committee.*

[FR Doc.76-2941 Filed 1-30-76; 8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary**STEINY AND COMPANY, INC., AND
J. O. STEINY****Proposed Sanction Action**

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to section 209 of Executive Order 11246, as amended, it is proposed that an order be issued to cause the cancellation, termination or suspension of any existing Federally-assisted construction contracts, government contracts or subcontracts as defined in 41 CFR 60-1.3, with Steiny and Company, Incorporated, 27 Sheridan Street, Vallejo, California 94590 and to cause the ineligibility for future Federally-assisted contracts, government contracts or subcontracts of Steiny and Company, Incorporated, and J. O. Steiny, President, Treasurer and Manager, individually.

This action is being proposed because Steiny and Company, Inc., a prime contractor, failed to achieve the 6.3 percent minimum minority manhour goal for the electrical trade during 1974 on its Vallejo, California area construction projects as required by the North Bay Plan. The

contracts were awarded by the city of Vallejo and funded by the Federal Highway Administration, and are subject to the North Bay Plan.

As stated in the letter notice of this proposed action issued to J. O. Steiny individually as President, Treasurer and Manager, and Steiny and Company, Incorporated:

The applicable regulations, 41 CFR 60-1.26(b) (2), provide that no order for the cancellation or termination of existing contracts or subcontracts or for debarment from further contracts or subcontracts shall be made without affording the contractor an opportunity for a hearing. You, therefore, have fourteen (14) days from receipt of this notice to mail to the undersigned an answer to this notice, together with a request for a hearing which should be set forth in a paragraph separate from the remainder of the answer to this notice.

If at the end of 14 days no answer including a hearing request has been filed, or the answer does not raise issues of fact or law, steps will be taken to cancel, suspend or terminate or cause to be cancelled, suspended or terminated, any one or more contracts or subcontracts, or parts thereof, held by the Company as prime contractor or subcontractor, and in addition steps will be taken to enter an order declaring the Company and J. O. Steiny, President, Treasurer and Manager, individually ineligible for further contracts, subcontracts, or extensions or other modifications of existing contracts, until they have satisfied the Secretary of Labor that they have established and will carry out personnel and employment policies and practices in compliance with provisions of the equal employment clause and the Order.

The regulations also provide that in the event the Company requests a hearing, its contracts and subcontracts may be suspended in the discretion of the Director, Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs, during the pendency of the hearing.

JAMES FRAZIER,
Director of Civil Rights.

[FR Doc.76-3015 Filed 1-30-76; 8:45 am]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket 28778]

**ADDITIONAL DALLAS/FT. WORTH-KANSAS
CITY NONSTOP SERVICE CASE****Prehearing Conference**

Notice is hereby given that a prehearing conference in the above-entitled matter is assigned to be held on March 30, 1976, at 10:00 a.m. (local time), in Room 1003, Hearing Room A, Universal North Building, 1875 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C., before Administrative Law Judge William A. Kane, Jr.

In order to facilitate the conduct of the conference, parties are instructed to submit one copy to each party and six copies to the Judge of (1) proposed statements and issues; (2) proposed stipulations; (3) requests for information; (4)

statement of positions of parties; and (5) proposed procedural dates. The Bureau of Operating Rights will circulate its material on or before March 11, 1976, and the other parties on or before March 19, 1976. The submissions of the other parties shall be limited to points on which they differ with the Bureau of Operating Rights, and shall follow the numbering and lettering used by the Bureau to facilitate cross-referencing.

Dated at Washington, D.C., January 28, 1976.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. PARK,
Chief Administrative Law Judge.
[FR Doc.76-3002 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO

Postponement of Meeting

Notice is hereby given that the presentation by the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico regarding the present status of Mainland-Puerto Rico air transportation and its impact on the Puerto Rico economy and scheduled to be held on February 4, 1976, at 3:00 p.m. (local time) in Room 1027 Universal Building (41 FR 3337, January 22, 1976), is hereby postponed. Further notice will be given when the meeting is rescheduled.

Dated at Washington, D.C., January 28, 1976.

[SEAL] EDWIN Z. HOLLAND,
Secretary.
[FR Doc.76-3004 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

COMMISSION ON FEDERAL PAPERWORK

IMPACT OF FEDERAL PAPERWORK Public Hearing

Notice is hereby given of a public hearing of the Commission on Federal Paperwork in Chicago, Illinois. The hearing will be held on February 18 and 19, 1976, at the Dirksen Federal Building, Everett McKinley Dirksen Room, Room 1220, 219 South Dearborn Street. The hearing will commence each day at 9:00 a.m. and end at 1:00 p.m. The Commission will receive comments about the impact of Federal paperwork from individuals in the areas of retail sales, food services, accounting, railroading, trucking, agri-business, publishing, and Federal, State, and local welfare programs.

Testimony presented at this hearing will be used by the Commission on Federal Paperwork in making recommendations to the Congress and the President on changes which would ease the burden of Federal paperwork.

Persons wishing further information about the hearing should contact the Commission on Federal Paperwork, located at 1111 20th Street, N.W., Suite 200, Washington, D.C. 20582, telephone (202) 254-6786.

FRANK HORTON,
Chairman.

[FR Doc.76-2961 Filed 1-30-76;8:40 am]

ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION FISCAL YEAR 1977 UNDERGROUND NUCLEAR TESTING PROGRAM AT THE NEVADA TEST SITE

Declaration Not To Prepare an Environmental Statement

The Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA) prepared an environmental assessment for the activities proposed for the Nevada Test Site (NTS) during FY 1977. It is anticipated that the underground nuclear testing program for FY 1977 will be substantially equivalent to that planned for FY 1976. In addition to providing discussions of the environmental impact of the testing activities at NTS, the present environmental assessment considers underground nuclear detonations of one megaton or less, along with the preparations necessary for these detonations during FY 1977. Also assessed are testing activities other than nuclear which can best be conducted in a remote and controlled area such as NTS.

As of July 1, 1975, there had been 265 announced underground nuclear detonations at the NTS since the signing in August 1963, of the Treaty Banning Nuclear Weapon Tests in the Atmosphere, in Outer Space, and Underwater (the Limited Test Ban Treaty). It is expected that the Underground Nuclear Testing Program in FY 1977 will continue at essentially the same pace (about 20 tests per year). It is also anticipated that during FY 1977, the NTS Program will continue to include a variety of nuclear and nonnuclear tests and experiments wherein the ERDA laboratories, and other government agencies, take advantage of the climate, the remoteness, and the controlled access of the NTS. It is expected that these additional activities will continue in FY 1977 at about the same pace as in recent years.

On the basis of this assessment, it has been determined by ERDA that the activities proposed for the NTS during FY 1977 are essentially of the same nature as those which have transpired in previous years. The environmental assessment confirms the conclusions presented in the environmental statement, WASH-1526 and its Final Supplement, WASH-1526S, which covers the FY 1976 test program. ERDA therefore announces its intention to rely upon the applicability of the Final Supplement to WASH-1526 for the coverage of the FY 1977 program, and its determination that an environmental impact statement for the continued operation of the Nevada Test Site during this stated period is not warranted.

Copies of the environmental assessment, "Nevada Test Site Program—FY 1977," are available for public inspection in the ERDA public document rooms at: ERDA Headquarters, 20 Massachusetts Avenue, Washington, D.C. Albuquerque Operations Office, Kirtland Air Force Base East, Albuquerque, New Mexico. Chicago Operations Office, 9500 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, Illinois.

Idaho Operations Office, 550 Second Street, Idaho Falls, Idaho.
Oak Ridge Operations Office, Federal Building, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
Nevada Operations Office, 2753 South Highland Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada.
Richland Operations Office, Federal Building, Richland, Washington.
San Francisco Operations Office, 1333 Broadway, Oakland, California.
Savannah River Operations Office, Savannah River Plant, Aiken, South Carolina.

Comments and views concerning the environmental assessment and ERDA's intention not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the continuation of its testing activities at NTS during FY 1977 should be addressed to W. H. Pennington, Office of the Assistant Administrator for Environment and Safety, Mail Station E-201, U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration, Washington, D.C. 20545, (301) 973-4241. These comments and views should be received no later than March 3, 1976. All interested agencies, organizations, and individuals may obtain single copies of the environmental assessment by writing to the same address.

Dated at Germantown, Maryland, this 28th day of January 1976.

For the Energy Research and Development Administration.

JAMES L. LIVERMAN,
Assistant Administrator
for Environment and Safety.

[FR Doc.76-2959 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL 484-3]

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS AND OTHER ACTIONS IMPACTING THE ENVIRONMENT

Availability of Agency Comments

Pursuant to the requirements of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, and section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has reviewed and commented in writing on Federal agency actions impacting the environment contained in the following appendices during the period of December 16, 1975 and December 31, 1975.

Appendix I contains a listing of draft environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this review period. The list includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, the classification of the nature of EPA's comments as defined in Appendix II, and the EPA source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix II contains the definitions of the classifications of EPA's comments on the draft environmental impact statements as set forth in Appendix I.

Appendix III contains a listing of final environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this review period. The listing in-

cludes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, a summary of the nature of EPA's comments, and the EPA source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix IV contains a listing of final environmental impact statements reviewed but not commented upon by EPA during this review period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, and the source of the EPA review as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix V contains a listing of proposed Federal agency regulations, legislation proposed by Federal agencies, and any other proposed actions reviewed and commented upon in writing pursuant to section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act, as amended, during the referenced reviewing period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the proposed action, the title of the action, a summary

of the nature of EPA's comments, and the source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix VI contains a listing of the names and addresses of the sources of EPA reviews and comments listed in Appendices I, III, IV, and V.

Copies of the EPA Manual setting forth the policies and procedures for EPA's review of agency actions may be obtained by writing the Public Information Reference Unit, Environmental Protection Agency, Room 2922, Waterside Mall SW., Washington, D.C. 20460, telephone 202/755-2808. Copies of the draft and final environmental impact statements referenced herein are available from the originating Federal department or agency.

Dated: January 22, 1976.

REBECCA W. HANMER,
Acting Director,
Officer of Federal Activities.

APPENDIX I.—*Draft environmental impact statements for which comments were issued between Dec. 16, 1975, and Dec. 31, 1975*

Identifying No.	Title	General nature of comments	Source for copies of comments
Department of Agriculture:			
D-AFS-B61004-NH	Kancamagus unit plan, Carroll and Grafton Counties, N.H.	LO-1	B
D-AFS-K08002-NV	Buckeye to Round Hill, 120 kV power transmission line, Toiyabe National Forest, Douglas County, Nev.	LO-1	J
D-AFS-L61031-WA	Upper Lewis River planning unit, Gifford Pinchot National Forest, Vancouver, Wash.	ER-2	K
D-AFS-L61052-ID	Land use plan, council planning unit, Payette National Forest, Idaho.	LO-2	K
D-REA-E08006-SC	Transmission lines, 230 kV, Sumner to Newberry, to Blythewood and Varnville, to Hilton Head, S.C.	LO-2	E
D-REA-K07001-AZ	Apache units 2 and 3, related transmission lines, Cochise, Greenlee, Graham, and Pima Counties, Ariz.	ER-2	J
D-SCS-B36007-CT	Norwalk River watershed, Fairfield County, Conn.	LO-1	B
Corps of Engineers:			
D-COE-D07002-WV	Project 1301, new powerplant on the Ohio River, New Haven, W. Va.	ER-2	D
D-COE-E32011-AL	Theodore ship channel and barge channel extension, Mobile Harbor, Mobile Bay, Ala.	ER-2	E
D-COE-E32014-NC	Cape Fear River, operation and maintenance above Wilmington, N.C.	ER-2	E
DS-COE-G34003-LA	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, section replacement of Vermilion lock, Louisiana.	ER-2	G
D-GOE-K39004-CA	Port Sonoma development project, Sonoma County, Calif.	ER-2	J
Federal Power Commission:			
D-FPC-E05006-SC	Bad Creek pumped storage project, No. 2740, South Carolina.	ER-2	E
Department of Housing and Urban Development:			
D-HUD-C25001-PR	Expansion of solid waste disposal site (CDBG), municipality of Coama, P.R.	LO-2	O
D-HUD-C85006-PR	Residential Interamericana, project 74-275, Trujillo, P.R.	LO-2	O
D-HUD-J85001-CO	Pier Point, a planned unit development, Aurora, Colo.	ER-2	I
Department of the Interior:			
D-BLM-L61053-OR	Proposed North Umpqua Canyon management plan, Douglas County, Oreg.	ER-1	K
Department of Transportation:			
DS-DOT-A41101-KS	I-435, Johnson and Wyandotte Counties, Kans.	ER-2	H
D-FAA-K61003-CA	Municipal airport at Reedley, Fresno County, Calif.	LO-1	J
D-FHW-B40014-MA	MA-146, Sutton, Northbridge, Douglas, Uxbridge, Millville, Worcester County, Mass.	LO-1	B
D-FHW-C40018-NY	I-508, Susquehanna Expressway I-83, Hinmans Corner to Port Crane, Broome County, N.Y.	LO-2	O
D-FHW-E40004-GA	Brookwood station area improvements, I-85, I-75, Fulton and De Kalb Counties, Ga.	LO-2	E

Identifying No.	Title	General nature of comments	Source for copies of comments
D-FHW-F40042-WI.....	WI-22 Wautoma, Waupaca Rd., Portage and Waupaca Counties, Mich.	LO-1	F
D-FHW-G40043-TX.....	Metropolitan Highway 50, Vickery viaduct urban high density traffic improvement project, Tarrant County, Tex.	LO-1	G
D-FHW-G53001-TX.....	Brownsville-Matamoras railroad relocation demonstration project, Cameron County, Brownsville, Tex.	LO-1	G
D-FHW-H40038-NB.....	Center St. and Meroy Rd. intersection, Omaha, Douglas County, Nebr.	LO-2	H
D-FHW-H40039-IA.....	IA-9, Allamakee County, Iowa.....	LO-1	H
D-FHW-H40040-NB.....	U.S. 83, North Platte South, Lincoln County, Nebr.	LO-2	H
D-FHW-K40026-CA.....	Harbor Blvd., between 5th St. and Channel Blvd., Oxnard, Ventura County, Calif.	ER-2	J
D-FHW-K40027-CA.....	Florence Ave., Bloomfield Ave. to Telegraph Rd., Los Angeles County, Calif.	ER-2	J
D-FHW-K40028-HI.....	Interchange at Castle Junction, Honolulu County, Hawaii.	ER-2	J
D-FHW-L40028-ID.....	Elk City Highway, Forest Highway Route 18, ID-14, Idaho.	LO-1	K
Tennessee Valley Authority:			
D-TVA-E61016-TN.....	Poor Valley Creek State Park, Hawkins County, Tenn.	LO-2	E
D-TVA-J01004-WY.....	Morton Ranch uranium mining, Wyoming.	ER-2	I

APPENDIX II

DEFINITIONS OF CODES FOR THE GENERAL NATURE OF EPA COMMENTS

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF THE ACTION

LO—Lack of Objection. EPA has no objections to the proposed action as described in the draft impact statement; or suggests only minor changes in the proposed action.

ER—Environmental Reservations. EPA has reservations concerning the environmental effects of certain aspects of the proposed action. EPA believes that further study of suggested alternatives or modifications is required and has asked the originating Federal agency to reassess these impacts.

EU—Environmentally Unsatisfactory. EPA believes that the proposed action is unsatisfactory because of its potentially harmful effect on the environment. Furthermore, the Agency believes that the potential safeguards which might be utilized may not adequately protect the environment from hazards arising from this action. The Agency recommends that alternatives to the action be analyzed further (including the possibility of no action at all).

ADEQUACY OF THE IMPACT STATEMENT

Category 1—Adequate. The draft impact statement adequately sets forth the environmental impact of the proposed project or action as well as alternatives reasonably available to the project or action.

Category 2—Insufficient Information. EPA believes that the draft impact statement does not contain sufficient information to assess fully the environmental impact of the proposed project or action. However, from the information submitted, the Agency is able to make a preliminary determination of the impact on the environment. EPA has requested that the originator provide the information that was not included in the draft statement.

Category 3—Inadequate. EPA believes that the draft impact statement does not adequately assess the environmental impact of the proposed project or action, or that the statement inadequately analyzes reasonable available alternatives. The Agency has requested more information and analysis concerning the potential environmental hazards and has asked that substantial revision be made to the impact statement.

APPENDIX III.—Final environmental impact statements for which comments were issued between Dec. 16, 1975, and Dec. 31, 1975

Identifying No.	Title	General nature of comments	Source for copies of comments
Department of Agriculture:			
F-SCS-A36388-00.....	Emergency watershed protection, authorized by sec. 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1930, Public Law 81-516.	EPA generally had no objections to the program as proposed. However, EPA raised several concerns with the program, including the timing of emergency actions, a lack of definition of the interaction of the program with other emergency programs, and the inclusion of actions seemingly out of an emergency nature in the program. EPA suggested that their concerns be addressed in program implementation.	A
Corps of Engineers:			
F-COE-A35022-OH.....	Pilot sediment removal program, Cuyahoga River, Ohio.	EPA generally had no objections to the project as proposed.	F
F-COE-A36440-OH.....	Big Creek and Metro Zoo and esthetic improvements, Cleveland, Ohio.	do.....	F
F-COE-D25001-VA.....	Little Creek water supply reservoir, James City County, Va.	EPA generally had no objections to the project as proposed. A survey is being carried out to determine the chloroform content of the finished drinking water from this treatment plant (Newport News). In the event the chloroform content is high, technology has been developed and should be applied to remove humic acids at the treatment facility preventing the production of chloroform.	D

Identifying No.	Title	General nature of comments	Source for copies of comments
Department of Commerce:			
RF-NOA-A88092-00.	Permits to commercial fishermen allowing the taking of marine mammals in the course of normal commercial fishing operations.	EPA generally had no objections to the intent of the proposed regulations. However, EPA does have environmental reservations concerning 2 important aspects of permit programs: the curtailment of the observer program and the modifications of the quota system.	A
General Services Administration:			
F-GSA-D11002-MD.	Disposal of a major portion of land, Fort Holabird, Md.	EPA generally had no objections to the project as proposed. However, EPA recommended that the contract between GSA and the city of Baltimore include explicit provisions concerning enforcement of applicable environmental regulations.	D.
F-GSA-F81001-IL...	Federal Office Bldg., Carbondale, Ill.	EPA generally had no objections to the project as proposed. However, EPA believes the use of porous material is viable and warrants full consideration and that ingress and egress points should be coordinated to cause minimal delays to normal street traffic.	F
Department of housing and Urban Development:			
F-HUD-C99001-NY.	Construction of access roads and water and sewer lines to the proposed Arcadia Industrial Park, Wayne County, N.Y.	EPA generally had no objections to the project as proposed.	O
F-HUD-D89011-PA.	Washington Square West urban renewal area, Philadelphia, Pa.	...do.....	D
F-HUD-D89014-MD.	Inner Harbor West urban renewal, Baltimore, Md.	EPA generally had no objections to the project as proposed. However, EPA suggested continuing evaluation of air and noise impacts to insure minimization of potentially negative environmental effects.	D
Department of the Interior:			
F-BLM-A02030-AK.	Oil and gas lease sale No. 39, Outer Continental Shelf (OCS), northern Gulf of Alaska.	EPA determines the proposed action to be environmentally unsatisfactory from the standpoint of environmental quality based on its potentially harmful effects on the environment and on the fact that potential operational and technical safeguards which might be utilized may not adequately protect the environment from the hazards arising from the action. EPA recommended that the sale be delayed pending the issuance of adequate operating orders and the completion of environmental baseline and other special studies for the area. A formal referral to the Council on the environmental quality was made pursuant to sec. 309(B) of the Clean Air Act.	A
F-BOR-F60001-MN.	Memorial Hardwood Forest land acquisition, Minnesota.	EPA generally had no objections to the project as proposed.	F
Interstate Commerce Commission:			
F-ICC-D53003-DC.	Increased fares, B. & O. R.R., District of Columbia to Baltimore, Washington, D.C.	...do.....	D
Department of Transportation:			
F-FAA-A51820-MI.	Blacker Airport, Manistee County, Mich.	...do.....	F
F-FHW-E40032-GA.	U-110-1(5), Chatham County, between Abercorn St. and Skidaway Rd., Savannah, Ga.	EPA generally had no objections to the project as proposed. However, EPA believes the documentation of the existing environment for noise impact is deficient because the actual impact depends upon the difference between the existing ambient and the design year L ₁₀ noise level, accurate documentation of existing conditions should be provided.	E
F-FHW-F40008-WI.	WI-9, Onalaska to WI-93, La Crosse County, Wis.	EPA generally had no objections to the project as proposed.	F
F-FHW-K53001-NV.	Elko railroad relocation, Elko, Nev.	...do.....	J

APPENDIX IV.—Final environmental impact statements which were reviewed and not commented on between Dec. 16, 1975, and Dec. 31, 1975

Identifying No.	Title	Source of review
Department of Agriculture:		
F-AFS-E61005-FL.....	Longleaf Island unit, Ocala National Forest, 10-yr management plan, Florida.	E
F-AFS-L61005-ID.....	Proposed Bigwood ski area development, Sawtooth National Forest, Idaho.	K
F-SCS-G36018-TX.....	Pollard Creek watershed project, Palo Pinto County, Tex.	G
Corps of Engineers:		
F-COE-A36390-WA.....	Mill Creek flood control project, Walla Walla County, Wash.	K
F-COE-A36428-WA.....	Grays Harbor and Chehalis River navigation project, Grays Harbor County, Wash.	K
F-COE-A39051-DC.....	Emergency water pumping station, Potomac Estuary, Washington, D.C.	D
F-COE-G34004-OK.....	Kaw Lake, Arkansas River, Okla.	G
Federal Power Commission:		
F-FPC-F03003-00.....	Panhandle Eastern Pipeline Co., docket No. RP71-119, natural gas curtailment plan.	A
F-FPC-H03000-00.....	Cities Service Natural Gas Co., docket No. RP75-62, natural gas curtailment plan.	A
F-FPC-H03001-00.....	Mississippi River Transmission Corp., docket No. RP73-0, natural gas curtailment plan.	A
F-FPC-K03002-00.....	El Paso Natural Gas Co., docket No. RP73-0, natural gas curtailment plan.	A
General Services Administration:		
F-GSA-G81005-TX.....	Federal Youth Center, Bastrop County, Tex.	G
Department of Housing and Urban Development:		
F-HUD-G85005-TX.....	Community development program, Williamson Creek sewer trunk, Temple, Tex.	G
F-HUD-G85008-TX.....	Northwest Texarkana sewer mains project, Bowie County, Texarkana, Tex.	G
Department of the Interior:		
F-NPS-A61289-WY.....	Master plan, Grand Teton National Park, Wyo.	I
F-SFW-A61234-FL.....	Proposed Lake Woodruff Wilderness Area, Lake and Volusia Counties, Fla.	E
Department of Transportation:		
F-CGD-B81002-MA.....	Proposed Coast Guard Station, Barnstable County, Provincetown, Mass.	B
NF-FHW-A41314-NC.....	I-40, from Ridgecrest to Old Fort, McDowell County, N.C.	E
F-FHW-A42129-TN.....	Appalachian Corridor "J", TN-111, Van Duren County, Tenn.	E
F-FHW-A42220-WA.....	Washington Forest Highway, WA-7, mountain loop, highway Barlow Pass to Darrington, Wash.	K
NF-FHW-E40001-FL.....	FL-75, U.S. 231, from Bay County line north to I-10 in Jackson County, Fla.	E

APPENDIX V.—Regulations, legislation, and other Federal agency actions for which comments were issued between Dec. 16, 1975, and Dec. 31, 1975

Identifying No.	Title	General nature of comments	Source for copies of comments
Energy Research and Development Administration:			
R-ERD-A09038-00.	10 CFR Pt. 700, Geothermal energy, research development, demonstration and production; Federal guarantees on loans.	EPA generally had no objections to the proposed regulation. However, EPA recommended that the regulation be revised to require that in the absence of applicable environmental standards, the ERDA project manager should consult with the appropriate Federal, State, and local regulatory bodies prior to the independent establishment of a standard. EPA also recommended that "radiation pollution" be added to the pollution control standards which must be met by borrowers of ERDA funds.	A
Department of the Interior:			
R-BLM-A25034-00..	43 CFR Pt. 2012, recreation and Public Purposes Act, proceeding and disposal of solid waste.	EPA generally had no objections to the proposed rulemaking. EPA's comments requested that the final regulation resolve several issues with respect to the scope of their applicability, including applicability to leases which may not have been issued specifically for the purposes of solid waste disposal, and suggested that the final rulemaking require compliance with all applicable pollution control regulations as well as require remedial action to be taken by the lessee upon lease cancellation for non-compliance.	A

Identifying No.	Title	General nature of comments	Source for copies of comments
A-IGS-A02081-00....	Notices, drilling procedures, revision of proposed OCS Order No. 2, Pacific area.	EPA generally had no objections to the proposed OCS order. However, EPA pointed out that the release of hydrogen sulfide gas and sulfur dioxide that may result from flaring may impact on the air quality of nearby land areas and that State odor nuisance regulations could be violated under certain meteorological conditions. In addition, EPA pointed out that discharge or materials from oil development requires an NPDES permit.	A
Department of Transportation: R-DOT-A03054-00...	49 CFR Pt. 195, Transportation of Liquids by Pipeline, offshore pipeline facilities.	EPA generally had no objections to the proposed regulation. However, EPA identified a number of sections which need to be rewritten so as to be more protective of the offshore environment. For instance, it was suggested that the section on external pressures be expanded to give guidance on how to deal with external pressures in pipeline design and the section which would allow the repair, rather than the removal and replacement, of cracked welds on pipe being laid from lay barges was strongly opposed.	A
R-DOT-A03056-00...	49 CFR Pt. 195, Transportation of Natural and other Gas by Pipeline, offshore pipeline.	EPA generally had no objections to the proposed regulations. However, EPA offered several recommendations on how the proposed regulations could be changed in order to be more protective of rural and offshore environments. Also, EPA strongly opposed the proposed practice which would allow cracked welds on pipe being laid by barge to be repaired instead of removed and replaced.	A
A-FAA-A51900-00...	Airport noise policy, program to provide effective relief from aircraft noise.	EPA believes that a comprehensive, national aviation noise abatement and control program requires a vigorously pursued research and development program to develop additional techniques to reduce some noise levels and the continual development and application of noise abatement operating procedures. In addition, EPA believes that appropriate site controls should be applied including the implementation of airport operational constraints and the development of compatible land use around airports.	A

APPENDIX VI

SOURCE FOR COPIES OF EPA COMMENTS

- A. Public Information Reference Unit, Environmental Protection Agency, Room 2922, Waterside Mall, SW, Washington, D.C. 20460.
- B. Director of Public Affairs, Region I, Environmental Protection Agency, John F. Kennedy Federal Building, Boston, Massachusetts 02203.
- C. Director of Public Affairs, Region II, Environmental Protection Agency, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, New York 10007.
- D. Director of Public Affairs, Region III, Environmental Protection Agency, Curtis Building, 6th and Walnut Streets, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106.
- E. Director of Public Affairs, Region IV, Environmental Protection Agency, 1421 Peachtree Street, NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30309.
- F. Director of Public Affairs, Region V, Environmental Protection Agency, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604.
- G. Director of Public Affairs, Region VI, Environmental Protection Agency, 1600 Patterson Street, Dallas, Texas 75201.
- H. Director of Public Affairs, Region VII, Environmental Protection Agency, 1735 Baltimore Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64108.
- I. Director of Public Affairs, Region VIII, Environmental Protection Agency, 1860 Lincoln Street, Denver, Colorado 80203.
- J. Director of Public Affairs, Region IX, Environmental Protection Agency, 100 California Street, San Francisco, California 94111.
- K. Director of Public Affairs, Region X, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101.

[FR Doc.76-2922 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[FRL 485-3; OPP-30101A]

PESTICIDE PROGRAMS

Approval of Application To Register Pesticide Product Containing a New Active Ingredient

On September 23, 1975, notice was given (40 FR 43760) that Zoecon Corp., 975 California Ave., Palo Alto CA 94304, had filed an application (EPA) File Symbol No. 20954-U) with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to register the pesticide product ENSTAR 5E INSECT GROWTH REGULATOR containing 65.3% of the active ingredient Kinoprene [2-propynyl(2E,4E) - 3,7 - 11 - trimethyl - 2,4 - dodecadienoate] which was not previously registered at the time of submission.

This application was approved December 22, 1975, and the product has been assigned the EPA Registration No. 20954-4. ENSTAR 5E INSECT GROWTH REGULATOR is classified for general use in the control of white flies and aphids in greenhouses. Notice of registration is given in accordance with the regulations [40 CFR 162.7(d) (2)] for the enforcement of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended (86 Stat. 973; 7 U.S.C. 136 et seq.).

Test data and other information submitted in support of this registration as well as such other scientific information relied upon in the registration decision, except for such material protected by Section 10 of FIFRA, will be available for public inspection in the office of the

Information Coordination Section, Technical Services Division (WH-569), Office of Pesticide Programs, Room EB-31, East Tower, 401 M St. SW., Washington D.C. 20460, within 30 days after the registration date, in accordance with section 3(c) (2) of FIFRA.

Dated: January 27, 1976.

EDWIN L. JOHNSON,
Deputy Assistant Administrator,
for Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc.76-3033 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[FRL 485-2; PP461501/T29]

TRIFLURALIN

Renewal of a Temporary Tolerance

On August 15, 1974, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) announced (39 FR 29418) that in response to a pesticide petition (PP 461501) submitted by Elanco Products Co., Div. of Eli Lilly and Co., PO Box 1750, Indianapolis IN 46206, a temporary tolerance had been established for residues of the herbicide trifluralin (α,α,α -trifluoro-2,6-dinitro-*H,H*-dipropyl-*p*-toluidine) in or on the raw agricultural commodity asparagus at 0.06 part per million (ppm). This temporary tolerance expired on August 9, 1975.

Elanco Products Co. has requested a one-year renewal of this temporary tolerance to permit continued testing to obtain additional data and to permit the marketing of asparagus treated in accordance with an experimental use permit that is being renewed concurrently under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act.

An evaluation of the data submitted in the petition and other relevant material has shown that a renewal of this tolerance will protect the public health, and it has been concluded, therefore, that the temporary tolerance be renewed on condition that the herbicide be used in accordance with the experimental use permit with the following provisions:

1. The total amount of the herbicide to be used must not exceed the quantity authorized by the experimental use permit.

2. Elanco Products Co. must immediately notify the EPA of any findings from the experimental use that have a bearing on safety. The company must also keep records of production, distribution, and performance and on request make the records available to any authorized officer or employee of the EPA or the Food and Drug Administration.

This temporary tolerance expires January 13, 1977. Residues not in excess of 0.05 ppm remaining in or on asparagus after expiration of this temporary tolerance will not be considered actionable if the herbicide has been legally applied during the term of and in accordance with the provisions of the experimental use permit and temporary tolerance.

This temporary tolerance may be revoked if the experimental use permit is revoked or if any scientific data or experience with this herbicide indicate such revocation is necessary to protect the public health.

(Section 408(j) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act [21 U.S.C. 346a(j)]).

Dated: January 26, 1976.

JOHN B. RITCH, Jr.,
Director,
Registration Division.

[FR Doc. 76-3032 Filed 1-30-76; 8:45 am]

[FRL 485-8; OPP-50060]

AMERICAN CYANAMID CO.

Issuance of Experimental Use Permits

Pursuant to section 5 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended (86 Stat. 973; 7 U.S.C. 136), experimental use permits have been issued to American Cyanamid Company, Princeton, New Jersey 08540. Such permits are in accordance with, and subject to, the provisions of 40 CFR Part 172; Part 172 was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on April 30, 1975 (40 FR 18780), and defines EPA procedures with respect to the use of pesticides for experimental purposes.

These experimental use permits (No. 241-EUP-75 and 76) allow the use of 200 and 900 pounds, respectively, of the herbicide penoxalin [N-(1-ethylpropyl)-3,4-dimethyl-2,6-dinitrobenzenamine] on corn (except sweet and pop) to control annual grasses and broadleaf weeds. A total of 400 acres is involved in permit No. 241-EUP-75; the program is authorized in the States of Illinois, Iowa, Indiana, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, and Wisconsin. A total of 665 acres is involved in permit No. 241-EUP-76; this program is authorized in the eleven States just named, and in the States of Michigan, New York, and Pennsylvania. The experimental use permits are effective from January 14, 1976, to January 14, 1977. Permanent tolerances have been established for residues of the active ingredient in or on corn grain, fodder, and forage.

Interested parties wishing to review the experimental use permits are referred to Room E-315, Registration Division (WH-567), Office of Pesticide Programs, EPA, 401 N. St., S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460. It is suggested that such interested persons call 202/755-4851 before visiting the EPA Headquarters Office, so that the appropriate permits may be made conveniently available for review purposes. These files will be available for inspection from 8:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Monday through Friday.

Dated: January 26, 1976.

JOHN B. RITCH, Jr.,
Director,
Registration Division.

[FR Doc. 76-3037 Filed 1-30-76; 8:45 am]

[FRL 486-1; OPP-50035A]

CHEVRON CHEMICAL CO.

Amendment to Experimental Use Permit Issued

Pursuant to section 5 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide

Act (FIFRA), as amended (86 Stat. 973; 7 U.S.C. 136), an experimental use permit was issued on September 2, 1975, to Chevron Chemical Company, Richmond, California 94804. Such permit was in accordance with, and subject to, the provisions of 40 CFR Part 172; Part 172 was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on April 30, 1975 (40 FR 18780), and defines EPA procedures with respect to the use of pesticides for experimental purposes.

Notice of issuance of this permit was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on September 12, 1975 (40 FR 42395). However, Chevron Chemical Company has requested and obtained approval from EPA to extend the time limit of the permit from September 2, 1976, to October 21, 1976. The temporary tolerance involved will also expire on October 21, 1976 (see FEDERAL REGISTER of December 18, 1975 (40 FR 58685)).

The other conditions surrounding the experimental use permit remain the same. This permit (No. 239-EUP-66) will allow the use of 18 pounds of the herbicide and plant regulator diquat (6,7-dihydrodipyrido (1,2-a:2',1'-c) pyrazidinium) on potatoes. A total of 48 acres is involved; the program is authorized only in the States of Iowa, Maine, Michigan, New Jersey, New York, and North Dakota.

Interested parties wishing to review the experimental use permit or the amendment are referred to Room E-315, Registration Division (WH-567), Office of Pesticide Programs, EPA, 401 M St., S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460. It is suggested that such interested persons call 202/755-4851 before visiting the EPA Headquarters Office, so that the appropriate permit file may be made conveniently available for review purposes. These files will be available for inspection from 8:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Monday through Friday.

Dated: January 26, 1976.

JOHN B. RITCH, Jr.,
Director,
Registration Division.

[FR Doc. 76-3038 Filed 1-30-76; 8:45 am]

[FRL 485-7; OPP-50061]

CIBA-GEIGY CORP.

Issuance of Experimental Use Permit

Pursuant to section 5 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended (86 Stat. 973; 7 U.S.C. 136), an experimental use permit has been issued to CIBA-GEIGY Corporation, Greensboro, North Carolina 27409. Such permit is in accordance with, and subject to, the provisions of 40 CFR Part 172; Part 172 was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on April 30, 1975 (40 FR 18780), and defines EPA procedures with respect to the use of pesticides for experimental purposes.

This experimental use permit (No. 100-EUP-41) allows the use of 4,960 pounds of the herbicide profluralin on peanuts, potatoes, and sugarbeets to evaluate the control of various annual broadleaf weeds and grasses. A total of

5,660 acres is involved; the program is authorized in the 48 contiguous States. The experimental use permit is effective from January 13, 1976, to January 13, 1977. Temporary tolerances for residues of the active ingredient in or on peanuts, potatoes, and sugarbeets have been established.

Interested parties wishing to review the experimental use permit are referred to Room E-315, Registration Division (WH-567), Office of Pesticide Programs, EPA, 401 M St., S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460. It is suggested that such interested persons call 202/755-4851 before visiting the EPA Headquarters Office, so that the appropriate permit may be made conveniently available for review purposes. These files will be available for inspection from 8:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Monday through Friday.

Dated: January 26, 1976.

JOHN B. RITCH, Jr.,
Director,
Registration Division.

[FR Doc. 76-3036 Filed 1-30-76; 8:45 am]

[FRL 485-5; OPP-50063]

FOREST SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Issuance of Experimental Use Permit

Pursuant to section 5 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended (86 Stat. 973; 7 U.S.C. 136), an experimental use permit has been issued to the Forest Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250. Such permit is in accordance with, and subject to, the provisions of 40 CFR Part 172; Part 172 was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on April 30, 1975 (40 FR 18780), and defines EPA procedures with respect to the use of pesticides for experimental purposes.

This experimental use permit (No. 27586-EUP-8) allows the use of 3.1 pounds of the insecticide nucleopolyhedrosis virus on forest lands to evaluate the effectiveness of control against gypsy moths. A total of 637.2 acres is involved; the program is authorized only in New Jersey and Pennsylvania. The experimental use permit is effective from January 21, 1976, to January 21, 1977.

Interested parties wishing to review the experimental use permit are referred to Room E-315, Registration Division (WH-567), Office of Pesticide Programs, EPA, 401 M St., S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460. It is suggested that such interested persons call 202/755-4851 before visiting the EPA Headquarters Office so that the appropriate permit may be made conveniently available for review purposes. These files will be available for inspection from 8:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Monday through Friday.

Dated: January 26, 1976.

JOHN B. RITCH, Jr.,
Director,
Registration Division.

[FR Doc. 76-3034 Filed 1-30-76; 8:45 am]

[FRL 485-6, OPP-50062]

MITCHELL MANUFACTURING CORP.**Issuance of Experimental Use Permit**

Pursuant to section 5 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended (86 Stat. 973; 7 U.S.C. 136), an experimental use permit has been issued to Mitchell Manufacturing Corporation, Wood River Junction, Rhode Island 02894. Such permit is in accordance with, and subject to, the provisions of 40 CFR Part 172; Part 172 was published in the Federal Register on April 30, 1975 (40 FR 18780), and defines EPA procedures with respect to the use of pesticides for experimental purposes.

This experimental use permit (No. 5225-EUP-1) allows the use of 500 kg. (1100 pounds) of the antibacterial pesticide 2,4,5-trichlorophenol in the manufacture of photographic coating solutions. This program is authorized only in the State of Massachusetts. The experimental use permit is effective from January 16, 1976, to January 16, 1977.

Interested parties wishing to review the experimental use permit are referred to Room E-315, Registration Division (WH-567), Office of Pesticide Programs, EPA, 401 M St. SW., Washington, D.C. 20460. It is suggested that such interested persons call 202/755-4851 before visiting the EPA Headquarters Office, so that the appropriate permit may be made conveniently available for review purposes. These files will be available for inspection from 8:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Monday through Friday.

Dated: January 26, 1976.

JOHN B. RITCH, JR.,
Director,
Registration Division.

[FR Doc.76-3035 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

FEDERAL ADVISORY COUNCIL ON REGIONAL ECONOMIC DEVELOP- MENT

Meeting

Notice is given that a meeting of the Federal Advisory Council on Regional Economic Development will be held on Wednesday, February 18, 1976, at 9:00 a.m., to 12:00 noon, in Room 4833, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

The Federal Advisory Council was established pursuant to Executive Order 11386. The Council is a cabinet-level committee composed of those Federal agencies most concerned with economic development. Among its responsibilities, the Council advises the Secretary of Commerce, who is Chairman of the Council, in his review of the long-range economic development plans prepared by the Title V Regional Action Planning Commissions.

The purpose of this meeting is to discuss the long-range economic development plan submitted to the Secretary of Commerce by the Ozarks Regional Commission. In accordance with the review procedures adopted by the Council, the

Ozarks development plan has been circulated to the members for their review.

Persons wishing to attend this meeting should contact the Acting Executive Secretary of the Council, Office of Regional Economic Coordination, Room 2092, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone (202) 967-5174.

Dated at Washington, D.C., January 23, 1976.

JOHN W. EDEN,
*Acting Special Assistant to the
Secretary for Regional Eco-
nomic Coordination.*

[FR Doc.76-2962 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. 790]

COMMON CARRIER SERVICES INFORMATION

Applications Accepted for Filing

JANUARY 26, 1976.

The applications listed herein have been found, upon initial review, to be acceptable for filing. The Commission reserves the right to return any of these applications, if upon further examination, it is determined they are defective and not in conformance with the Commission's Rules and Regulations or its policies.

Final action will not be taken on any of these applications earlier than 31 days following the date of this notice, except for radio applications not requiring a 30 day notice period (see § 309(c) of the Communications Act of 1934) or as otherwise noted. Unless specified to the contrary, comments or petitions may be filed concerning any of these applications within 30 days of the date of this notice.

In order for an application filed under Part 21 of the Commission's Rules (Domestic Public Radio Services) to be considered mutually exclusive with any other such application appearing herein, it must be substantially complete and tendered for filing by which ever date is earlier: (a) the close of business one business day preceding the day on which the Commission takes action on the previously filed application; or (b) within 60 days after the date of the public notice listing the first prior filed application (with which the subsequent application is in conflict) as having been accepted for filing. In common carrier radio services other than those listed under Part 21, the cut-off date for filing a mutually exclusive application is the close of business one business day preceding the day on which the previously filed application is designated for hearing. With limited exceptions, an application which is subsequently amended by a major change will be considered as a newly filed application for purposes of the cut-off rule. [See § 1.227(b) (3) and 21.30(b) of the Commission's Rules.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

APPLICATIONS ACCEPTED FOR FILING**DOMESTIC PUBLIC LAND MOBILE RADIO SERVICE**

- 21209-CD-P-76, Upper Peninsula Telephone Company (New) (Resubmitted). C.P. for a new station to operate on 152.60 MHz to be located 0.2 mile South of Little Poreh Lake, Michigan.
- 21210-CD-P-(2)-76, Texoma Mobilfone, Inc. (KLB502). C.P. to relocate facilities operating on 152.09 and 152.21 MHz to be located at 5.9 miles North of Sherman, Texas.
- 21211-CD-AP/AL-(5)-76, W. L. and R. L. Meadow dba Jacksonville Radio Dispatch Service. Consent to Assignment of OP and License from Jacksonville Radio Dispatch Service, Assignor to Aztec Communications, Inc., Assignee. Stations: KTB388, KTS254, KLF632, and KIQ510, Jacksonville, Florida.
- 21212-CD-P-76, Calumet Radio Dispatch (KSB589). C.P. to add standby facilities to operate on 152.09 MHz located at 504 Broadway, Gary, Indiana.
- 21213-CD-P-76, Texoma Mobilfone, Inc. (KUO582). C.P. to relocate facilities operating on 152.06 MHz to be located 5.9 miles North of Sherman, Texas.
- 21214-CD-MP-(3)-76, Uintah Basin Telephone Association, Inc. (KWT863). C.P. to change antenna system and relocate facilities operating on 152.73 MHz, base and 72.08 MHz, repeater at Loc. No. 1: 3 miles NW of Myton, Flattop Butte, Utah; and change antenna system operating on 75.92 MHz, control, at Loc. No. 2: Telephone Office, Tablona, Utah.
- 21215-CD-AL-76, Fargo Telephone Answering Service. Consent to Assignment of License from Fargo Telephone Answering Service, Assignor to Answer Iowa, Inc., Assignee. Station: KLF485, Fargo, North Dakota.
- 21218-CD-P-76, Texoma Mobilfone, Inc. (KWH329). C.P. to relocate facilities and change antenna system operating on 152.24 MHz to be located 5.9 miles North of Sherman, Texas.
- 21218-CD-AL-76, Mathews Telephone Answering Service. Consent to Assignment of License from Mathews Telephone Answering Service, Assignor to Mathews Telephone Answering Service, Inc., Assignee. Station: KGH274, Great Falls, Montana.
- 21219-CD-MP-76, Peoples Telephone Company, Inc. (KUS361). C.P. to replace transmitter, change antenna system and change frequency from 158.10 MHz to 152.84 MHz located near Alabama Highway No. 68, 2 miles NW of Lessburg, Alabama.
- 21220-CD-P-(2)-76, The Mountain States Telephone & Telegraph Company (KOK-338). C.P. for additional facilities to operate on 152.51 MHz, base, to be located at 10.8 miles NNE of Boise County, Idaho and 157.77 MHz, test, to be located at 619 Bannock St., Boise, Idaho.
- 21221-CD-P-76, Philadelphia Mobile Telephone Company (KGI775). C.P. for additional facilities to operate on 454.100 MHz located at WTA-TV Tower, East Domino Lane, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 21222-CD-P-(2)-76, Pass Word, Inc. (New). C.P. for a new station to operate on 454.10 and 454.15 MHz to be located 8 miles NE of Moscow, Idaho.
- 21224-CD-P-76, Rule Radiophone Service, Inc. (New). C.P. for a new station to operate on 152.15 MHz to be located at Hill 8821, Sherman Mtns., 8 miles East of Laramie, Wyoming.
- 21225-CD-P-76, Mid-Missouri Mobilfone (New). C.P. for a new 1-way station to operate on 158.70 MHz to be located at corner of W. North St. and Young St., Sikeston, Missouri.

21226-CD-P-(2)-76, Intrastate Radio Telephone, Inc. of San Francisco (KMA833). C.P. for a new control site to operate on 2167.2 MHz to be located at 70 Oak Grove St., San Francisco, and a repeater site to operate on 2117.2 MHz to be located at Round Top Peak, 12.5 miles N.E. of Oakland, California, Loc. #5.

21227-CD-P-76, Stayton Cooperative Telephone Company (New). C.P. for a new station to operate on 454.525 MHz to be located 1 mile NW of Marion, Oregon.

21223-CD-P-76, David R. Williams dba Industrial Communications (KOP321). C.P. to replace transmitter and change antenna system operating on 459.325 MHz at Loc. #1: Blue Mountain, Utah.

Informative

The following application is a major action as defined by Section 1.1305 of the Commission's Rules concerning the implementation of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and may be subject to Petitions to Deny on Environmental grounds pursuant to Section 1.1311 of the Commission's Rules:

20970-CD-P-76, Harvey Jacquelin dba Radio Communications Company (KSV972), Union (Franklin), Missouri.

RURAL RADIO SERVICE

60253-CR-P/L-76, The Mountain States Telephone & Telegraph Company (New). C.P. for a new rural subscriber station to operate on 157.80 MHz to be located at P. Emerson Padelord, 27019 N. 151st Avenue near Peoria, Arizona.

POINT-TO-POINT MICROWAVE RADIO SERVICE

1354-CF-P-76, American Telephone and Telegraph Company (KAC73), 11th and Oak Streets, Kansas City, Missouri. Lat. 39°06'04" N., Long. 94°34'43" W. C.P. to add frequencies 3790V, 3870V MHz toward Elkhorn, Missouri, on azimuth 60.8°.

1355-CF-P-76, Same (KAH91), 0.5 Mile SE of Elkhorn, Missouri. Lat. 39°18'17" N., Long. 94°06'27" W. C.P. to add frequencies 3830V, 3910V MHz toward Kansas City, Missouri, on azimuth 241.1°, and 3830H, 3910H MHz toward Dover, Missouri, on azimuth 106.5°.

1356-CF-P-E6, Same (KAH92), 3.4 Miles East of Dover, Missouri. Lat. 39°11'34" N., Long. 93°37'31" W. C.P. to add frequencies 3790H, 3870H MHz toward Elkhorn, Missouri, on azimuth 286.8°.

1509-CF-P/L-76, Universal Telephone Company of the Southwest (KOC31), 3.5 Miles NE of Black Rock, New Mexico. Lat. 35°07'04" N., Long. 108°44'28" W. C.P. and License for a new station on frequencies 2127.5H MHz toward Zuni, New Mexico on azimuth 241.4°, and 2113.5H MHz toward Gibson, New Mexico, on azimuth 8.7°.

1510-CF-P/L-76, Same (KOC32), Zuni, New Mexico. Lat. 35°04'12" N., Long. 108°50'47" W. C.P. and License for a new station on frequency 2177.5H MHz toward Black Rock, New Mexico, on azimuth 61.4°.

1548-CF-P-76, American Telephone and Telegraph Company (KLV95), 5.4 Miles SSE of Wellington, Texas. Lat. 34°47'24" N., Long. 100°10'24" W. C.P. to change polarization from Horizontal to Vertical on frequencies 3750, 3830, 3910, 3990, 4070, 4150, 4198 MHz, and from Vertical to Horizontal on 3850, 3930, 4010, 4170 MHz toward Reed, Oklahoma, on azimuth 72°59'.

1549-CF-P-76, Same (KLV96), 2.5 Miles West of Reed, Oklahoma. Lat. 34°53'59" N., Long. 99°44'07" W. C.P. to change polarization from Horizontal to Vertical on frequencies 3710, 3790, 3870, 3950, 4030, 4110, 4190 MHz, and from Vertical to Horizontal on 3730, 3810, 3890, 3970, 4050, 4130 MHz toward Wellington, Texas, on azimuth 253°14'.

1550-CF-P-76, General Telephone Company of Kentucky (WAT983), Treadway Avenue, Owingsville, Kentucky. Lat. 38°08'28" N., Long. 83°45'55" W. C.P. to change location of transmit tower for frequency 2170.8H toward Reynoldsville, Kentucky, on azimuth 321.1°.

1551-CF-P-76, Same (KYC60), Reynoldsville, 4.3 Miles NW of Owingsville, Kentucky. Lat. 38°11'28" N., Long. 83°48'59" W. C.P. to change azimuth for frequency 2120.8H MHz toward Owingsville, Kentucky, to read 141.1°.

1572-CF-P-76, United Telephone Company of Ohio (New), Woodland, on Hwy #42, 1 Mile SW of Mansfield, Ohio. Lat. 40°42'53" N., Long. 82°33'31" W. C.P. for a new station on frequencies 6049.0V MHz toward Blooming Grove, Ohio, on azimuth 244.1°, and 11,015.0H MHz toward a new station at Mansfield, Ohio, on azimuth 35.8°.

1573-CF-P-76, Same (New), 25 Park Avenue West, Mansfield, Ohio. Lat. 40°45'31" N., Long. 82°31'01" W. C.P. for a new station on frequency 11,625.0H MHz toward a new station at Woodland, Ohio, on azimuth 215.8°.

1576-CF-P-76, Florida Telephone Corporation (KIO44), 33 N. Main Street, Winter Garden, Florida. Lat. 28°34'02" N., Long. 81°35'09" W. C.P. to add frequency 6152.8V MHz toward Orlando, Florida, on azimuth 87°31'.

1553-CF-P-76, Penn Service Microwave Company (KGO20), Bears Head Mountain, 1.0 Mile North of Delano, Pennsylvania. Lat. 40°51'00" N., Long. 76°04'48" W. C.P. to add 6305V MHz toward new points of communication at Boyers Knob, Pennsylvania, and Sharp Mountain, Pennsylvania, on azimuths 254.3 and 211.3 degrees, respectively.

1574-CF-P-76, Eastern Microwave, Inc. (New), 4.7 Miles NW of West Springfield, Massachusetts. Lat. 42°09'10" N., Long. 72°41'06" W. C.P. to add 5974.8H MHz toward Chicopee, Massachusetts, on azimuth 64.0 degrees. (Waiver of 21.701(i) requested by Eastern Microwave, Inc.)

1575-CF-P-76, Mountain Microwave Corporation (KZI51), Medicine Butte, 6.0 miles North of Reliance, South Dakota. Lat. 43°57'55" N., Long. 99°36'11" W. C.P. to add 6093.5V MHz toward Pierre, South Dakota, on azimuth 308.6 degrees.

1595-CF-P-76, Fay Grim dba Mississippi Valley Microwave (New), 2.0 Miles North of Virginia, Minnesota. Lat. 47°34'08" N., Long. 92°30'34" W. C.P. for a new station on 5937.5H MHz, 6087.5H MHz and 6204.7H MHz toward Gheen, Minnesota, on azimuth 333.3 degrees.

1596-CF-P-76, Same (New), 1.0 mile NW of Gheen, Minnesota. Lat. 47°58'41" N., Long. 92°49'44" W. C.P. for a new station on 5987.5H MHz, 6137.5H MHz, and 6278.8H MHz toward Kabetogama, Minnesota, on azimuth 341.1 degrees.

1597-CF-P-76, Same (New), Kabetogama, Minnesota. Lat. 48°21'16" N., Long. 93°00'39" W. C.P. for a new station on 5937.5H MHz, 6087.5H MHz, and 6204.7H MHz toward South International Falls, Minnesota. Lat. 48°35'14" N., Long. 93°24'47" W., on azimuth 311.3 degrees. (Note: Applicant has requested a waiver of Section 21.701(i) of the Commission's Rules.)

Correction

1511-CF-P-76, Uintah Basin Telephone Association, Inc. (New). Correct entry to include the addition of frequency 2112.4H MHz toward Neola, Utah, on azimuth 18.8°. (All other particulars remain as reported on Public Notice #789 dated January 19, 1976.)

[FR Doc.76-3000 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 20688 File No. BR-917; FCC 76-41]

HOLIDAY BROADCASTING CORP.

Designating Application for Hearing on Stated Issues; Notice of Apparent Liability

1. The Commission has before it for consideration the captioned application and its inquiries into the operation by Holiday Broadcasting Corporation, of Station WAIR, Winston-Salem, North Carolina.

2. Information before the Commission raises serious question as to whether the captioned applicant possesses the qualifications to be or to remain a licensee of the captioned station. In view of these questions, the Commission is unable to find that a grant of the renewal application would serve the public interest, convenience and necessity, and must, therefore, designate the application for hearing.

3. Accordingly, it is ordered, That the captioned application is DESIGNATED FOR HEARING pursuant to Section 309(e) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, at a time and place specified in a subsequent Order, upon the following issues:

(a) To determine whether, and if so the extent to which, the licensee engaged in fraudulent billing practices or failed to exercise reasonable diligence to see that its agents and/or employees did not engage in fraudulent billing practices in the operation of Station WAIR, in violation of § 73.1205 of the Commission's Rules;

(b) To determine all the facts and circumstances surrounding the conduct, in the spring of 1974 of the "Key Club Bicycle Promotion" and, in light of the evidence adduced, whether such promotion was conducted in full compliance with Commission policy.

(c) To determine whether, and if so the extent to which, the licensee made misrepresentations to the Commission; and

(d) To determine, in light of the evidence adduced under the preceding issues, whether the applicant possesses the requisite qualifications to be or to remain a licensee of the Commission, and whether a grant of the captioned application would serve the public interest, convenience and necessity.

4. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, That the Chief, Broadcast Bureau, is directed to serve upon the captioned applicant within thirty (30) days of the release of this Order, a Bill of Particulars with respect to Issues (a), (b) and (c).

5. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, That, if it is determined that the hearing record does not warrant an order denying the captioned application for renewal of license for Station WAIR it shall also be determined whether the applicant has willfully or repeatedly violated § 73.1205 of the Commission's Rules.¹ If so, it shall

¹ See Bill of Particulars for specific dates of each alleged violation.

also be determined whether an Order of Forfeiture pursuant to Section 503(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, in the amount of \$10,000 or some lesser amount, should be issued for violations which occurred within one year preceding the issuance of the Bill of Particulars in this matter.

6. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, That this document constitutes a Notice of Apparent Liability for forfeiture for violation of Section 73.1205 of the Commission's Rules. The Commission has determined that, in every case designated for hearing involving revocation or denial of renewal of license for alleged violations which also come within the purview of Section 503(b) of the Act, it shall, as a matter of course, include this forfeiture notice so as to maintain the fullest possible flexibility of action. Since the procedure is thus a routine or standard one, we stress that inclusion of this Notice is not to be taken as in anyway indicating what the initial or final disposition of the case should be; that judgment is, of course, to be made on the facts of each case.

7. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, That the Broadcast Bureau proceed with the initial presentation of the evidence with respect to Issues (a), (b) and (c) and the applicant then proceed with its evidence and have the burden of establishing that it possesses the requisite qualifications to be a licensee of the Commission and that a grant of its application would serve the public interest, convenience and necessity.

8. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, That to avail itself of the opportunity to be heard, the applicant herein, pursuant to § 1.221 of the Commission's Rules, in person or by attorney, shall file with the Commission, within twenty (20) days of the mailing of this Order, a written appearance in triplicate, stating an intention to appear on the date fixed for the hearing and present evidence on the issues specified in this order.

9. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, That the applicant herein, pursuant to Section 311(a)(2) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 1.594 of the Commission's Rules, shall give notice of the hearing within the time and in the manner prescribed in such Rule and shall advise the Commission thereof as required by § 1.594(g) of the Rules.

10. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, That the Secretary of the Commission send a copy of this Order by Certified Mail—Return Receipt Requested to Holiday Broadcasting Corporation, licensee of WAIR, Winston-Salem, North Carolina.

Adopted: January 20, 1976.

Released: January 28, 1976.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-2997 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

LOS ANGELES FIELD OFFICE Relocation

JANUARY 21, 1976.

The Los Angeles, California Office of the Field Operations Bureau, formerly located at 312 No. Spring Street, was relocated on January 19, 1976. The new address and telephone numbers are:

3711 Long Beach Blvd., Suite 501, Long Beach, California-90807
Examination Schedules—213 426-7886
Interference Complaints—213 426-7955
General Information—213 426-4451

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION.

[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-2997 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

RADIO TECHNICAL COMMISSION FOR MARINE SERVICES

Notice of Meetings

In accordance with Pub. L. 92-463, "Federal Advisory Committee Act," the schedule of future Radio Technical Commission for Marine Services (RTCM) meetings is as follows:

Special Committee No. 66, "Receiver Standards for the Maritime Mobile Service". Notice of 36th meeting, Tuesday-Wednesday, February 17-18, 1976, 9:30 a.m. Conference Room A-205, 1229-20th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

AGENDA

1. Call to order; Chairman's report.
2. Appointment of Rapporteur; adoption of agenda.
3. Acceptance of SC-66 summary record.
4. Continue work on received standard.
5. Discussion if problem areas.
6. Solicitation of work assignments.
7. Other business.
8. Establishment of next meeting date.

H. R. Smith, Chairman, SC-66, ITT Mackay Marine, 441 US Highway #1, Elizabeth, N.J. 07202, Phone: (201) 527-0300.

SHIP RADAR

Washington, D.C.

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 18, 1976

Members of Special Committee No. 65, "Ship Radar". Notice of 43rd meeting, Wednesday, February 18, 1976, 1:30 p.m. Conference Room 8210, 2025 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Formal Meeting Schedule for SC-65 Working Groups to be held at 2025 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Working Group: Collision Avoidance; Room: 8210; Date: February 18; Time: 9:30 a.m.

AGENDA

1. Call to order, Chairman's report; adoption of agenda.
2. Appointment of Rapporteur; acceptance of latest summary record.

3. Status report on all active working groups.

4. Other business.

5. Establishment of next meeting date. Proposed March 17, 1976.

6. a. Progress report on Collision Avoidance Working Group.

b. Discussion of proposed CAWG specifications.

Irvin Hurwitz, Chairman, SC-65, Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554, Phone: (202) 632-7197.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING,
THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 19, 1976

The next Executive Committee Meeting will be on Thursday, February 19, 1976, at 1:45 p.m. in Conference Room 752, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

AGENDA

1. Call to order; Chairman's report.
2. Introduction of attendees; adoption of agenda.
3. Acceptance of the minutes of executive committee meetings.
4. Progress reports on currently active committees.
5. Status reports on other committees.
6. Approval of SC-64 Report, MF, HF, and VHF Maritime Radioteleprinter and Data Systems and Operations.
7. Approval of SC-68 Report.
8. Administrative action items.
9. Summary reports and announcements.
10. New business.
11. Establishment of next meeting date.

Special Committee No. 68, "Marine Radiotelephone Operator Education." Notice of 12th meeting, Wednesday, February 25, 1976, 9:30 a.m. Conference Room A-205, 1229 20th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

AGENDA

1. Call to order; Chairman's report.
2. Confirmation of Secretary; adoption of agenda.
3. Acceptance of SC-68 summary records.
4. Final review of MRT Handbook manuscript.
5. Plan public education program for radiotelephone user education.
6. Establishment of next meeting date.

A. Newell Garden, Chairman, SC-68, Raytheon Company, 141 Spring Street, Lexington, Mass. 02173, Phone: (617) 862-6600 (Ext. 414).

To comply with the advance notice requirements of Public Law 92-463, a comparatively long interval of time occurs between publication of this notice and the actual meeting. Consequently, there is no absolute certainty that the listed meeting room will be available on the day of the meeting. Those planning to attend the meeting should report to the room listed in the notice. If a room substitution has been made, the new meeting room location will be posted at the room listed in this notice.

Agendas, working papers, and other appropriate documentation for the meeting is available at that meeting. Those desiring more specific information may contact either the designated Chairman or the RTCM Secretariat. (Phone (202) 632-6490)

The RTCM has acted as a coordinator for maritime telecommunications since its establishment in 1947. Problems are studied by Special Committees and the final report is approved by the RTCM Executive Committee. All RTCM meetings are open to the public. Written statements are preferred but by previous arrangement, oral presentations will be permitted within time and space limitations.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-2999 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Correction to Report No. 960]

PETITIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION OF ACTIONS IN RULE MAKING PROCEED- INGS FILED

Certain Expirations

JANUARY 27, 1976.

The Commission's Public Notice, Report No. 960, dated January 14, 1976, contained an entry under the caption "PETITIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION OF ACTIONS IN RULE MAKING PROCEEDINGS FILED" which referred to an application for review of an action taken in Docket No. 20481, and which erroneously implied that the dates for filing oppositions were February 5, 1976, and February 17, 1976, respectively. It is hereby announced that the dates for filing oppositions and replies had already expired. The correct dates for such filings were December 29, 1975, and January 8, 1976, respectively.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION.

[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-2998 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

STUDY TO EVALUATE THE FEASIBILITY OF INCENTIVES FOR REDUCING THE PRICE OF PETROLEUM IMPORTS

Request for Public Comments

Under Section 13 of the Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973, added by Section 456 of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (P.L. 94-163), the President is required to submit to Congress by March 21, 1976:

"a report which evaluates the feasibility of reducing the price of crude oil, residual fuel oil, or refined petroleum products of foreign origin for resale in the United States by providing incentives for domestic producers who also import such oils or products into the United States, to work for the reduction of the price of such oils or products.

The report shall specifically discuss whether increasing aggregate oil prices for such imported oils and products would serve as an incentive for domestic producers to reduce the price of such imported oils and products."

In view of the fact that responsibility for preparing this report is likely to be delegated to the Federal Energy Administration (FEA), FEA has begun to evaluate relevant data. In the event that the responsibility is delegated elsewhere, FEA will provide such data to the agency so delegated.

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, or arguments with respect to this study. Such comments should be addressed to any of the following subject matter areas, or to such others as may be appropriate:

1. Existing economic incentives (including the effect of government regulation) to domestic producers who are also importers to lower the price of imports.

2. Potential incentives for the reduction of domestic import prices, including:

—domestic price incentives
—domestic tax incentives.

Data, views, and arguments with respect to this report should be submitted to Executive Communications, Room 3309, Federal Energy Administration, Box FR, The Federal Building, Washington, D.C. 20461. Comments should be identified on the outside of the envelope and on the documents submitted to the Federal Energy Administration with the designation "Incentives for Reducing Oil Import Prices." Fifteen (15) copies should be submitted. All comments received by 4:30 p.m., e.s.t., February 20, 1976, will be considered by the Federal Energy Administration in preparing its report.

Any information or data considered by the person furnishing it to be confidential must be so identified and submitted in writing, one copy only. The FEA reserves the right to determine the confidential status of the information or data and to treat it according to its determination.

[Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974, Pub. L. 93-275; E. O. 11790, 39 FR 23185; Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973, P. L. 93-159, as amended; Energy Policy and Conservation Act, P. L. 94-163.]

Issued in Washington, D.C., January 28, 1976.

MICHAEL F. BUTLER,
General Counsel,
Federal Energy Administration.

[FR Doc.76-3166 Filed 1-29-76;12:04 pm]

REMOVAL OF THE SUPPLEMENTAL OIL IMPORT LICENSE FEE

Notice of Cancellation of Hearing

On January 12, 1976, the Federal Energy Administration (FEA) issued regulations amending Parts 205 and 213 of Chapter II, Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, by removing the supplemental fee on crude oil in conformity

with Proclamation No. 4412 (41 FR 2226, January 15, 1976). A public hearing with respect to these amendments was scheduled for February 5, 1976, to be continued, if necessary, on February 6. In view of the lack of interest in presenting views and arguments at this hearing, it is hereby cancelled. In all other respects, the January 12 notice remains the same.

Issued in Washington, D.C., January 28, 1976.

MICHAEL F. BUTLER,
General Counsel
Federal Energy Administration.

[FR Doc.76-3253 Filed 1-30-76;8:57 am]

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

LYKES BROS. STEAMSHIP CO., INC.
AND UNICORN LINES LTD.

Agreement Filed

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street NW., Room 10126; or may inspect the agreement at the Field Offices located at New York, N.Y., New Orleans, Louisiana, San Francisco, California and Old San Juan, Puerto Rico. Comments on such agreements, including requests for hearing, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, on or before February 23, 1976. Any person desiring a hearing on the proposed agreement shall provide a clear and concise statement of the matters upon which they desire to adduce evidence. An allegation of discrimination or unfairness shall be accompanied by a statement describing the discrimination or unfairness with particularity. If a violation of the Act or detriment to the commerce of the United States is alleged, the statement shall set forth with particularity the acts and circumstances said to constitute such violation or detriment to commerce.

A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter) and the statement should indicate that this has been done.

Notice of Agreement Filed by:

Mr. R. J. Finnan, Pricing, Lykes Bros. Steamship Co., Inc., 300 Poydras Street, New Orleans, Louisiana 70130.

Agreement No. 10223, between Lykes Bros. Steamship Co., Inc. and Unicorn Lines (Pty) Limited, establishes a through billing arrangement for the transportation of cargo in the trade between United States ports on the Gulf of Mexico and ports in Mozambique, East Africa, Malagasy, Mauritius, the Comores and Reunion Islands with transshipment at a Malagasy port or a port in South Africa, Mozambique or East Africa, un-

der terms and conditions set forth in the agreement.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: January 28, 1976.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-3020 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

LYKES BROS. STEAMSHIP CO., INC. ET AL.

Notice of Agreements Filed

Notice is hereby given that the following agreements have been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreements at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street, NW., Room 10126; or may inspect the agreements at the Field Offices located at New York, N.Y., New Orleans, Louisiana, San Francisco, California, and Old San Juan, Puerto Rico. Comments on such agreements, including requests for hearing, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., 20573, on or before February 23, 1976. Any person desiring a hearing on the proposed agreements shall provide a clear and concise statement of the matters upon which they desire to adduce evidence. An allegation of discrimination or unfairness shall be accompanied by a statement describing the discrimination or unfairness with particularity. If a violation of the Act or detriment to the commerce of the United States is alleged, the statement shall set forth with particularity the acts and circumstances said to constitute such violation or detriment to commerce.

A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreements (as indicated hereinafter) and the statement should indicate that this has been done.

Similar transshipment agreements, between the parties listed below, have been filed and assigned the following agreement numbers:

Lykes Bros. Steamship Co., Inc./Compagnie Malagache de Navigation, Agreement No. 10219.

Lykes Bros. Steamship Co., Inc./Societe Mauricienne de Navigation, Agreement No. 10220.

Lykes Bros. Steamship Co., Inc./Societe Comorienne de Navigation, Agreement No. 10221.

Each agreement establishes a through billing arrangement for the transportation of cargo in the trade between United States ports on the Gulf of Mexico and ports in Malagasy, Mauritius, the Comores and Reunion Islands with transshipment at a Malagasy port or a port in South Africa, Mozambique or East Africa, under terms and conditions set forth in the agreements.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: January 28, 1976.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-3021 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

LYKES BROS. STEAMSHIP CO., INC.

Notice of Agreement Filed

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street, NW., Room 10126; or may inspect the agreement at the Field Offices located at New York, N.Y., New Orleans, Louisiana, San Francisco, California and Old San Juan, Puerto Rico. Comments on such agreements, including requests for hearing, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, on or before February 23, 1976. Any person desiring a hearing on the proposed agreement shall provide a clear and concise statement of the matters upon which they desire to adduce evidence. An allegation of discrimination or unfairness shall be accompanied by a statement describing the discrimination or unfairness with particularity. If a violation of the Act or detriment to the commerce of the United States is alleged, the statement shall set forth with particularity the acts and circumstances said to constitute such violation or detriment to commerce.

A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter) and the statement should indicate that this has been done.

Notice of Agreement Filed by:
Mr. R. J. Finn, Pricing, Lykes Bros. Steamship Co., Inc., 300 Poydras Street, New Orleans, Louisiana 70130.

Agreement No. 10222, between Lykes Bros. Steamship Co., Inc. and Green R. Line (Pty) Ltd., establishes a through billing arrangement for the transportation of cargo in the trade between United States ports on the Gulf of Mexico and ports in Mozambique, Malagasy, Mauritius, the Comores and Reunion Islands with transshipment at a Malagasy port or a port in South Africa, Mozambique or East Africa, under terms and conditions set forth in the agreement.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: January 28, 1976.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-3022 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 1523]

LANDAIR CORP.

Order of Revocation

By letter dated December 16, 1975, Blanche E. Miller, Vice President of Landair Corp., Post Office Box 19837, Columbus, Ohio 43219, was advised by the Federal Maritime Commission that Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 1523 would be automatically revoked or suspended unless a valid surety bond was filed with the Commission on or before January 15, 1976.

Section 44(c), Shipping Act, 1916, provides that no independent ocean freight forwarder license shall remain in force unless a valid bond is in effect and on file with the Commission. Rule 510.9 of Federal Maritime Commission General Order 4 further provides that a license will be automatically revoked or suspended for failure of a licensee to maintain a valid bond on file.

Landair Corp. has failed to furnish a valid surety bond.

By virtue of authority vested in me by the Federal Maritime Commission as set forth in Manual of Orders, Commission Order No. 201.1 (revised) Section 5.01-(c) (dated 6/30/75);

It is ordered, that Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 1523, issued to Landair Corp., be returned to the Commission for cancellation.

It is further ordered, that Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 1523 be and is hereby revoked effective January 15, 1976.

It is further ordered, that a copy of this Order be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and served upon Landair Corp.

LEROY F. FULLER,
Director, Bureau of Certification
and Licensing.

[FR Doc.76-3023 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

CERTIFICATES OF FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY (WATER POLLUTION)

Certificates Revoked

Notice of voluntary revocation is hereby given with respect to Certificates of Financial Responsibility (Water Pollution) which had been issued by the Federal Maritime Commission, covering the below indicated vessels, pursuant to part 542 of Title 46 CFR and Section 311(p) (1) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended.

Certificate No.	Owner/operator and vessels
01011----	Aktieselskabet det Ostasialiske Kompagni: Betra.
01028----	Flensburger Schiffsparten-Vereinigung AG.: Stern Uranus.
01087----	Dampskibsselskabet Torm A/S: Torm Herdis.
01123----	Hemisphere Transportation Corp.: Sarah C. Getty.
01150----	Chevron Transport Corp.: Atholl McBean.

Certificate No.	Owner/operator and vessels
01186---	Aamodt's Tankrederi A/S: <i>Sylvania</i> .
01190---	A/S Gerrards Rederi and A/S Gerrards Rederi II: <i>Gerlena</i> .
01197---	A/S Dovrefjell: <i>Sognefjell</i> .
01199---	A/S Falkenfjell: <i>Holtefjell</i> .
01221---	Skibs A/S Nordhav Sydhav Osthav: <i>Nordhav</i> .
01223---	Burles Markes Limited: <i>Eagle Arrow</i> .
01243---	A/S Jensens Rederi IV: <i>Capto</i> .
01306---	Shaw Savill & Albion Co. Ltd.: <i>Northern Star</i> .
01330---	Shell Tankers (U.K.) Ltd.: <i>Thamesfield</i> .
01429---	Pacific Maritime Services Ltd.: <i>William Wheelwright</i> .
01443---	Denholm Line Steamers Ltd.: <i>Monach</i> .
01529---	Oy Pulpships AB: <i>Tivano</i> .
01605---	D'Amico Societa di Navigazione S.P.A.: <i>Christina D'Amico</i> .
01847---	Bahamas Shipping Corp.: <i>Bahama Star</i> .
01861---	BP Tanker Co. Ltd.: <i>British Cavalier</i> .
01874---	A/S Sobral: <i>Mundogas Bermuda</i> .
01905---	Ben Line Steamers Ltd.: <i>Benwackie</i> .
01935---	Interessentskab Mellem Aktieselskab, Dampskibsselskabet Svendborg & Dampskibsselskabet AF 1912 Aktieselskab: <i>Thuro Maersk, Leise Maersk, Louis Maersk</i> .
01939---	Maersk McKinney Moller, Aktieselskab, Dampskibsselskabet Svendborg Dampskibsselskabet AF 1912 Aktieselskab: <i>Roy Maersk</i> .
01981---	AB Svenska Orient Linien: <i>Timmerland</i> .
02001---	Rederiaktiebolaget Transatlantic: <i>Nike</i> .
02198---	Peninsular & Oriental Steam Navigation Co.: <i>Chitral, Essex</i> .
02298---	Naviera Galea, S.A.: <i>Aiboa</i> .
02302---	First Steamship Co., Ltd.: <i>Ever Sureness</i> .
02330---	Oriental Shipping Corp.: <i>Asia Loyalty</i> .
	Oriental Shipping Corp.: <i>Asia Morality, Asia Heron, Asia Gold, Asia Flamingo</i> .
02357---	A/S Granger Rolf: <i>Bencomo</i> .
02473---	Irish Shipping Ltd.: <i>Irish Cedar</i> .
02602---	Fyffes Group Ltd.: <i>Ronde</i> .
02878---	BP New Zealand Ltd.: <i>Hamilton</i> .
02948---	Raymond International, Inc.: <i>Conqueror, California, Challenger, Colossus, S-74 Concord</i> .
02956---	Ashland Oil, Inc.: <i>STC-2001</i> .
03271---	Sea-Land Service, Inc.: <i>Bienville, Fairland</i> .
03279---	Delta Steamship Lines, Inc.: <i>Marymar</i> .
03318---	Nedgulf Tankers N.V.: <i>Gulf Swede, Gulf Hansa, Gulf Italian, Gulf Hollander</i> .
03388---	Shell Canada Ltd.: <i>Northern Shell</i> .
03417---	Daien Reizo K.K.: <i>Daien Maru No. 31</i> .
03484---	Sanko Kisen K.K.: <i>Volga Maru</i> .
03517---	Tokyo Kaiji Kabushiki Kaisha: <i>Yanagi Maru</i> .
03567---	A/S Elkland: <i>Skaugum</i> .
03628---	Canada Maritime S.A.: <i>Ithaca Trader</i> .
03637---	P.A. Van Es & Co. B.V.: <i>Breeveld</i> .
03656---	Bulk Oil Carriers, Inc.: <i>Liberia: Allegre</i> .
03841---	American Export Lines, Inc.: <i>Exford</i> .
03918---	Mobil Shipping & Transportation Co.: <i>Mobil Valiant</i> .

Certificate No.	Owner/operator and vessels
04002---	Compagnie des Messageries Maritimes: <i>Polynesie</i> .
04006---	National Steel Corp.—Steamship Division: <i>Thomas E. Millsop</i> .
04042---	Companhia de Navegacao Maritima Netumar: <i>Daphne</i> .
04046---	A/S Mosbulters: <i>Mosengen</i> .
04081---	Sanko Hong Kong, Ltd.: <i>Golden Orchid, Golden Lotus, Asia Culture, Allied Enterprise</i> .
04080---	Port Arthur Towing Co.: <i>Patco 20</i> .
04229---	Compagnie Maritime Congolaise S.C.R.L.: <i>Maurice Mpolo</i> .
04356---	Pacific Far East Line, Inc.: <i>China Bear, New Zealand Bear</i> .
04458---	Naviera Artola S.A.: <i>Leyre</i> .
04593---	Bow Shipping Corp.: <i>Asia Momo</i> .
04981---	Creole Petroleum Corp.: <i>Esso Amuay, Esso Caripito, Esso Maracaibo, Esso Caracas, Esso Margarita, Esso La Guaira</i> .
05014---	American Marine Corp.: <i>U-708, U-707, Abocol, Chuck, U-915, Eagle, UMC-20, Tenaru River, Bayou Barataria, APB 38</i> .
05271---	Compania Chilena de Navegacion Interocenica: <i>Antartico</i> .
05297---	Caribbean Navigation Co., Ltd.: <i>Tapanahony</i> .
05355---	Global Shipping Corp.: <i>Asia Botan</i> .
05577---	Far Eastern Shipping Co.: <i>Ho Shi Min, Prokofevsk, Alekandr Tvardovsky, Mekhant: Rybachuk, Anri Barbyus, Ornborg</i> .
05818---	Union Pacific Shipping Co., Inc.: <i>Golden Crown</i> .
05846---	"Nordsee" Deutsche Hochseefischerei GMBH: <i>Osterrich, Bonn, Munchen</i> .
05932---	Freestone Maritime Co. S.A. Panama: <i>Titika Halcoussi</i> .
05998---	Navarino Shipping & Transport Co., Ltd.: <i>Honesty, Integrity</i> .
06022---	Epsilon Fishing Co., Inc.: <i>Denise Marie</i> .
06032---	Kanlokar Compania Naviera S.A. Panama R.P.: <i>Green Park</i> .
06358---	Zeta Fishing Co., Inc.: <i>Elleen M.</i>
06399---	Tokumaru Kalun K.K.: <i>Daitoku Maru No. 17</i> .
06487---	Naviera Ason S.A.: <i>Elena de Perez</i> .
06516---	Stott, Mann & Co., Ltd.: <i>Calrados</i> .
06573---	K. G. "Langra" Schiffahrtsges. M.B.H. & Co.: <i>Brooknes</i> .
06608---	ETA Fishing Co., Inc.: <i>Jacqueline Marie</i> .
06706---	Miskal Shipping Co. S.A.: <i>Sun Hope</i> .
06853---	Shipping Co. Knud I. Larsen: <i>Inger Kansas</i> .
06956---	Crystal Pinus, Inc.: <i>Crystal Pinus</i> .
06996---	Akita Senpaku K.K.: <i>Akitsushima Maru</i> .
07184---	Eureka Shipping Co., S.A.: <i>Nord-partner, Nordlander</i> .
07351---	Skysea Corp. S.A. Panama: <i>Lorenzo Halcoussi</i> .
07655---	Mari Shipping Co. Ltd.: <i>Despina Pontikos</i> .
08035---	Goldtopps Navigation Co., S.A. Panama: <i>Golden King</i> .
08408---	Moniwei Corp.: <i>Stolt Tudor</i> .
08503---	Block Transport Corp.: <i>Elphine</i> .
08946---	C. Avramides Maritime Enterprises S.A.: <i>Maria A, Gertrude Wiener</i> .
09074---	Zuito Shipping Co., Ltd.: <i>Seine Maru, Thames Maru, Kenko Maru</i> .
09127---	ISP Tankers Corp.: <i>Amolyntos</i> .
09212---	Nichiyo Steamship Co., Ltd.: <i>Coral Rose</i> .

Certificate No.	Owner/operator and vessels
09265---	Alandra Maritime S.A. Panama: <i>Alexandra K.</i>
09392---	Bay Rock Vessels, Inc.: <i>Barge S 707</i> .
09612---	Oceanic Shipping Corp.: <i>Saint Sultana</i> .
09896---	Oyama Kalum K.K.: <i>Myoken Maru</i> .
09908---	Freight Chartering Co., Ltd.: <i>Julia II</i> .
09988---	Euro-Asian Lines (Panama) S.A.: <i>Pan Glory</i> .

By the Commission.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-3024 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

CERTIFICATES OF FINANCIAL
RESPONSIBILITY (WATER POLLUTION)

Notice of Certificates Issued

Notice is hereby given that the following vessel owners and/or operators have established evidence of financial responsibility, with respect to the vessels indicated, as required by Section 311(p) (1) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, and have been issued Federal Maritime Commission Certificates of Financial Responsibility (Water Pollution) pursuant to Part 542 of Title 46 CFR.

Certificate No.	Owner/operator and vessels
01017---	Westfal-Larsen & Co. A/S: <i>Torranger</i> .
01055---	Farrell Lines, Inc.: <i>Austral Glade, Austral Moon</i> .
01063---	E. S. Aaby's Rederi A/S: <i>Tento</i> .
01185---	Aksjeselskapet Kosmos: <i>Jarmada</i> .
01427---	The Pacific Steam Navigation Co.: <i>William Wheelwright</i> .
01442---	Charles Connell & Co., Ltd.: <i>Loch Maree</i> .
01755---	Hugo Stinnes Zweigniederlassung: <i>Nopal Pampero</i> .
01981---	AB Svenska Orient Linien: <i>Balticland</i> .
02038---	Polskie Linie Oceaniczne: <i>Phen-tan, Jan Kochanowski</i> .
02131---	Houlder Offshore, Ltd.: <i>Oregis</i> .
02248---	Blue Star Line, Ltd.: <i>Avelona Star</i> .
02432---	Dempo Steamships Ltd.: <i>Jagat Priya</i> .
02475---	Houston Barge Line, Inc.: <i>Captain Briscoe</i> .
02492---	Interstate Oil Transport Co.: <i>Elk River</i> .
02585---	Koch Refining Co.: <i>TCB 68</i> .
02843---	Mino, S.A.: <i>Piccozul, Picoverde</i> .
02918---	Tokyo Tanker Co., Ltd.: <i>Jerboa</i> .
02930---	Compania Sud Americana de Vapores: <i>Choapa</i> .
03315---	Afran Transport Co.: <i>Adriatic Sea</i> .
03321---	Marunouchi Kisen Kabushiki Kaisha: <i>Hososhima Maru</i> .
03387---	Deutsche Shell Tanker G.M.B.H.: <i>Lottia</i> .
03502---	Shinyel Senpaku K.K.: <i>Tokuwasan Maru, Recife Maru</i> .
03737---	Interocean Shipping Co.: <i>Eliane</i> .
03744---	Ocean Fisheries, Inc.: <i>Michelan-gelo</i> .
04228---	National Marine Service, Inc.: <i>NMS No. 1904, NMS No. 1905</i> .
04358---	Holland Bulk Transport B.V.: <i>Maasbracht, Amstelmolen</i> .
04386---	Maritima Co. of the Philippines: <i>Isla Verde</i> .
04618---	Huffman Towing Co.: <i>Charles Foss, H. F. Leonard</i> .

Certificate No.	Owner/operator and vessels
04793---	Snam S.P.A.: <i>Agip la Spezia</i> .
05004---	Flowers Transportation, Inc.: <i>Rusty Flowers</i> .
05167---	Consorcio Naviero Peruano S.A.: <i>Cuzco</i> .
05203---	The Western Co. of North America: <i>Western Triton I</i> , <i>Western Polaris II</i> .
05353---	Stenning Industries, Inc.: <i>Aleta II</i> .
05537---	Empresa Navegacion Mambisa: <i>Batalla de Santa Clara</i> .
05577---	Far Eastern Shipping Co.: <i>Berezi-nales</i> , <i>Tobolles</i> , <i>Baykonur</i> , <i>Elektrostal</i> , <i>Angara</i> , <i>Yenisei</i> , <i>Am-guema</i> , <i>Vasily Fedoseev</i> , <i>Kapitan Gotsky</i> , <i>Kapitan Markov</i> , <i>Pengina</i> , <i>Ivan Skuridin</i> , <i>Meridian</i> , <i>Professor Yushchenko</i> , <i>Admiral Makarov</i> , <i>Leningrad</i> , <i>Moskva</i> .
M-05688	Southern Scrap Material Co., Ltd.: Vessels not exceeding 15,000 gross tons.
05704---	Murmansk Shipping Co.: <i>Kapitan Panfilov</i> .
05749---	Delta Fishing Co.: <i>Diana Ysabel</i> .
06248---	Commercial Corporation Sovryb-flot: <i>Poseydon</i> .
06549---	Compagnie Marocaine de Navigation: <i>Boujniba</i> .
06876---	Compania Agropecuaria y Maritima Santa Rosa Ltda.: <i>Carmina</i> .
06995---	Novorossiysk Shipping Co.: <i>Mar-shal Rokossovskiy</i> , <i>Novorossiyskiy Partizan</i> .
07382---	Marushin Senpaku Kabushiki Kaisha: <i>Allied Trader</i> .
07387---	MS Klaus Schöke Schiffahrtsgesellschaft Offen KG: <i>Klaus Schöke</i> .
08038---	Flota Petrolera Ecuatoriana: <i>San-tiago</i> .
08490---	Fraternidad Maritime Co., Ltd.: <i>Maria L</i> .
08584---	The Mogul Line Ltd.: <i>Lok Vivek</i> .
08790---	Agtek International, Inc.: <i>Grenadier</i> .
08933---	Norress U.K. Ltd.: <i>Nordic Com-mander</i> .
09031---	Union Mechling Corp.: 954, 955, 956.
09074---	*Zuito Shipping Co., Ltd.: <i>Volga Maru</i> .
09206---	Societe Navale Chargeurs Delmas-Vieljeux: <i>Maurice Delmas</i> .
09244---	System Fuels, Inc.: <i>Hope M</i> .
09601---	Foster Shipping Co., Ltd.: <i>Strapil</i> .
09713---	Iwakiri Suisan K.K.: <i>Yashima Maru No. 3</i> .
09715---	Ellis Barge Line: <i>RV-10</i> , <i>RV-52</i> , <i>ETT-108</i> .
09721---	Carnival Cruise Lines, Inc.: <i>Carnivale</i> .
09796---	China Pacific S.A.: <i>Buffalo I</i> , <i>Seamoco II</i> .
09886---	John Helmsing Schiffahrtsgesellschaft M.B.H.: <i>John C. Helmsing</i> .
09971---	Dong II Shipping Co., Ltd.: <i>Dai-toku Maru No. 17</i> .
09997---	Robinia Shipping Co., S.A.: <i>Salix</i> .
10045---	Y. K. Kiyofuji Kaun: <i>Syunyo Maru</i> .
10501---	Major Transportation S.A.: <i>Taiga No. 1</i> .
10616---	Arab Maritime Petroleum Transport Co.: <i>Al Riyadh</i> .
10696---	K.G.G. Co., S.A.: <i>Sea Bird No. 83</i> , <i>Sea Bird No. 86</i> .
10699---	Sagitario Internacional S.A.: <i>Maria Monica</i> .

Certificate No.	Owner/operator and vessels
10726---	Chung Kyung Shipping Co., Ltd.: <i>C K Apollo</i> .
10737---	Global Interseas Corp.: <i>Alycia</i> .
10767---	Berilo Shipping Co., S.A.: <i>Irint</i> .
10799---	Adrian Shipping & Trading Corp. S.A.: <i>Petrola XIX</i> .
10806---	Djiring Fret S.A.: <i>Port Cartier</i> .
10821---	Star Sea Transport Corp.: <i>Camilla M</i> .
10828---	Pacific Honour Navigation S.A.: <i>Gloria</i> .
10829---	Egyptian Navigation Co.: <i>Al Montazah I</i> , <i>Al Mandarah</i> .
10834---	Transports, Inc.: <i>Southern Star</i> .
10840---	Liberian Sterculia Transports, Inc.: <i>Asia Bravery</i> .
10841---	Liberian Jonquill Transports, Inc.: <i>Asia Honesty</i> .
10842---	Liberian Raven Transports, Inc.: <i>Asia Industry</i> .
10843---	Liberian Zebra Transports, Inc.: <i>Asia Zebra</i> .
10844---	Mascot Shipping Co., S.A.: <i>Eastern Beauty</i> .
10845---	Liberian Ace Transports, Inc.: <i>Eastern Giant</i> .
10846---	Liberian Hazel Transports, Inc.: <i>Eastern Hazel</i> .
10847---	Liberian Clover Transports, Inc.: <i>Golden Clover</i> .
10848---	Liberian Tulip Transports, Inc.: <i>Golden Tulip</i> .
10849---	Liberian Emblem Transports, Inc.: <i>World Emblem</i> .
10850---	Liberian Athene Transports, Inc.: <i>World Finance</i> .
10851---	Liberian Guard Transports, Inc.: <i>World Guard</i> .
10852---	Liberian Trojan Transports, Inc.: <i>World Mitsubishi</i> .
10853---	Liberian Supreme Transports, Inc.: <i>World Supreme</i> .
10859---	International Barges, Inc.: <i>Mary Lee</i> , <i>Marjorie B</i> .
10861---	Tramontana Maritime Corp.: <i>Tramontana</i> .
10864---	Hyperion Shipping Corp.: <i>Hamp-ton Lion</i> .
10865---	Pacific Fishing Co., Ltd.: <i>Bong San No. 1</i> .
10866---	C.L.M. Enterprises, Inc.: <i>The Duchess</i> .
10868---	Pacific Aguilla Naviera S.A.: <i>Sun Sirius</i> .
10869---	Transocean Przedsiębiorstwo Przemyslowe Usługowe Rybolowstwa Morskiego: <i>Gryf Pomorski</i> , <i>Pomorie</i> , <i>Piast</i> , <i>Wineta</i> , <i>Zulawy</i> , <i>Buran</i> , <i>Lewanter</i> , <i>Haintak</i> , <i>Harmattan</i> .
10870---	Maravilla Compania Naviera S.A.: <i>Maritsa III</i> .
10871---	Continental Bulk Carriers, Inc.: <i>Euroasia Concorde</i> .
10872---	Stella First Shipping Co. S.A.: <i>Christina</i> .
10874---	Paloma Primera Shipping Co. S.A.: <i>Gasikara</i> .
10879---	Dorado Shipping Ltd.: <i>Shinobu Ananda</i> .
10880---	Maritime Ambassador Transports, Inc.: <i>Ocean Ambassador</i> .
10881---	Partnership Clipper II: <i>Helene Clipper</i> .
10882---	Great Kern Shipping Corp. (Antillen) N.V.: <i>Gogofrio</i> .
10884---	Rea Steamship, Ltd.: <i>Athina Car-ras</i> .
10885---	Arrow, Ltd.: <i>M. J. Carras</i> .
10886---	Conservas Garavilla S.A.: <i>Frigo Isabel</i> , <i>Isabel Cinco</i> , <i>Isabel Dos</i> , <i>Isabel Cuatro</i> .
10887---	Lupine Shipping Co., S.A.: <i>Ocean Rhythm</i> .

Certificate No.	Owner/operator and vessels
10890---	Tempest Navigation Co., Ltd.: <i>Anthia</i> .
10891---	Luxor Maritime Co., Ltd.: <i>Ariana</i> .
10892---	Gisontasuna S.A.: <i>Lur-Txori</i> , <i>Ur-Txori</i> .
10894---	Excelship Navigation S.A.: <i>Excel Trader</i> .
10898---	Serra Shipping (Liberia), Ltd.: <i>Serra Trader</i> .
10901---	Man Wah Shipping Co., Ltd.: <i>Man Wah</i> .
10902---	Ormi Shipping Co. Ltd.: <i>Unluck</i> .
10904---	Korpa Shipping Company S.A.: <i>Maria</i> .
10905---	Santa Isabella Maritima S.A.: <i>Santa Isabella</i> .

By the Commission.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-3025 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

UNITED STATES RAILWAY ASSOCIATION

[Docket No. 75-64]

PENN CENTRAL TRANSPORTATION CO.

Abandonment, Fairbrook Branch (Blair County, Pennsylvania)

On September 30, 1975, the Trustees of the Penn Central Transportation Company, debtor, a railroad in reorganization under the Regional Rail Reorganization Act of 1973 (45 U.S.C. 701 *et seq.*), applied to the United States Railway Association for the authorization required by Section 304(f) of that Act to abandon a line of railroad known as the Fairbrook Branch between milepost 0.0 at Tyrone and its terminus at milepost 1.1, a distance of 1.1 miles in Blair County, Pennsylvania.

Section 304(f) provides that a railroad in reorganization may not abandon a line of railroad "unless it is authorized to do so by the Association and unless no state or local or regional transportation authority reasonably opposes such action."

No state or local or regional transportation authority opposes this application. The Railway Labor Executives Association requests the protection of the "Burlington" conditions for any employees who may be affected by this abandonment. Abandonment of this line would not otherwise be inconsistent with the Final System Plan and the purposes of the Act.

Accordingly, the application will be granted on the condition that adversely affected employees receive, until the effective date of mandatory offers to "protected employees" under Section 502(b) of the Act, the labor protection customarily imposed by the Interstate Commerce Commission, as in *Chicago, B. & Q. R. Co., Abandonment*, 257 I.C.C. 700.

This Order shall be effective February 23, 1976.

Dated this 27th day of January, 1976.

JAMES A. HAGEN,
President,
United States Railway Association.

[FR Doc.76-3008 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP76-213]

LONE STAR GAS CO. AND ENSERCH CORP.

Application

JANUARY 23, 1975.

Take notice that on December 29, 1975, Lone Star Gas Company, a Division of Enserch Corporation (Applicant), 301 South Harwood Street, Dallas, Texas 75201, filed in Docket No.

CP76-213 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of taps and regulators for the delivery of natural gas to ten customers, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant proposes to construct and operate taps and regulator stations for delivery of natural gas as follows:

Customer	Operation	Estimated annual requirements (1,000 ft ³ at 14.73 lb/in ²)	Location
Kenneth Moore	Irrigation	500	Harmon, Okla.
Sadie Corbett	Irrigation and peanut-drying	750	Bryan, Okla.
Cardinal Equipment Co.	Farm implements (heating bldgs.)	400	Willinger, Tex.
Jim Zimmerer	Farm industry center (heating bldgs.)	1,000	Cooke, Tex.
Quinton Jones	Peanut-drying	250	Do.
Paul Castell	Peanut-drying	250	Bryan, Okla.
Donald Kirkpatrick	Irrigation	500	Grady, Okla.
J. C. Jones	Irrigation	500	Bryan, Okla.
Silo Public School	Heating	175	Do.
Robert Owens	Irrigation	800	Childress, Okla.

Applicant estimates that the cost of each tap and regulator station for the delivery of natural gas would cost approximately \$1,163, which costs would be financed from Applicant's working capital.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before February 20, 1976, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion be-

lieves that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

MARY KIDD PEAK,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-2871 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP75-17]

TRANSWESTERN PIPELINE CO.

Petition To Amend

JANUARY 23, 1976.

Take notice that on December 29, 1975, Transwestern Pipeline Corporation (Petitioner), P.O. Box 2521, Houston, Texas 77001, filed in Docket No. CP75-17 a petition to amend the order of the Commission of October 17, 1974 (52 FPC __), issuing a certificate of public convenience and necessity pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act to include authorization to construct and operate four additional taps for Petitioner's right-of-way grantors, all as more fully set forth in the petition to amend on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Petitioner alleges that in the fore-stated order of the Commission it was authorized to construct and operate facilities for the direct sales of natural gas to three right-of-way grantors. Petitioner proposes in the instant petition to amend to construct facilities and deliver natural gas for direct sales to four additional right-of-way grantors, as follows:

Customer	Location	Annual estimated delivery (1,000 ft ³)	Use of gas
Harold D. Riggs	Carson County, Tex.	1,000	Agricultural, pump fuel, crop drying, and domestic.
Warren Carnes	Ochiltree County, Tex.	1,000	Agricultural, pump fuel, and domestic.
S. Gene Hall	Gray County, Tex.	24,000	Do.
A. L. Streum	Castro County, Tex.	24,000	Do.

Petitioner estimates that the total cost of the proposed facilities, consisting of a one-inch tap, meter, and related for each customer would be approximately \$40,530. Petitioner states that these expenditures would be reimbursed by the customers except the cost of the meters. Petitioner proposes to sell up to 200 Mcf of gas per day to each customer.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition to amend should on or before February 17, 1976, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

MARY KIDD PEAK,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-2872 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CS76-369, etc.]

JEROME K. THARPE, ET AL.

Applications for "Small Producer" Certificates¹

JANUARY 23, 1976.

Take notice that each of the Applicants listed herein has filed an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act and Section 157.40 of the Regulations thereunder for a "small producer" certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the sale for resale and delivery of natural gas in interstate commerce, all as more fully set forth in the applications which are on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said applications should on or before February 23, 1976, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the

¹ This notice does not provide for consolidation for hearing of the several matters covered herein.

Federal Power Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on all applications in which no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein if the Commission on its own review of the matter believes that a grant of the certificates is required by the public convenience and necessity. Where a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or where the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicants to appear or be represented at the hearing.

MARY KIDD PEAK,
Acting Secretary.

Docket No.	Date filed	Applicant
CS76-369..	Jan. 7, 1976	Jerome K. Tharpe, CLU, 508 Lamar Life Bldg., Jackson, Miss. 39201.
CS76-386..	Dec. 31, 1975	Wintershall Oil & Gas Co., 3000 One Shell Plaza, Houston, Tex. 77002.
CS76-387..	Jan. 2, 1976	James L. Stroope, 4545 Post Oak Place Dr., Suite 204, Houston, Tex. 77027.
CS76-388..	do	Adak Energy Corp., 2365 South 2300 East, Salt Lake City, Utah 84109.
CS76-389..	do	Southland Financial Properties, Inc., 1105 Southland Center, Dallas, Tex. 75201.
CS76-390..	Jan. 7, 1976	W. J. O'Brien, Jr., 630 Commercial National Bank Bldg., Shreveport, La. 71101.
CS76-391..	do	Raymond A. Baur, 695 Summer St., Stamford, Conn. 06901.
CS76-392..	Jan. 8, 1976	Clark Ellison, 222 Northeast 50th St., Oklahoma City, Okla. 73105.
CS76-393..	do	H. H. Shockely, 103 North Main, Aztec, N. Mex. 87410.
CS76-394..	Jan. 9, 1976	The First National Bank of Shreveport, Trustee U/W, Raymond J. O'Brien, Jr., 601 Market St., Shreveport, La. 71101.
CS76-395..	do	Numen Corp., 630 Commercial National Bank Bldg., Shreveport, La. 71101.
CS76-396..	do	TBP Offshore Co., Box 2009, Amarillo, Tex. 79105.
CS76-397..	do	Adobe Drilling Program—1975 Ltd., 1100 Western United Life Bldg., Midland, Tex. 79701.
CS76-398..	Jan. 12, 1976	Mrs. Martha J. O'Brien, 630 Commercial National Bank Bldg., Shreveport, La. 71101.
CS76-399..	do	Curtis John Oates, Trustee et al., P.O. Box 9158, Amarillo, Tex. 79105.
CS76-400..	do	Norbert M. Welch and Louise B. Welch, Rural Route 3, Box 17, Vincennes, Ind. 47591.
CS76-401..	Jan. 13, 1976	Howard E. Russell, 307 North High, El Dorado, Kans. 67042.
CS76-402..	do	W. C. McBride-Silurian Oil Co., 25 North Brentwood Blvd., St. Louis, Mo. 63103.
CS76-403..	Jan. 14, 1976	Harold D. Baker, 203 Ergon Bldg., Jackson, Miss. 39201.
CS76-404..	Jan. 15, 1976	Robert R. Lamb, 192 Meadows Bldg., Dallas, Tex. 75206.
CS76-405..	do	Edward W. Hughston, 192 Meadows Bldg., Dallas, Tex. 75206.
CS76-406..	do	Thomas D. White, 400 South Pershing, Wichita, Kans. 67218.
CS76-407..	Jan. 16, 1976	Termo Co. of Texas, 2100 First City National Bank Bldg., Houston, Tex. 77002.

Docket No.	Date filed	Applicant
CS76-408..	Jan. 19, 1976	Ed Jacobs, 6003 East Ridge Dr., Shreveport, La. 71106.
CS76-409..	do	The First National Bank of Shreveport, Trustee U/W of Grace Jacobs Lloyd, P.O. Box 1116, Shreveport, La. 71154.
CS76-410..	do	Victor F. Smith, P.O. Box 4536, Jackson, Miss. 39210.
CS76-411..	do	Clyde Niernberger, 145 North Armour, Wichita, Kans. 67206.
CS76-412..	do	Monroe Producers, Inc., P.O. Box 663, Aberdeen, Miss. 39730.
CS76-413..	do	Producing Royalties, Inc., P.O. Box 1071, Lubbock, Tex. 79403.

[FR Doc.76-2870 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

CONSERVATION-TECHNICAL ADVISORY TASK FORCE-EFFICIENCY IN USE OF GAS Meeting

Agenda of Federal Power Commission, Union Plaza Building, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, Conference Room 5200, February 25, 1976, 10 a.m., Presiding: Mr. James R. Kirby, Coordinating Representative and Secretary, Federal Power Commission.

1. Call to order and introductory remarks—Mr. James R. Kirby.
2. Introduction of the task force co-chairmen—Mr. James Woodruff, Deputy Director, Public Utilities Division, Michigan Public Service Commission, Lansing, Michigan and Mr. John A. Irwin, General Manager of Market Services, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, Houston, Texas.
3. Discussion of task force work scope and goals—Mr. James Woodruff and Mr. John A. Irwin.
4. Assignment of work to task force members—Mr. James Woodruff and Mr. John A. Irwin.
5. Establishment of priorities and completion dates for work of the task force—Mr. James Woodruff and Mr. John A. Irwin.
6. Selection of next meeting date.
7. Discussion of other matters.
8. Adjournment—Mr. James R. Kirby.

This meeting is open to the public. Any interested person may attend, appear before, or file statements with the Committee—which statements, if in written form, may be filed before or after the meeting, or if oral, at the time and in the manner permitted by the committee.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-3118 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

SUPPLY-TECHNICAL ADVISORY FORCE Meeting

Agenda of meeting of Supply-Technical Advisory Task Force-Pro Prospective Exploration and Development and Additions to Reserves Subgroup on Exploratory and Development Levels, Hearing Room "G", Federal Power Commission,

Union Plaza Building, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, February 18, 1976, 9:30 a.m., Presiding: Mr. William J. McCabe, National Gas Survey, Federal Power Commission.

1. Call to order and introductory remarks—Mr. William J. McCabe.
2. Discussion of Subgroup's assignment and scope of work—Mr. Douglas Harnish.
3. Develop procedure and methodology for accomplishing task force charge—Mr. Douglas Harnish.
4. Assignment of work to Subgroup members—Mr. Douglas Harnish.
5. Set Priorities and due dates for working drafts.
6. Other business.
7. Adjournment—Mr. William J. McCabe.

This meeting is open to the public. Any interested person may attend, appear before, or file statements with the Committee—which statements, if in written form, may be filed before or after the meeting, or if oral, at the time and in the manner permitted by the Committee.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-3105 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM STARBUCK BANCSHARES, INC.

Formation of Bank Holding Company

Starbuck Bancshares, Inc., Starbuck, Minnesota, has applied for the Board's approval under § 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842 (a)(1)) to become a bank holding company through acquisition of 80.1 per cent or more of the voting shares of First National Bank of Starbuck, Starbuck, Minnesota. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in § 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551 to be received no later than February 24, 1976.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, January 26, 1976.

[SEAL] GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD,
Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.76-3005 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION STATEMENT OF ORGANIZATION

Laws Administered

On May 14, 1973, functions under the Flammable Fabrics Act (15 U.S.C. 1191-1204) were transferred to the Consumer Product Safety Commission pursuant to section 30 of the Consumer Product Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 2079). Previously, the Department of Commerce, the Federal Trade Commission, and the Depart-

ment of Health, Education, and Welfare had responsibilities under the Flammable Fabrics Act.

Notice is hereby given that Section 4 of the Statement of Organization is amended by deleting therefrom the following: "the Flammable Fabrics Act (67 Stat. 11, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 1191-1204)."

By direction of the Commission dated January 26, 1976.

CHARLES A. TOBIN,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-2954 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE

REGULATORY REPORTS REVIEW

Receipt of Report Proposals

The following request for clearance of a report intended for use in collecting information from the public was received by the Regulatory Reports Review Staff, GAO, on January 27, 1976. See 44 U.S.C. 3512(c) & (d). The purpose of publishing this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER is to inform the public of such receipt.

The notice includes the title of the request received; the name of the agency sponsoring the proposed collection of information; the agency form number, if applicable; and the frequency with which the information is proposed to be collected.

Written comments on the proposed FCC form are invited from all interested persons, organizations, public interest groups, and affected businesses. Because of the limited amount of time GAO has to review the proposed form, comments (in triplicate) must be received on or before February 20, 1976, and should be addressed to Mr. Carl F. Bogar, Assistant Director, Office of Special Programs, United States General Accounting Office Room 5216, 425 I Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20548.

Further information may be obtained from Patsy J. Stuart of the Regulatory Reports Review Staff, 202-376-5425.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Request for clearance of new FCC Form 731, Application for Equipment Authorization—Radio Frequency Devices. This form is required to be filed when applying for type acceptance, type approval or certification of equipment as prescribed by Parts 2, 15, and 18 of the Commission's Rules. The new FCC Form 731 combines into one form and is identical to that information previously covered by FCC Forms 722, 723 and 729. It is estimated that respondent burden would average 2 hours for type approval, whereas type acceptance or certification would range from 8 to 24 hours. It is anticipated that the FCC will receive approximately 9600 applications during the coming year: 400 for type approval, 1400

for type acceptance and 7800 for certification.

CARL F. BOGAR,
Assistant Director,
Regulatory Reports Review.

[FR Doc.76-2960 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

ARCHITECTURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL ARTS ADVISORY PANEL

Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463), notice is hereby given that a closed meeting of the Architecture and Environmental Arts Panel to the National Council on the Arts will be held on February 19-20, 1976 from 9:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m. in the 11th floor conference room of the Columbia Plaza Office Building, 2401 E Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

This meeting is for the purpose of Panel review, discussion, evaluation, and recommendation on applications for financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including discussion of information given in confidence to the agency by grant applicants. In accordance with the determination of the Chairman published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of June 16, 1975, this meeting, which involves matters exempt from the requirements of public disclosure under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552(b), (4), (5), and (6)) will not be open to the public.

Further information with reference to this meeting can be obtained from Mr. Robert M. Sims, Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, D.C. 20506, or call (202) 634-6377.

ROBERT M. SIMS,
Administrative Officer, National
Endowment for the Arts, National
Foundation on the Arts
and the Humanities.

[FR Doc.76-3007 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON REACTOR SAFEGUARDS

Meeting

In accordance with the purposes of Sections 29 and 182b. of the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 2039, 232 b.), the ACRS Subcommittee on the Pebble Springs Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2, will hold a meeting on February 18, 1976 at the Sheraton Inn/Los Angeles Airport, 9750 Airport Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 90045. The purpose of this meeting is to continue the review of the

analysis of the Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) at the Pebble Springs Nuclear Power Plant.

The agenda for subject meeting shall be as follows:

Wednesday, February 18, 1976, 8:30 a.m. The Subcommittee will meet in closed Executive Session, with any of its consultants who may be present, to explore their preliminary opinions, based upon their independent review of safety reports, regarding matters which should be considered during the open session in order to formulate a Subcommittee report and recommendations to the full Committee.

9:00 a.m. until the conclusion of business. The Subcommittee will meet in open session to hear presentations by representatives of the NRC Staff and the Portland General Electric Company and will hold discussions with these groups pertinent to its review of the analysis of the ECCS at the Pebble Springs Plant.

At the conclusion of the open session, the Subcommittee will caucus in a brief, closed session to determine whether the matters identified in the initial closed session have been adequately covered and whether the project is ready for review by the full Committee. During the session Subcommittee members and consultants will discuss their final opinions and recommendations on these matters. Upon conclusion of this caucus, the Subcommittee will meet again in brief open session to announce its determination.

I have determined, in accordance with Subsection 10(d) of Public Law 92-463, that it is necessary to conduct the above closed sessions to protect the free interchange of internal views in the final stages of the Subcommittee's deliberative process (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5)) and to protect confidential proprietary information (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4)). Separation of factual material from individuals' advice, opinions, and recommendations while closed Executive Sessions are in progress is considered impractical.

Practical considerations may dictate alternations in the above agenda or schedule. The Chairman of the Subcommittee is empowered to conduct the meeting in a manner that, in his judgment, will facilitate the orderly conduct of business, including provisions to carry over an incomplete open session from one day to the next.

With respect to public participation in the open portion of the meeting, the following requirements shall apply:

(a) Persons wishing to submit written statements regarding the agenda items may do so by providing 15 readily reproducible copies to the Subcommittee at the beginning of the meeting. Comments should be limited to safety related areas within the Committee's purview.

Persons desiring to mail written comments may do so by sending a readily reproducible copy thereof in time for consideration at this meeting. Comments postmarked no later than February 11,

1976 to Mr. R. Muller, ACRS, NRC, Washington, D.C. 20555, will normally be received in time to be considered at this meeting.

Background information concerning items to be considered at this meeting can be found in documents on file and available for public inspection at the NRC Public Document Room, 1717 H St., NW., Washington, D.C. 20555 and at the City Hall, P.O. Box 356, Arlington, Oregon 97812.

(b) Those persons wishing to make an oral statement at the meeting should make a written request to do so, identifying the topics and desired presentation time so that appropriate arrangements can be made. The Committee will receive oral statements on topics relevant to the Committee's purview at an appropriate time chosen by the Chairman of the Subcommittee.

(c) Further information regarding topics to be discussed, whether the meeting has been cancelled or rescheduled, the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements and the time allotted therefor can be obtained by a prepaid telephone call on February 17, 1976 to the Office of the Executive Director of the Committee (telephone 202/634-1371, Attn: Mr. M. W. Libarkin) between 8:15 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., e.s.t.

(d) Questions may be propounded only by members of the Subcommittee and its consultants.

(e) The use of still, motion picture, and television cameras, the physical installation and presence of which will not interfere with the conduct of the meeting, will be permitted both before and after the meeting and during any recess. The use of such equipment will not, however, be allowed while the meeting is in session.

(f) Persons with agreements or orders permitting access to proprietary information may attend portions of ACRS meetings where this material is being discussed upon confirmation that such agreements are effective and relate to the material being discussed.

The Executive Director of the ACRS should be informed of such an agreement at least three working days prior to the meeting so that the agreement can be confirmed and a determination can be made regarding the applicability of the agreement to the material that will be discussed during the meeting. Minimum information provided should include information regarding the date of the agreement, the scope of material included in the agreement, the project or projects involved, and the names and titles of the persons signing the agreement. Additional information may be requested to identify the specific agreement involved. A copy of the executed agreement should be provided to Mr. R. Muller of the ACRS Office, prior to the beginning of the meeting.

(g) A copy of the transcript of the open portion of the meeting will be available for inspection on or after February 25, 1976 at the NRC Public Docu-

ment Room, 1717 H St., NW., Washington, D.C. 20555 and at the City Hall, P.O. Box 356, Arlington, Oregon 97812. Copies of the minutes of the meeting will be made available for inspection at the NRC Public Document Room, 1717 H St., NW., Washington, D.C. 20555 after May 18, 1976. Copies may be obtained upon payment of appropriate charges.

Dated: January 26, 1976.

JOHN C. HOYLE,
Advisory Committee
Management Officer.

[FR Doc.76-2984 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON REACTOR SAFEGUARDS

Meeting

In accordance with the purposes of Sections 29 and 182b. of the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 2039, 2232b.), the ACRS Subcommittee on the Clinch River Breeder Reactor Plant will meet on February 18, 1976 at the Hanford House Hotel, 802 George Washington Way, Richland, WA. 99352.

The purpose of this meeting is to discuss the Research and Development Program at the Hanford Engineering and Development Laboratory, Richland, WA.

The agenda for subject meeting shall be as follows:

Wednesday, February 18, 1976, 8:00 a.m.: The Subcommittee will meet in closed Executive Session, with any of its consultants who may be present, to explore preliminary opinions regarding the proposed plant construction, to discuss suggestions and recommendations advanced by members of the Subcommittee in regard to ways and means to develop most effectively the items of information which are required to form the basis for a Subcommittee recommendation to the full Committee, and to develop a detailed plan for coverage of all agenda items during the follow-on meeting.

8:30 a.m. until the conclusion of business: The Subcommittee will meet in open session with the NRC Staff, the Energy Research and Development Administration, the Project Management Corporation, and the Tennessee Valley Authority to discuss research and development efforts at Westinghouse Hanford Engineering and Development Laboratory and their application to the Clinch River Breeder Reactor Project.

At the conclusion of the open session, the Subcommittee will caucus in a brief, closed session to determine whether the matters identified in the initial closed session have been adequately covered and whether the project is ready for review by the full Committee. During this session, Subcommittee members and consultants will discuss their final opinions and recommendations on these matters. Upon conclusion of the caucus, the Subcommittee may meet again in brief open session to announce its determination.

In addition to this closed deliberative session, it may be necessary for the Sub-

committee to hold one or more closed sessions for the purpose of exploring with the NRC Staff and participants matters involving proprietary information, particularly with regard to specific features of the plant design.

I have determined, in accordance with Subsection 10(d) of Public Law 92-463, that it is necessary to conduct the above closed sessions to protect the free interchange of internal views in the final stages of the Subcommittee's deliberative process (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5)) and to protect confidential proprietary information (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4)). Separation of factual material from individuals' advice, opinions, and recommendations while closed Executive Sessions are in progress is considered impractical.

Practical considerations may dictate alterations in the above agenda or schedule. The Chairman of the Subcommittee is empowered to conduct the meeting in a manner that, in his judgment, will facilitate the orderly conduct of business, including provisions to carry over an incomplete open session from one day to the next.

With respect to public participation in the open portion of the meeting, the following requirements shall apply:

(a) Persons wishing to submit written statements regarding the agenda items may do so by providing 15 readily reproducible copies to the Subcommittee at the beginning of the meeting. Comments should be limited to safety related areas within the Committee's purview.

Persons desiring to mail written comments may do so by sending a readily reproducible copy thereof in time for consideration at this meeting. Comments postmarked no later than February 10, 1976 to Mr. T. G. McCreless, ACRS, NRC, Washington, D.C. will normally be received in time to be considered at this meeting.

Background information concerning the Clinch River Breeder Reactor can be found in documents on file and available for public inspection at the NRC Public Document Room, 1717 H St., NW., Washington, D.C. 20555, at the Oak Ridge Public Library, Civic Center, Oak Ridge, TN 37830, and at the Lawson McGhee Public Library, 500 W. Church Street, Knoxville, TN 37902.

(b) Those persons wishing to make an oral statement at the meeting should make a written request to do so, identifying the topics and desired presentation time so that appropriate arrangements can be made. The Committee will receive oral statements on topics relevant to the Committee's purview at an appropriate time chosen by the Chairman of the Subcommittee.

(c) Further information regarding topics to be discussed, whether the meeting has been cancelled or rescheduled, the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements and the time allotted therefor can be obtained by a prepaid telephone call on February 13, 1976 to the Office of the Executive Director of the Committee (telephone 202/634-1375, Attn: Mr. T. G.

McCreless) between 8:15 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., EST.

(d) Questions may be propounded only by members of the Subcommittee and its consultants.

(e) The use of still, motion picture, and television cameras, the physical installation and presence of which will not interfere with the conduct of the meeting, will be permitted both before and after the meeting and during any recess. The use of such equipment will not, however, be allowed while the meeting is in session.

(f) Persons with agreements or orders permitting access to proprietary information may attend portions of ACRS meetings where this material is being discussed upon confirmation that such agreements are effective and relate to the material being discussed.

The Executive Director of the ACRS should be informed of such an agreement at least three working days prior to the meeting so that the agreement can be confirmed and a determination can be made regarding the applicability of the agreement to the material that will be discussed during the meeting. Minimum information provided should include information regarding the date of the agreement, the scope of material included in the agreement, the project or projects involved, and the names and titles of the persons signing the agreement. Additional information may be requested to identify the specific agreement involved. A copy of the executed agreement should be provided to Mr. T. G. McCreless of the ACRS Office, prior to the beginning of the meeting.

(g) A copy of the transcript of the open portion of the meeting will be available for inspection on or after February 26, 1976 at the NRC Public Document Room, 1717 H St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20555, at the Oak Ridge Public Library, Civic Center, Oak Ridge, TN 37830, and at the Lawson McGhee Public Library, 500 W. Church Street, Knoxville, TN 37902.

Copies of the minutes of the meeting will be made available for inspection at the NRC Public Document Room, 1717 H St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20555 after May 19, 1976. Copies may be obtained upon payment of appropriate charges.

Dated: January 26, 1976.

JOHN C. HOYLE,
Advisory Committee
Management Officer.

[FR Doc.76-2985 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON REACTOR SAFEGUARDS

Meeting

In accordance with the purposes of Sections 29 and 182b, of the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 2039, 2232b.), the ACRS Subcommittee on the Clinch River Breeder Reactor Plant will meet on February 19, 1976 at Sheraton Inn/Los Angeles Airport, 9750 Airport Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 90045.

The purpose of this meeting is to discuss the Research and Development Program at Atomics International, Canoga, Park, CA. 90045.

The agenda for subject meeting shall be as follows:

Thursday, February 19, 1976, 12:30 p.m.: The Subcommittee will meet in closed Executive Session, with any of its consultants who may be present, to explore preliminary opinions regarding the proposed plant construction, to discuss suggestions and recommendations advanced by members of the Subcommittee in regard to ways and means to develop most effectively the items of information which are required to form the basis for a Subcommittee recommendation to the full Committee, and to develop a detailed plan for coverage of all agenda items during the follow-on meeting.

1:00 p.m. until the conclusion of business: The Subcommittee will meet in open session with the NRC Staff, the Energy Research and Development Administration, the Project Management Corporation, and the Tennessee Valley Authority to discuss research and development efforts at Atomics International and their application to the Clinch River Breeder Reactor Project.

At the conclusion of the open session, the Subcommittee will caucus in a brief, closed session to determine whether the matters identified in the initial closed session have been adequately covered and whether the project is ready for review by the full Committee. During this session, Subcommittee members and consultants will discuss their final opinions and recommendations on these matters. Upon conclusion of the caucus, the Subcommittee may meet again in brief open session to announce its determination.

In addition to this closed deliberative session, it may be necessary for the Subcommittee to hold one or more closed sessions for the purpose of exploring with the NRC Staff and participants matters involving proprietary information, particularly with regard to specific features of the plant design.

I have determined, in accordance with Subsection 10(d) of Public Law 92-463, that it is necessary to conduct the above closed sessions to protect the free interchange of internal views in the final stages of the Subcommittee's deliberative process (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(5)) and to protect confidential proprietary information (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4)). Separation of factual material from individuals' advice, opinions, and recommendations while closed Executive Sessions are in progress is considered impractical.

Practical considerations may dictate alterations in the above agenda or schedule. The Chairman of the Subcommittee is empowered to conduct the meeting in a manner that, in his judgment, will facilitate the orderly conduct of business, including provisions to carry over an incompleting open session from one day to the next.

With respect to public participation in the open portion of the meeting, the following requirements shall apply:

(a) Persons wishing to submit written statements regarding the agenda items may do so by providing 15 readily reproducible copies to the Subcommittee at the beginning of the meeting. Comments should be limited to safety related areas within the Committee's purview.

Persons desiring to mail written comments may do so by sending a readily reproducible copy thereof in time for consideration at this meeting. Comments postmarked no later than February 10, 1976 to Mr. T. G. McCreless, ACRS, NRC, Washington, D.C. will normally be received in time to be considered at this meeting.

Background information concerning the Clinch River Breeder Reactor can be found in documents on file and available for public inspection at the NRC Public Document Room, 1717 H St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20555, at the Oak Ridge Public Library, Civic Center, Oak Ridge, TN 37830, and at the Lawson McGhee Public Library 500 W. Church Street, Knoxville, TN 37902.

(b) Those persons wishing to make an oral statement at the meeting should make a written request to do so, identifying the topics and desired presentation time so that appropriate arrangements can be made. The Committee will receive oral statements on topics relevant to the Committee's purview at an appropriate time chosen by the Chairman of the Subcommittee.

(c) Further information regarding topics to be discussed, whether the meeting has been cancelled or rescheduled, the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements and the time allotted therefor can be obtained by a prepaid telephone call on February 13, 1976 to the Office of the Executive Director of the Committee (telephone 202/634-1375, Attn: Mr. T. G. McCreless) between 8:15 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., EST.

(d) Questions may be propounded only by members of the Subcommittee and its consultants.

(e) The use of still, motion picture, and television cameras, the physical installation and presence of which will not interfere with the conduct of the meeting, will be permitted both before and after the meeting and during any recess. The use of such equipment will not, however, be allowed while the meeting is in session.

(f) Persons with agreements or orders permitting access to proprietary information may attend portions of ACRS meetings where this material is being discussed upon confirmation that such agreements are effective and relate to the material being discussed.

The Executive Director of the ACRS should be informed of such an agreement at least three working days prior to the meeting so that the agreement can be confirmed and a determination can be made regarding the applicability of the agreement to the material that will be discussed during the meeting. Minimum information provided should include information regarding the date of

the agreement, the scope of material included in the agreement, the project or projects involved, and the names and titles of the persons signing the agreement. Additional information may be requested to identify the specific agreement involved. A copy of the executed agreement should be provided to Mr. T. G. McCreless of the ACRS Office, prior to the beginning of the meeting.

(g) A copy of the transcript of the open portion of the meeting will be available for inspection on or after February 26, 1976 at the NRC Public Document Room, 1717 H St., NW., Washington, D.C. 20555, at the Oak Ridge Public Library, Civic Center, Oak Ridge, TN 37830, and at the Lawson McGhee Public Library, 500 W. Church Street, Knoxville, TN 37902.

Copies of the minutes of the meeting will be made available for inspection at the NRC Public Document Room, 1717 H St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20555 after May 19, 1976. Copies may be obtained upon payment of appropriate charges.

Dated: January 26, 1976.

JOHN C. HOYLE,
Advisory Committee
Management Officer.

[FR Doc.76-2986 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. STN 50-454, STN 50-455, and STN 50-456 and STN 50-457]

COMMONWEALTH EDISON CO. (BYRON STATION, UNITS 1 & 2) (BRAIDWOOD STATION, UNITS 1 & 2)

Assignment of Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board

Notice is hereby given that, in accordance with the authority in 10 CFR § 2.787(a), the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Panel has assigned the following panel members to serve as the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board for these construction permit proceedings:

Alan S. Rosenthal, Chairman
Dr. Lawrence R. Quarles
Dr. W. Reed Johnson

Dated: January 26, 1976.

MARGARET E. DU FLO,
Secretary to the
Appeal Board.

[FR Doc.76-2988 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-255]

CONSUMERS POWER CO.

Issuance of Amendment to Provisional Operating License

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 18 to Provisional Operating License No. DPR-20 issued to Consumers Power Company which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Palisades Plant, located in Van Buren

County, Michigan. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The amendment revised the plant staff organization by adding the position of Environmental Supervisor.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment is not required since the amendment does not involve a significant-hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR § 51.5(d)(4) an environmental statement, negative declaration or environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated December 5, 1975, and (2) Amendment No. 18 to License No. DPR-20. These items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. and at the Kalamazoo Public Library, 315 South Rose Street, Kalamazoo, Michigan.

A copy of Amendment No. 18 may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 23rd day of January 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ROBERT A. PURPLE,
Chief, Operating Reactors
Branch No. 1, Division of
Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.76-2989 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-16]

DETROIT EDISON CO.

Transfer of Provisional Operating License

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 8 to Provisional Operating License No. DPR-9 which transfers the license from the Power Reactor Development Company to The Detroit Edison Company (the licensee). The amended license authorizes the Detroit Edison Company to possess but not operate the Enrico Fermi Atomic Power Plant Unit No. 1 located in Monroe County, Michigan. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance. On a related matter the Commission has granted an exemption to 10 CFR Part 140, Appendix F, as re-

quested by Detroit Edison. The exemption was granted by letter dated January 23, 1976.

The application for the transfer complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the amended license. Notice of Intent to Transfer Provisional Operating License in connection with this section was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on November 20, 1975 (40 FR 54031). No request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene was filed following notice of the proposed action.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR § 51.5(d)(4) an environmental statement, negative declaration or environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for transfer of the license dated October 16, 1975, as supplemented October 27, 1975, (2) Amendment No. 8 to License No. DPR-9, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Reactor Licensing.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 23rd day of January, 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ROBERT W. REID,
Chief, Operating Reactors
Branch No. 4, Division of
Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.76-2990 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50-424, 50-425]

GEORGIA POWER CO.

Public Hearing

Please take notice that pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's Regulations (10 CFR Part 50), a public evidentiary hearing will be held before an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (the Board) starting at 9:30 A.M., EST, on February 10, 1976 at the following location:

U.S. District Courtroom, U.S. Courthouse, 2nd Floor, 8th & Telfair Sts., Augusta, Georgia 30902.

The purpose of this public hearing, to be conducted in accordance with the Commission's Rules of Practice, is to

consider certain proposed amendments¹ to the Applicant's construction permits, which the Applicant has already received, to build two pressurized water nuclear reactors to be known as the Alvin W. Vogtle Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, near the Savannah River in Burke County, Georgia, about 26 miles south-east of Augusta and 15 miles east of Waynesboro, Georgia.

If there are any requests from organizations or individuals to make limited appearance statements, pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice (10 CFR § 2.715(a)), these will be heard on the morning of February 10.

This hearing had originally been scheduled to start on January 27, but had to be postponed (see Board Notice of January 20, 1976).

Interested members of the public are invited to attend the hearing.

It is so ordered.

Issued at Bethesda, Maryland, this 27th day of January, 1976.

For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board.

THOMAS W. REILLY, Esq.,
Chairman.

[FR Doc.76-2987 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-267]

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO

Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 10 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-34 issued to Public Service Company of Colorado which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Fort St. Vrain Nuclear Generating Station, located in Weld County, Colorado. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The amendment permits a change in the procedures to be followed in the event of trouble with the hydraulic power system.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment is not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

¹ See this Board's "Notice of Supplemental Hearing on Proposed Amendment to Construction Permits," dated Sept. 3, 1975, which was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on Sept. 8, 1975 (40 FR 41569). See also this Board's "Prehearing Conference Order And Rulings on Contentions," issued December 1, 1975.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR 51.5 (d) (4) an environmental statement, negative declaration or environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated January 24, 1975, (2) Amendment No. 10 to License No. DPR-34, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. and at the Greeley Public Library, City Complex Building, Greeley, Colorado 80631.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Project Management.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 27th day of January, 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ROBERT A. CLARK,
Chief, Special Reactors Branch,
Division of Project Management.

[FR Doc.76-2991 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-346A]

TOLEDO EDISON CO. ET AL.

Order

JANUARY 27, 1976.

Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board, Alan S. Rosenthal, Chairman, Michael C. Farrar, Richard S. Salzman, in the matter of the Toledo Edison Company et al., (Davis-Beese Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1).

By order of January 8, 1976, this Board accepted the referral by the Licensing Board of that Board's ruling that section 105c of the Atomic Energy Act does not permit Unit 1 of the Davis-Beese facility to be licensed for operation prior to the completion of the pending antitrust proceeding. LBP-76-1, NRCL-76/1 (January 7, 1976). Oral argument on the referred rulings will be heard at 10:00 a.m. on Thursday, March 4, 1976, in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Public Hearing Room, fifth floor, East-West Towers, 4350 East West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland.

Each side is allotted one hour for the presentation of argument. The party (or parties) challenging the Licensing Board's ruling will be heard first and may reserve a portion of its (their) time for rebuttal.

In preparing for argument, counsel may assume that the Board will be familiar with both the issues presented by the referred ruling and the positions

of the parties with respect thereto. Where there are two or more parties on the same side of the controversy, counsel representing those parties are strongly encouraged to consult with each other in advance for the purpose of avoiding, to the extent possible, the presentation of repetitious arguments. The total time allotted to their side shall be divided equally among the parties unless counsel agree upon some other division.

Each party shall furnish the Secretary to this Board, by letter mailed no later than February 26, 1976, with the name(s) of the counsel who will present argument on its behalf.

It is so ordered.

For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board.

MARGARET E. DU FLO,
Secretary to the Appeal Board.
[FR Doc.76-2992 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

BUSINESS ADVISORY COUNCIL ON FEDERAL REPORTS

Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Business Advisory Council on Federal Reports to be held in Room 2010, New Executive Office Building, 726 Jackson Place, NW., Washington, D.C., on February 26, 1976, at 10:00 a.m.

The purpose of the meeting is to conduct Council business such as the Treasurer's Report, Council budget, and reports of various Committees; to hear remarks from the Deputy Associate Director for Statistical Policy; and to receive reports of recent actions by the Office of Management and Budget which affect the reporting of business firms to Federal agencies. The meeting will be open to public observation and participation.

Anyone wishing to participate should contact the Deputy Associate Director for Statistical Policy, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20503, Telephone (202) 395-3730.

VELMA N. BALDWIN,
Assistant to the Director
for Administration.

[FR Doc.76-3010 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

CLEARANCE OF REPORTS

List of Requests

The following is a list of requests for clearance of reports intended for use in collecting information from the public received by the Office of Management and Budget on January 28, 1976 (44 U.S.C. 3509). The purpose of publishing this list in the FEDERAL REGISTER is to inform the public.

The list includes the title of each request received; the name of the agency sponsoring the proposed collection of information; the agency form number(s), if applicable; the frequency with

which the information is proposed to be collected; the name of the reviewer or reviewing division within OMB, and an indication of who will be the respondents to the proposed collection.

Requests for extension which appear to raise no significant issues are to be approved after brief notice through this release.

Further information about the items on this daily list may be obtained from the clearance office, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, D.C. 20503, (202-395-4529), or from the reviewer listed.

NEW FORMS

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service, 1976 Secondary Wood Using Industry Survey, single-time, secondary wood processors, Hulet, D. T., 395-4730.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Alcohol, Drug Abuse and Mental Health Administration, Study of the Changes in Alcohol-related problems without formal treatment, ADAMHAA 0116, single-time, individuals recovered from drinking problems, Richard Eisinger, 395-6140.

REVISIONS

DEPARTMENT OF STATE (EXCLUDING AID AND ACTION)

Visitor Visa Information and Application, OP. 156, on occasion, aliens visiting the United States, Harry B. Sheftel, 395-5870.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Census, Confidential Employment Inquiry, SE-36C, on occasion, employers, teachers, Harry B. Sheftel, 395-5870.

EXTENSIONS

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Census, Survey of Expenditure and Employment for Civil and Criminal Justice Activities of the Federal Government, CJ25, annually, Caywood, D. P., 395-3443.

Maritime Administration, Contractor's Statement for the Purpose of Transacting Business (financial), MA-151, semi-annually, ship repair contractors, Marsha Traynham, 395-4529.

Bureau of Census, Business Verification Report (Sales Figures for Small Business Establishments), BUS 474, monthly, retail businesses, Marsha Traynham, 395-4529.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Social and Rehabilitation Service, Report on Children for Whom Adoption Petition Were Granted During the Year, SRS-NCSS-2, annually, State Departments of Public Welfare, Marsha Traynham, 395-4529.

PHILIP D. LARSEN,

Budget and Management Officer.

[FR Doc.76-3188 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

OFFICE OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS POLICY

FREQUENCY MANAGEMENT ADVISORY COUNCIL

Meeting

Notice is hereby given that the Frequency Management Advisory Council (FMAC) will meet at 9:30 a.m., in Room 712, Office of Telecommunications Pol-

icy, 1800 G Street, NW., Washington, D.C., on Friday, February 20, 1976.

The principal agenda items will be: (1) Status of ITU Conference preparation; (2) the role of the FMAC in OTP preparation for the General World Administrative Radio Conference, 1979; (3) consideration of the FCC Spectrum Management Task Force Activities; (4) consideration of OTP efforts for the evaluation of the performance of telecommunications systems in the spectral use of environment.

The meeting will be open to the public; any member of the public will be permitted to file a written statement with the Council, before or after the meeting.

The names of the members of the Council, a copy of the agenda, a summary of the meeting, and other information pertaining to the meeting may be obtained from Mr. Jack E. Weatherford, Office of Telecommunications Policy, Washington, D.C. 20504 (telephone: 202-395-5623).

Dated: January 27, 1976.

L. DANIEL O'NEILL,
*Advisory Committee
Management Officer.*

[FR Doc.76-2936 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION

[Docket No. MC73-1]

MAIL CLASSIFICATION SCHEDULE, 1973

Receipt of Stipulation and Agreement

JANUARY 27, 1976.

Take notice that a Stipulation and Agreement in Phase I. of Docket No. MC73-1 was filed with the Postal Rate Commission on January 26, 1976. This Stipulation and Agreement is available for public inspection in the Commission's public reference room. In accordance with the briefing schedule adopted in these proceedings on January 16, 1976, briefs commenting on the Stipulation and Agreement are to be filed by January 29, 1976. Reply briefs are to be filed by February 6, 1976.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] JAMES R. LINDSAY,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-2951 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 500-1]

CANADIAN JAVELIN, LTD.

Suspension of Trading

JANUARY 23, 1976.

The common stock of Canadian Javelin, Ltd. being traded on the American Stock Exchange pursuant to provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and all other securities of Canadian Javelin, Ltd. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange; and

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in such securities

on such exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to Section 12(k) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities on the above mentioned exchange and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from January 24, 1976 through February 2, 1976.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-2947 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

CONTINENTAL VENDING MACHINE CORP.

Suspension of Trading

JANUARY 23, 1976.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Continental Vending Machine Corporation being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to Section 12(k) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from January 25, 1976 through February 3, 1976.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-2946 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[70-5791]

GENERAL PUBLIC UTILITIES CORP.

Proposed Amendments of Articles of Incorporation

Notice is hereby given that General Public Utilities Corporation ("GPU"), 80 Pine Street, New York, New York 10005, a registered holding company, has filed a declaration with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating Sections 6(a), 7, and 12(e) of the Act and Rules 62 and 65 promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transaction. All interested persons are referred to the declaration, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transaction.

GPU proposes to amend its Articles of Incorporation in the following respects:

(1) to increase the number of shares of its authorized common stock, par value \$2.50, from 55,000,000 (of which 54,756,736 shares, exclusive of 28,074 treasury shares, are now outstanding) to 75,000,000 shares; and (2) to eliminate the present mandatory requirement that common stockholders be extended preemptive

rights to subscribe pro rata to additional shares of common stock issued by GPU. It is stated that the increase in the number of shares is being sought in order that, from time to time as needed over the next few years, cash required for the common stock equity component of the capital requirements of the GPU holding company system can be obtained. GPU states that with today's broad base of corporate ownership, and with additional shares readily available in the market for purchase, preemptive rights, which had as their aim the preservation of a shareholders' proportionate interest and voting rights against possible dilution through disproportionate shares to other more favored purchasers, no longer has any significance. Removing the present, mandatory feature of preemptive rights would not preclude future rights offerings to stockholders. GPU's existing Automatic Dividend Reinvestment Plan is itself a form of preemptive rights offering. Through participation in that Plan, stockholders may increase their holdings of GPU common stock through quarterly purchases of additional shares at the then market price, without payment of brokerage commissions.

GPU intends to submit the proposed amendments to its Articles of Incorporation to its stockholders for their consent at the annual meeting of stockholders to be held on April 5, 1976. In connection therewith GPU proposes to solicit proxies from the holders of its common stock through the use of proposed solicitation material which sets forth the proposals in detail in addition to proposals to elect directors and to vote on auditors. The declaration states that the proposed amendments require the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of common stock.

The fees and expenses to be incurred in connection with the proposed transaction will be filed by amendment. The cost to GPU, for reimbursement to stockholders who hold stock for others for forwarding copies of proxy material to them, is estimated at \$25,000. It is stated that no state commission and no federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transaction.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than February 19, 1976, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the declarant at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney-at-law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after

said date, the declaration, as filed or as it may be amended, may be permitted to become effective as provided in Rule 23 of the General Rules and Regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from its rules under the Act as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-2980 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[70-5793]

MIDDLE SOUTH UTILITIES, INC., ET AL. Filing of Application

In the Matter of Middle South Utilities, Inc., 225 Baronne Street, New Orleans, Louisiana 70112; Arkansas Power & Light Company, First National Building, Little Rock, Arkansas 72203; and Crossett Electric Company, First National Building, Little Rock, Arkansas 72203.

Notice is hereby given that Middle South Utilities, Inc. ("Middle South"), a registered holding company, and two of its wholly owned electric utility subsidiary companies, Arkansas Power and Light Company ("AP&L") and Crossett Electric Company ("Crossett") have filed an application-declaration pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act") designating Sections 6 (a), 7, 9(a), 10, 12(d) and 12(f) of the Act and Rule 43 promulgated thereunder as applicable to the following proposed transaction. All interested persons are referred to the application-declaration, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transaction.

The properties of Crossett consist of facilities for distribution of electric power and energy all located within the corporate limits of the Town of Crossett, Arkansas, and currently operated by AP&L, as lessee. The Town of Crossett is contiguous to and within the territory served by AP&L and Crossett's electric properties are connected directly with those of AP&L. Crossett does not own any generation or transmission facilities, and it purchases its entire energy requirements from AP&L. As of November 30, 1975, Crossett's facilities furnished electric service to approximately 2,497 customers.

It is stated that the present arrangement, whereby AP&L leases and operates the properties of Crossett, has resulted in an unnecessary duplication of corporate organization, accounting and operation within the Middle South system. It is therefore proposed that AP&L will ac-

quire all of Crossett's 250,000 outstanding shares of common stock, \$1.00 par value, from Middle South in exchange for additional common stock of AP&L, \$12.50 par value. The number of shares of AP&L common stock to be issued and sold to Middle South at a price of \$12.50 per share will be determined on the basis, of and the aggregate par value of the AP&L common stock will be equal to, the net book value of Crossett on February 29, 1976. As of November 30, 1975, the net book value of Crossett was approximately \$568,000.

It is contemplated that the closing date in respect of the above exchange of shares will be on or about March 1, 1976. As soon as practicable after the closing date, AP&L, as then sole stockholder of Crossett, proposes to effectuate the liquidation and dissolution of Crossett and the distribution of its assets both real and personal, including any and all franchises, permits, utility easements, rights of way and other land rights, to AP&L. Thereafter, AP&L will continue to provide service to Crossett's present customers.

The fees and expenses to be incurred in connection with this transaction are estimated at \$12,000, including legal fees of \$10,000. It is stated that the transaction is subject to the jurisdiction of the Arkansas Public Service Commission and the Tennessee Public Service Commission. No other State commission and no Federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transaction.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than February 20, 1976, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by the filing which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the applicants-declarants at the above stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the application-declaration, as filed or as it may be amended, may be granted and permitted to become effective as provided in Rule 23 of the General Rules and Regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in Rules 20 (a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive any notices or orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-2981 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[Rel. No. 34-12044; File No. SR-PBW-75-9]

PBW STOCK EXCHANGE, INC.

Proposed Rule Change

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. 78(s)(b)(1) (the "Act") as amended by Pub. L. No. 94-29, § 16 (June 4, 1975), notice is hereby given that on December 29, 1975 and January 6, 1976, respectively, PBW Stock Exchange, Inc. ("PBW"), 17th Street and Stock Exchange Place, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103, a national securities exchange registered with the Commission pursuant to Section 6 of the Act, filed with the Commission a proposed rule change and clarifying amendment thereto. Such proposed rule change, as so amended, is hereinafter referred to as the "Proposed Rule Change". The Proposed Rule Change is to amend the Commentary to PBW Rule 1013 so as to add new paragraph .05 reading as follows:

.05 A Floor Broker representing a customer's order in options shall, prior to executing such order, ascertain that at least one Registered Options Trader is present in the trading crowd at the post when such order is executed.

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the Proposed Rule Change and Commission approval of same. Persons desiring to make written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary of the Commission, Securities and Exchange Commission, 500 North Capitol Street, Washington, D.C. 20549. Reference should be made to File No. SR-PBW-75-9.

The Commission is directed under Section 19(b)(2) of the Act to approve a proposed rule change of a self-regulatory organization if it finds such rule change to be consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to such organization. The Commission finds that the Proposed Rule Change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to national securities exchanges including the requirements of Section 6 and the rules and regulations thereunder. In particular, Section 6(b)(5) of the Act requires that the rules of a national securities exchange be designed to "[facilitate] transactions in securities, * * * remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market * * *, and, in general, * * * protect investors and the public interest * * *."

According to PBW, the Proposed Rule Change is designed to require at least one registered options trader to be present in the trading crowd when customers' orders are brought to the floor for

execution, thereby assuring that floor brokers executing customers' orders will be afforded an opportunity to obtain broader trader participation in options trading on a more expeditious and continuing basis. PBW has expressed the belief that the Proposed Rule Change will protect investors and serve the public interest because increased participation by registered options traders in the PBW options market has resulted in additional depth and liquidity in options traded on PBW.

Further, the Commission finds good cause for approving the Proposed Rule Change prior to the thirtieth day after the date of publication of notice of the filing thereof. PBW's rules impose responsibilities on registered options traders similar to the responsibilities of specialists, viz., the obligation to deal for their own accounts in a manner calculated to contribute to the maintenance of a fair and orderly market, and the obligation to help maintain a fair and orderly market when called upon by floor officials or floor brokers. The Commission finds that adoption of the Proposed Rule Change is a necessary and appropriate measure to assure that registered options traders fulfill such responsibilities.

For the foregoing reasons, the Commission finds good cause for approving the Proposed Rule Change prior to the thirtieth day after publication of notice of the filing thereof.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, that the Proposed Rule Change be, and it hereby is, approved.

For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

JANUARY 23, 1976.

[FR Doc.76-2948 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

[SR-PBW-75-10]

PBW STOCK EXCHANGE, INC.

Filing of Proposed Rule Change and Order Approving Proposed Rule Change

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. 78(c)(b)(1) (the "Act") as amended by Pub. L. No. 94-29, § 16 (June 4, 1975), notice is hereby given that on December 23, 1975 and January 12, 1976, respectively, PBW Stock Exchange, Inc. ("PBW"), 17th Street and Stock Exchange Place, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103, a national securities exchange registered with the Commission pursuant to Section 6 of the Act, filed with the Commission a proposed rule change and a clarifying amendment thereto. (Such proposed rule change, as so amended, is hereinafter referred to as the "Proposed Rule Change"). The Proposed Rule Change is to amend the Commentary to PBW Rule 1013 so as to designate any registered options trader electing to engage in Exchange options

transactions as a specialist on the Exchange for all purposes under the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder with respect to options transactions initiated and effected by him on the floor in his capacity as a registered options trader.

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the Proposed Rule Change and Commission approval of same. Persons desiring to make written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary of the Commission, Securities and Exchange Commission, 500 North Capitol Street, Washington, D.C. 20549. Reference should be made to File No. SR-PBW-75-10.

The Commission is directed under Section 19(b)(2) of the Act to approve a proposed rule change of a self-regulatory organization if it finds such rule change to be consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to such organization. The Commission finds that the Proposed Rule Change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to national securities exchanges including the requirements of Section 6 and the rules and regulations thereunder. In particular, Section 6(b)(5) of the Act requires that the rules of a national securities exchange be designed to "[facilitate] transactions in securities, * * * remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market * * *, and, in general, * * * protect investors and the public interest * * *." PBW has stated that the purpose of the Proposed Rule Change is to permit registered options traders to obtain the same treatment under Regulations T and U of the Federal Reserve Board presently afforded to specialists in financing their options positions. According to PBW, lowering margin requirements applicable to the accounts of registered options traders would facilitate increased participation of such traders in the options market, thus provide additional depth and liquidity to such market, and thereby protect investors and serve the public interest.

Further, the Commission finds good cause for approving the Proposed Rule Change prior to the thirtieth day after the date of publication of notice of the filing thereof. PBW's rules impose responsibilities on registered options traders similar to the responsibilities of specialists, viz., the obligation to deal for their own accounts in a manner calculated to contribute to the maintenance of a fair and orderly market, and the obligation to help maintain a fair and orderly market when called upon by floor officials or floor brokers.

To facilitate the fulfillment of these responsibilities by registered options traders, the Commission deems it necessary and desirable to approve immediately the Proposed Rule Change which has the effect of affording to registered options traders the same treatment pres-

ently afforded to specialists with respect to margin requirements.

For the foregoing reasons, the Commission finds good cause for approving the Proposed Rule Change prior to the thirtieth day after publication of notice of filing thereof.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b) (2) of the Act, that the Proposed Rule Change be, and it hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

JANUARY 23, 1976.

[FR Doc.76-2949 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON CORPORATE DISCLOSURE

Commission Establishment and Meeting

I, Roderick M. Hills, Chairman of the Securities and Exchange Commission, with the concurrence of the members of the Commission, have established an advisory committee under the Federal Advisory Committee Act which is designated as the Securities and Exchange Commission Advisory Committee on Corporate Disclosure, described hereinafter, and I hereby certify that I have considered the establishment of this Committee and, with the concurrence of the other members of the Commission, find the creation of this committee to be in the public interest in that it will assist the Commission in its performance of its responsibilities under the federal securities laws.

The Advisory Committee is established to assist the Commission in conducting an extensive reexamination of the system of corporate disclosure. The Advisory Committee will seek to define the purposes and objectives of a corporate disclosure system, to assess the present system in light of those objectives, and to recommend to the Commission any changes it may consider necessary or appropriate better to equate the operation of the disclosure system administered by the Commission with those objectives.

The Advisory Committee shall conduct its operations in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

The duties of the Committee shall be solely advisory and shall extend only to submitting reports and recommendations to the Securities and Exchange Commission and to reviewing materials submitted to it by the Commission. Determinations of action to be taken and policy to be expressed with respect to the recommendations of the Advisory Committee shall be made solely by the Commission.

The Securities and Exchange Commission shall provide any necessary support services required by the Advisory Committee.

The estimated annual operating costs in dollars and man-years of the committee are as follows:

Dollar costs: \$26,400 for travel, per diem, and miscellaneous expenses for

Committee members and Commission personnel.

Man years: 7½ man years per year for Commission personnel on a continuing basis.

The Advisory Committee shall meet at such intervals as are necessary to carry out its functions. It is estimated that the meetings of the full Committee will not occur more frequently than monthly. Unless otherwise provided by amendment of the Charter, the Committee will terminate at the end of eighteen months from the date of its establishment.

Pursuant to the determination of the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, the Charter of this Committee is hereby filed concurrently with this notice of the establishment of the Committee. The Charter will be filed with the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs and with the House of Representatives Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce. A copy of this Charter also shall be furnished to the Library of Congress and to the Office of Public Information of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and will be available for public inspection.

[SEAL] RODERICK M. HILLS,
Chairman.

JANUARY 30, 1976.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON CORPORATE DISCLOSURE

NOTICE OF MEETING

This is to give public notice, pursuant to Section 10(a) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. I, 10(a), that the Securities and Exchange Commission Advisory Committee on Corporate Disclosure will conduct a meeting on February 24, 1976, at the Securities and Exchange Commission, 500 North Capitol Street, Washington, D.C., beginning at 10:00 a.m. This meeting will be open to the public.

This will be the first meeting of the Advisory Committee. The purpose of the meeting is to review the objectives and responsibilities of the Advisory Committee and to establish plans for the orderly progression of the Committee's work.

Further information on this matter may be obtained by writing:

Mr. George A. Fitzsimmons, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, United States Securities and Exchange Commission, 500 North Capitol Street, Washington, D.C. 20549.

Dated: January 30, 1976.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Advisory Committee
Management Officer.

[FR Doc.76-3255 Filed 1-30-76;10:07 am]

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Declaration of Disaster Loan Area #1204; Amendment #1]

OREGON

Declaration of Disaster Loan Area

The above numbered Declaration (41 FR 1980) is amended to read as follows:

Tillamook County and adjacent counties within the State of Oregon constitute a disaster area because of damage resulting from severe rainstorms, windstorms and flooding which occurred November 30—December 12, 1975. Eligible persons, firms and organizations may file applications for loans for physical damage until the close of business on March 1, 1976, and for economic injury the close of business on September 30, 1976 at:

Small Business Administration,
District Office,
700 Pittock Block,
921 Southwest Washington Street,
Portland, Oregon 97205

or other locally announced locations.

Dated: January 27, 1976.

LOUIS F. LAUN,
Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-3018 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Notice No. 966]

ASSIGNMENT OF HEARINGS

JANUARY 28, 1976.

Cases assigned for hearing, postponement, cancellation or oral argument appear below and will be published only once. This list contains prospective assignments only, and does not include cases previously assigned hearing dates. The hearings will be on the issues as presently reflected in the Official Docket of the Commission. An attempt will be made to publish notices of cancellation of hearings as promptly as possible, but interested parties should take appropriate steps to insure that they are notified of cancellation or postponements of hearings in which they are interested.

MC 139306 Sub-5, Del R. Stanage and Joe R. Stanage d/b/a. Stanage Transportation, now assigned February 26, 1976, at Memphis, Tennessee is cancelled and application is dismissed.

MC 19311 Sub-30, Central Transport, Inc., has been continued to March 22, 1976, at Traverse City, Michigan at the Park Place Motor Inn, 300 E. State Street.

MC 141113, Monsey Transportation Corp., now being assigned February 17, 1976 (3 days), at New York City, N.Y., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 113843 (Sub-No. 220), Refrigerated Food Express, Inc., now being assigned March 15, 1976 (8 days), at Minneapolis, Minn.; in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC-C-8782, Petition for investigation of operations of Virginia Stage Lines, Inc., now being assigned March 23, 1976, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

MC 107496 (Sub-No. 1003), Ruan Transport Corporation, now assigned March 8, 1976, at Chicago, Ill., is canceled and application dismissed.

MC-C-8775, Bobby R. Maness—Investigation of Operations, now assigned February 24, 1976, at Memphis, Tenn., is canceled.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-3016 Filed 1-30-76;8:45 am]

federal register

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 2, 1976



PART II:

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

■

**Voluntary Employee Political
Donation Program**

Establishment by Corporation

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

[Notice 1976-14]

ESTABLISHMENT BY CORPORATION OF
VOLUNTARY EMPLOYEE POLITICAL
DONATION PROGRAM

Supplement to AOR 1975-43

William A. Hancock, Senior Counsel for TRW, Inc., requested an advisory opinion in reference to the establishment by a corporation of a voluntary employee political donation program. This request was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on September 3, 1975, at 40 FR 40676. Interested parties were given an opportunity to submit written comments relating to the request. In a subsequent submission of January 13, 1976, Mr. Hancock had stated the following new facts. He indicates that TRW does not wish to exercise any dominion of control over the funds which employees will designate to be checked off from their paychecks. Specifically, TRW will if approved, implement the following procedures:

1. A trust account will be established at a national bank and all designated contributions will be forwarded to this account. TRW will have no right in the trust account nor any authority to exercise any control over it, nor any authority to obtain any information as to the specific or individual contributions to such account.

2. If an employee desires to participate in this designated program, the employee

will indicate to TRW on a form provided by TRW the amount of money the employee desires to have withheld from his pay and such amount shall be withheld in accordance with the employee's direction and forwarded to the above mentioned trust account. TRW shall not be informed by the employee of the candidate or committee or such funds will be ultimately distributed.

3. The employee shall, at approximately the same time as he authorizes TRW to withhold the designated amounts, indicate to the trustee on a form which will be provided the employee by TRW but which the employee will send directly to the trustee, the names of the candidate or the committee to whom the amounts withheld shall be ultimately paid.

4. At periodic intervals—which intervals shall be no more frequently than monthly nor less frequently than quarterly, but otherwise shall be within the discretion of the trustee. The trustee shall forward to the designated candidates or committees all funds which have been designated for such candidates or committees.

5. The trustee shall report to every candidate or committee to which it forwards money, a complete list of all persons who contributed such money together with the account contributed by each and any other information required

by the Federal Election laws but shall not forward any such information to TRW. It shall not provide TRW with this information in any other form. The trustee may provide TRW with total information including the total amount of money received by the trustee, the total amount of money disbursed by the trustee, the total amount of money (not broken down by individual employees) disbursed to every candidate or committee who receive funds from the account.

6. At TRW's election, the trust account may be audited by a national accounting firm by TRW however, such an accounting firm shall not disclose to TRW any information revealing the individual political contribution or designation of any TRW employee. If TRW elects to have such trust account audited, TRW should pay all auditing fees.

7. All checks written to candidates or committees shall be written by the trustee bank or shall be delivered or mailed to such candidate or committee by whatever means selected by the trustee bank, but no TRW employee shall deliver or participate in the delivery of any such check.

Dated: January 27, 1976.

THOMAS B. CURTIS,
Chairman for the
Federal Election Commission.

[FR Doc. 76-3072 Filed 1-30-76; 8:45 am]

Would you like to know

IF ANY CHANGES HAVE BEEN
MADE IN CERTAIN TITLES OF THE CODE OF
FEDERAL REGULATIONS without reading
the Federal Register every day? If so, you
may wish to subscribe to the "List of
CFR Sections Affected."



List of CFR Sections Affected

\$10.00
per year

The "List of CFR Sections Affected" is designed to lead users of the Code of Federal Regulations to amendatory actions published in the Federal Register, and is issued monthly in cumulative form. Entries indicate the nature of the changes.

Also available on a subscription basis . . .

The Federal Register Index

\$8.00
per year

Indexes covering the contents of the daily Federal Register are issued monthly and annually. Entries are carried primarily under the names of the issuing agencies. Significant subjects are also carried.

A finding aid is included at the end of each publication which lists Federal Register page numbers with the date of publication in the Federal Register.

Order from: Superintendent of Documents
U.S. Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402

Note to FR Subscribers: FR Indexes and the "List of CFR Sections Affected" will continue to be mailed free of charge to regular FR subscribers.